

## Content Overview

Table of Contents	9
Table of Cases	27
Court of Justice	27
Court of Justice: Opinions of the Advocates General	44
Court of First Instance / General Court	48
Permanent Court of International Justice / International Court of Justice	52
Other judicial bodies	53
Table of Acts (Selection)	55
Council, European Parliament or European Parliament and Council (together)	55
Commission	63
Other actors	65
I. INTRODUCTION	67
1. Ambition and structure	67
2. Methodology and embedding in legal scholarship	71
3. Some technicalities	73
II. SOFT LAW: TERMINOLOGY AND LOCALISATION	75
1. Origins and concepts: a theoretical account of 'soft law'	75
2. Delimitation of soft law	108
III. FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS OF EU SOFT LAW	149
1. Introduction and overview	149
2. Different forms of EU soft law: originators and addressees	158

3. The legal bases of soft law	192
4. Legal, factual and mixed effects of soft law	318
5. The purposes of soft law	374
6. Judicial review of soft law	384
IV. MECHANISMS IN EU LAW TO ENSURE LEGAL COMPLIANCE OF MEMBER STATES	397
1. Introduction	397
2. The mechanisms in detail	403
V. CLASSIFICATION AND LEGAL ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE MECHANISMS	487
1. Introduction	487
2. Classification	490
3. Legal assessment	532
VI. CONCLUSION	637
1. Summary	637
2. Closing remarks and outlook	643
Bibliography	651
Index	709

## Table of Contents

Abbreviations	21
Table of Cases	27
Court of Justice	27
Court of Justice: Opinions of the Advocates General	44
Court of First Instance / General Court	48
Permanent Court of International Justice / International Court of Justice	52
Other judicial bodies	53
Table of Acts (Selection)	55
Council, European Parliament or European Parliament and Council (together)	55
Commission	63
Other actors	65
I. INTRODUCTION	67
1. Ambition and structure	67
2. Methodology and embedding in legal scholarship	71
3. Some technicalities	73
II. SOFT LAW: TERMINOLOGY AND LOCALISATION	75
1. Origins and concepts: a theoretical account of 'soft law'	75
1.1. Origins, ideas and challenges: a <i>tour d'horizon</i>	75
1.1.1. Terminology, recognition and occurrence in practice: an approximation	75
1.1.2. The challenges of using public international law as a starting point	81
1.2. Different concepts of soft law	84

1.3.	Discussion and conclusions	90
1.3.1.	Different schools of thought	90
1.3.2.	On legal (non-)bindingness as distinctive feature	91
1.3.3.	The creators of soft law	96
1.3.3.1.	On the difference between public and private legal action	96
1.3.3.2.	Non-binding norms: public and private creators	100
1.3.4.	A concept of 'soft law'	104
2.	Delimitation of soft law	108
2.1.	From law	108
2.1.1.	Delimitation with a view to enforceability and effectiveness	108
2.1.1.1.	On the issue of enforceability	108
2.1.1.2.	On the issue of effectiveness	112
2.1.2.	The recognition of law, soft law and other output of public authority: relevant indicators	113
2.1.3.	Exemplifying the proximity between law and soft law	118
2.1.3.1.	General examples	118
2.1.3.2.	Special effects of public international law in EU law – the <i>Kadi</i> saga and the case of WTO law	122
2.1.3.2.1.	Introduction	122
2.1.3.2.2.	The effect of UN law in the EU legal order, exemplified in the <i>Kadi</i> cases	122
2.1.3.2.3.	The effect of WTO law in the EU legal order	128
2.1.3.2.4.	Discussion	132
2.2.	From other sets of norms	136
2.2.1.	Custom and customary law	136
2.2.2.	Morals	139
2.2.3.	Regulation by private actors: the example of standards	140
2.3.	From other output of public bodies	146
III.	FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS OF EU SOFT LAW	149
1.	Introduction and overview	149
1.1.	Introduction	149

1.2. Overview of the historical and current use of EU soft law	150
2. Different forms of EU soft law: originators and addressees	158
2.1. Introduction	158
2.2. Originators	158
2.2.1. On the question of assignment	158
2.2.2. The EU's institutions	161
2.2.3. The EU's bodies, offices and agencies	166
2.2.4. MS and non-EU bodies	168
2.2.4.1. Acts relating to different legal orders	168
2.2.4.2. Incursus: The Memoranda of Understanding concluded under the so-called umbrellas (rescue measures to protect the Eurozone)	172
2.2.4.2.1. Contextualisation in between EU law and public international law	172
2.2.4.2.2. On the question of legal bindingness	179
2.3. Addressees	184
2.4. Legally non-binding acts other than soft law	187
3. The legal bases of soft law	192
3.1. Preliminary remarks: the meaning of Article 288 TFEU for EU soft law	192
3.1.1. The difference between recommendations and opinions	192
3.1.2. Is there a <i>numerus clausus</i> of EU soft law acts?	195
3.2. The applicability of the principle of conferred powers	200
3.2.1. Introduction	200
3.2.2. The principle of conferral – an interpretation of the relevant terms	201
3.2.3. The case law of the CJEU	208
3.2.4. Résumé	211
3.3. Special features of the EU's competence regime	212
3.3.1. The implied powers doctrine and powers implied in competence clauses	212
3.3.2. <i>Argumentum a maiore ad minus</i>	220
3.3.2.1. The <i>argumentum a maiore ad minus</i> in EU law	220

3.3.2.2. The (lack of an) <i>argumentum a maiore</i> (law) <i>ad minus</i> (soft law) in selected Treaty provisions	223
3.3.3. Internal soft law	229
3.3.3.1. The phenomenon of internal soft law	229
3.3.3.2. The competence to adopt internal soft law	233
3.4. General competence clauses in the Treaties	236
3.4.1. Introduction	236
3.4.2. Article 288 TFEU – a general competence clause?	236
3.4.3. Article 292 TFEU	238
3.4.3.1. The power to adopt recommendations of the Council and of the Council and the EP, respectively	238
3.4.3.2. The power to adopt recommendations of the Commission and of the ECB, respectively	243
3.4.4. Article 127 para 4 and Article 132 para 1 (3rd indent) TFEU	245
3.4.5. Opinions of committees	247
3.4.6. Limits to a ‘general’ competence to adopt soft law	251
3.5. Special competence clauses in the Treaties	258
3.5.1. Introduction	258
3.5.2. Institutions	260
3.5.2.1. Commission	260
3.5.2.1.1. Support of decision-making/rule- making	260
3.5.2.1.2. Initiation of (soft) decision-making/ rule-making	262
3.5.2.1.3. Soft decision-making/rule-making	267
3.5.2.2. Council	270
3.5.2.2.1. Support of decision-making	270
3.5.2.2.2. Initiation of decision-making	271
3.5.2.2.3. Soft decision-making/rule-making	272
3.5.2.3. European Council – soft rule-making	275
3.5.2.4. European Parliament – support of decision- making/rule-making	276

3.5.2.5. Court of Justice of the European Union (and its components) – support and initiation of and actual (soft) decision-making/rule-making?	277
3.5.2.6. European Central Bank	282
3.5.2.6.1. Support and initiation of rule-making	282
3.5.2.6.2. Soft decision-making	284
3.5.3. Other actors	285
3.5.3.1. EU-internal actors	285
3.5.3.2. EU-external actors	289
3.6. Competences to adopt EU soft law other than recommendations and opinions	293
3.7. Special competence clauses in EU secondary law and in public international law	298
3.7.1. Introduction	298
3.7.2. Special competence clauses in EU secondary law	299
3.7.2.1. Regulation 182/2011	299
3.7.2.2. Council Regulation 168/2007	303
3.7.3. Special competence clauses in public international law	306
3.8. The effects of a lack of a legal basis	308
3.9. The revisitation of the above approaches on the difference between recommendations and opinions, on whether there is a <i>numerus clausus</i> of EU soft law acts, and on the principle of conferral	312
3.10. Résumé and transition	315
4. Legal, factual and mixed effects of soft law	318
4.1. Introduction	318
4.2. Legal effects	321
4.2.1. Introduction	321
4.2.2. Member States	324
4.2.2.1. The effects of soft law according to the Court's case law	324
4.2.2.1.1. Introduction	324
4.2.2.1.2. The effects on the legislative, the executive, and the judiciary of the MS	325

4.2.2.1.3. <i>Prima facie</i> soft law which turns out to be legally binding	329
4.2.2.2. The actual or potential legal reasons for these effects	331
4.2.2.2.1. Administrative cooperation according to Article 197 TFEU	331
4.2.2.2.2. Sincere cooperation ('loyalty') according to Article 4 para 3 TEU	333
4.2.2.2.2.1. Overview	333
4.2.2.2.2.2. The consequences for soft law	336
4.2.2.2.3. The principle of interpretation of national law in line with Union law	342
4.2.2.2.4. Legal certainty, legitimate expectations, equality and effectiveness	344
4.2.3. Institutions, bodies, offices and agencies of the EU	348
4.2.3.1. The effects of soft law according to the Court's case law	348
4.2.3.2. The actual or potential legal reasons for these effects	354
4.2.3.2.1. Sincere cooperation ('loyalty') according to Article 13 para 2 TEU	354
4.2.3.2.2. Article 296 para 2 TFEU	357
4.2.3.2.3. Legal certainty, legitimate expectations, equality and effectiveness	359
4.3. Factual effects	362
4.3.1. Introduction	362
4.3.2. Human nature	363
4.3.2.1. The steering effects of 'nudging'	365
4.3.2.2. EU soft law created by MS officials	368
4.4. Mixed effects	370
5. The purposes of soft law	374
5.1. On the categorisation of soft law in general	374
5.2. On the case of EU soft law in particular	377
5.2.1. Accepted purposes of EU soft law	377
5.2.2. Avoiding law as a purpose of EU soft law	381

6. Judicial review of soft law	384
6.1. Introduction	384
6.2. The annulment procedure	385
6.3. The preliminary reference procedure	389
6.4. Other procedures	392
 IV. MECHANISMS IN EU LAW TO ENSURE LEGAL COMPLIANCE OF MEMBER STATES	 397
1. Introduction	397
2. The mechanisms in detail	403
2.1. The general compliance mechanism: the Treaty infringement procedure	403
2.1.1. Introduction	403
2.1.2. The procedure in short	406
2.1.3. Soft and hard elements of the procedure	412
2.2. Special compliance mechanisms	416
2.2.1. Hard compliance mechanisms	416
2.2.1.1. In primary law	416
2.2.1.1.1. Article 106 para 3 TFEU	416
2.2.1.1.2. Article 108 TFEU	417
2.2.1.1.3. Article 114 TFEU	419
2.2.1.1.4. Article 348 TFEU	422
2.2.1.1.5. Article 144 TFEU	424
2.2.1.2. In secondary law	426
2.2.1.2.1. Article 13 para 1 of Directive 2001/95/EC	426
2.2.1.2.2. Articles 70 f of Regulation 2018/1139	428
2.2.1.2.3. Article 29 para 2 of Regulation 806/2014	431
2.2.1.2.4. Article 63 of Regulation 2019/943	433
2.2.1.2.5. Articles 18 and 19 of Regulation 1093/2010	434
2.2.1.2.6. Safeguard clauses	438
2.2.1.3. Summary and résumé	441
2.2.2. Mixed compliance mechanisms	444
2.2.2.1. In primary law	444
2.2.2.1.1. Articles 116 and 117 TFEU	444

2.2.2.1.2. Article 126 TFEU	446
2.2.2.1.3. Article 271 lit a and d TFEU	450
2.2.2.2. In secondary law	452
2.2.2.2.1. Article 63 of Directive 2019/944	452
2.2.2.2.2. Articles 22 f and 28 of Council Regulation 2015/1589	454
2.2.2.2.3. Article 17 of Regulation 1093/2010	456
2.2.2.2.4. The excessive imbalance procedure laid down in Regulations 1176/2011 and 1174/2011	461
2.2.2.2.5. Article 7 para 4 of Regulation 806/2014	465
2.2.2.2.6. Article 25 of Regulation 2016/796	467
2.2.2.3. Summary and résumé	468
2.2.3. Soft compliance mechanisms	471
2.2.3.1. In primary law	471
2.2.3.1.1. Article 121 TFEU	471
2.2.3.1.2. Article 148 para 4 TFEU	472
2.2.3.2. In secondary law	473
2.2.3.2.1. Article 6 paras 5–7 of Regulation 2019/942	473
2.2.3.2.2. Article 53 of Directive 2019/944	475
2.2.3.2.3. Article 33 of Directive 2018/1972	476
2.2.3.2.4. Article 3 para 7 of Regulation 472/2013	480
2.2.3.2.5. Articles 16 and 17 of Regulation 1092/2010	481
2.2.3.2.6. Article 6 of Regulation 2019/452	483
2.2.3.3. Summary and résumé	485
 V. CLASSIFICATION AND LEGAL ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE MECHANISMS	 487
1. Introduction	487
2. Classification	490
2.1. The EU actors involved in compliance mechanisms	490
2.2. The policy fields and the primary legal bases concerned	495
2.3. The sequence and addressees of acts in compliance mechanisms	496

2.4.	The purposes of soft law acts in compliance mechanisms	501
2.4.1.	The question of command	501
2.4.2.	The Treaty infringement procedure	503
2.4.3.	The purpose of preparation – not always a matter of course	504
2.4.4.	The purpose of company ('accompagnement') and the right to be heard	507
2.4.5.	Substitution or permanent alternative – two purposes which often overlap	508
2.4.6.	Institutional transformation as a purpose of soft law?	514
2.5.	The deviation from the Treaty infringement procedure	515
2.5.1.	The ubiquity of the Treaty infringement procedure	515
2.5.2.	Time of intervention, discretion, and confidentiality	517
2.5.3.	Efficiency concerns and EU Pilot	520
2.5.4.	The MS' right to be heard	524
2.6.	Why the compliance mechanisms 'look the way they look'	526
3.	Legal assessment	532
3.1.	Compliance mechanisms: implementation or enforcement?	532
3.1.1.	The characteristics of implementation and enforcement	532
3.1.1.1.	Introduction	532
3.1.1.2.	Implementation and enforcement under the Treaties	534
3.1.1.2.1.	Main characteristics	534
3.1.1.2.1.1.	Primary aim, time of intervention, and the discretion granted under Article 291 TFEU	534
3.1.1.2.1.2.	Different approaches towards ensuring compliance: concretisation and determination	538
3.1.1.2.1.3.	The indicative value of the material scope of and institutional questions relating to compliance mechanisms	540

3.1.1.2.2. The preliminary reference procedure, the procedure pursuant to Article 218 para 11 TFEU, and the excessive deficit procedure – special cases	543
3.1.1.2.3. Two further aspects: soft law and sanctions	545
3.1.1.2.4. Conclusion	549
3.1.2. The categorisation of the compliance mechanisms	552
3.1.2.1. Introduction	552
3.1.2.2. Hard mechanisms	553
3.1.2.3. Mixed mechanisms	557
3.1.2.4. Soft mechanisms	561
3.2. The primary legal bases of compliance mechanisms established through secondary law	564
3.2.1. Introduction	564
3.2.2. A frequently used legal basis: Article 114 TFEU	564
3.2.2.1. Overview	564
3.2.2.2. An appropriate legal basis for compliance mechanisms?	567
3.2.3. The compliance mechanisms based on Article 114 TFEU	572
3.2.3.1. Introduction	572
3.2.3.2. Hard and mixed mechanisms	573
3.2.3.3. Soft mechanisms	577
3.2.4. Other primary legal bases	579
3.2.4.1. Hard mechanisms	579
3.2.4.2. Mixed mechanisms	582
3.2.4.3. Soft mechanisms	586
3.3. The institutional balance of the EU	588
3.3.1. Introduction	588
3.3.2. The Treaty infringement procedure	590
3.3.3. Judicial review	597
3.3.4. The neglect of Article 291 para 2 TFEU and the empowerment of European agencies	600
3.3.4.1. Introduction	600
3.3.4.2. European agencies and Article 291 para 2 TFEU	602

3.4. The principles of subsidiarity and proportionality	607
3.4.1. Introduction	607
3.4.2. The principle of subsidiarity	608
3.4.2.1. Compliance mechanisms in general	608
3.4.2.2. Implementing and enforcement mechanisms in particular	612
3.4.2.3. On the issue of soft law	614
3.4.3. The principle of proportionality	615
3.4.3.1. The Treaty infringement procedure as the elephant in the room	615
3.4.3.2. The specific compliance mechanisms	618
3.4.2.3. Inter-institutional proportionality considerations in the legislative process and the final decision-making power of the Court	620
3.5. The effects of soft law in compliance mechanisms: varying degrees of authority?	622
3.5.1. Introduction	622
3.5.2. Degrees of authority or mere legislative wordiness?	624
3.5.3. Considerations in the legislative process and conclusions	627
3.6. Legal protection for the Member States	630
3.6.1. Introduction	630
3.6.2. The action for annulment and the action for failure to act	631
3.6.3. The MS' motivation to seek judicial protection	634
VI. CONCLUSION	637
1. Summary	637
2. Closing remarks and outlook	643
2.1. Soft law	643
2.2. Compliance mechanisms	647
Bibliography	651
Index	709