

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	The Multi-Level Perspective	3
1.2	Smart Mobility	5
1.3	Challenging Automobility	8
1.3.1	The Importance of a User Perspective	10
1.3.2	The Importance of an Institutional Perspective	11
1.3.3	The Enriched MLP of Smart Mobility	12
1.4	Scope and Design	13
1.4.1	Research Questions	14
1.4.2	Dissertation Structure	15
1.4.3	Use Case Selection	16
2	The User Perspective	19
2.1	Theoretical Framework	19
2.1.1	The Concept of Individual Acceptance	19
2.1.2	Behavioral Acceptance Modeling	26
2.1.3	Literature Review	37
2.1.4	Synthesis for Smart Mobility	68
2.1.5	The Acceptance Factors of Smart Mobility	70
2.2	Conceptualization	76
2.2.1	Model Development	76
2.2.2	Model Extension	85
2.2.3	Model Context	90
2.2.4	Research Model	96

2.3	Results	97
2.3.1	Methodology	98
2.3.2	Data	104
2.3.3	Descriptive Results	124
2.3.4	PLS-SEM Results	139
2.3.5	Model Modification	167
2.4	Discussion	172
2.4.1	Factors of Acceptance	175
2.4.2	Acceptance by Use Cases	182
2.4.3	Context of Acceptance	191
2.4.4	Implications for Theory	200
2.4.5	Implications for Practice	205
2.4.6	Limitations	211
3	The Institutional Perspective	213
3.1	The Institutional Role Model	214
3.1.1	Theory	215
3.1.2	Methodology	218
3.1.3	Application	220
3.2	Model Development	221
3.2.1	Initialization	221
3.2.2	Identification	225
3.2.3	Matrixation	235
3.3	Feedback Interviews	237
3.3.1	Data Collection	237
3.3.2	Data Evaluation	238
3.3.3	Results	238
3.4	Discussion	245
3.4.1	Model Validation	245
3.4.2	Implications for IRM Theory	247
3.4.3	Implications for Smart Mobility	247
4	Conclusion	249
4.1	Contribution	251
4.1.1	Acceptance Research	252
4.1.2	Cooperations Research	253
4.1.3	Transition Research	253
4.1.4	Advancing Smart Mobility	254

4.2	Excursus: Habermas and Smart Mobility	255
4.2.1	The New Confusion	256
4.2.2	The Public Debate as a Workshop on the Future	256
4.2.3	On Decisions	257
4.3	Outlook	258
References		261