

Table of contents

Acknowledgment	7
List of abbreviations	17
Abstract	19
Delimiting the public policy exception – an introductory note ..	21
I. Research question	25
II. Background to research	27
Chapter 1: Public policy and its many contours	31
I. The origins of public policy in civil and common law systems	31
II. The different shades of public policy	34
1. As an instrument of making and interpreting laws	35
2. To regulate private contractual behavior	36
3. In applying foreign laws and recognising foreign judgments	37
4. In the field of arbitration	39
5. In trade law	40
6. Common values underlying public policy	41
III. The role of public policy in international commercial arbitration	41
IV. The importance and limitations of judicial review of arbitral awards	44
Chapter 2: Use and abuse of the public policy exception under the Indian arbitration law	47
I. Introduction	47
II. Contextualizing the research with a historical background	49

- 1. Historical reasons why India should be a pro-enforcement regime 49
 - 2. Background to the current treatment of public policy under Indian law 51
- III. The interpretation of the public policy exception by the Indian judiciary 55
 - 1. Interpretation of public policy before the enactment of Indian Arbitration Act 1996 56
 - 2. Subsequent scepticism of the courts towards arbitration 59
 - 3. Recent shift towards a more pro-arbitration approach 62
- IV. Understanding the outlook of Indian courts 64
 - 1. The traditional role of the courts in the Indian legal system 65
 - 2. Legislative contribution to initial judicial hostility towards arbitration 66
 - 3. Conflicting approach to judicial powers under Indian civil law 68
 - 4. Foreign influences on the judicial interpretation of public policy 69
 - (a) Influence of foreign courts 70
 - (b) Influence of foreign literature 72
 - (c) Analysis 74

Chapter 3: An overview of how the Indian approach to public policy compares with other jurisdictions 77

- I. The rationale for a comparative approach 77
- II. Comparison with specific common law jurisdictions 78
 - 1. The English approach to public policy 78
 - (a) Evolution of doctrine 78
 - (b) Legislative framework 79
 - (c) Judicial attitudes 81
 - 2. The American approach to the public policy exception 85
 - (a) Evolution of doctrine 85
 - (b) Legislative framework 86
 - (c) Judicial attitudes 90

III. Comparison with specific civil law jurisdictions	94
1. The German approach to public policy	94
(a) Evolution of doctrine	94
(b) Legislative framework	95
(c) Judicial attitudes	97
2. <i>Ordre public</i> under French law	100
(a) Evolution of doctrine	100
(b) Legislative framework	101
(c) Judicial attitudes	102
IV. Comparing approaches to procedural and substantive elements of public policy	105
1. Where there is a violation of procedural public policy	106
(a) The common law approach to protecting procedural public policy	107
(b) The civil law approach to protecting procedural public policy	109
2. In case of violation of substantive public policy	111
V. Analysis	112

Chapter 4: The innovative approach of Indian arbitration

law in dealing with the public policy exception	115
I. Why the 2015 amendments to the IAA defined public policy	116
1. To reduce the scope for judicial intervention and ensure arbitral awards are final and binding	117
2. To provide certainty to parties	118
II. The treatment of public policy under the Indian arbitration law	119
1. Treatment of public policy exception with respect to foreign awards	121
2. Treatment of public policy exception with respect to domestic awards	122
III. Analysis of the amendment to section 48(2)b	123

1. The making of the award was induced or affected by fraud or corruption or was in violation of section 75 or section 81	123
(a) Refusing enforcements of awards vitiated by fraud	124
(b) Refusing enforcements of foreign awards on the grounds of corruption	128
(i) Where the award is obtained by corrupt means	129
(ii) Where the award gives effect to a corrupt contract	132
(iii) Where the underlying contract itself is obtained by corrupt means	133
2. The award is in contravention with the fundamental policy of Indian law	135
(a) Use of judicial approach	138
(b) Principles of natural justice	138
(c) Perverse or irrational award	139
(d) Protecting the economic interest of India	140
(e) Protecting free and fair competition as a fundamental policy of Indian law	141
(f) Essential insolvency law provisions as a fundamental policy of Indian law	145
(g) The non-exhaustive nature of the fundamental policy of Indian law	147
3. The award conflicts with the most basic notions of morality or justice	148
(a) Most basic notions of morality as a breach of Indian public policy	148
(i) Morality, according to Indian courts	149
(ii) Comparative approaches to morality	150
(iii) Corruption or fraud as an immoral act	151
(iv) Respect for human rights	151
(b) Most basic principles of justice forming part of Indian public policy	154
(i) Where arbitrators do not follow due process/ procedure established by law	157
(ii) Manifest disregard of the law or of the contract by an arbitrator	158

(iii) Impartiality and independence of an arbitrator	159
(iv) Res judicata	162
(v) The overarching need for fairness	164
IV. The burden of proof in invoking the public policy exception	165
1. Position in statute	165
2. The burden lies on party resisting enforcement	166
3. Finding a balance in allocating the burden of proof	168
V. Evaluating the new definition of the public policy exception under Indian law	169
1. What the definition seeks to achieve	169
2. Evaluating the success of the definition in narrowly construing public policy	170
3. How the courts can play an essential role in making the definition work	172
VI. The reaction of courts to the statutory definition of public policy under the new regime	173

Chapter 5: The defining of public policy – a useful innovation? 177

I. Roadmap of chapter	177
II. Defining public policy: Pros and cons	178
1. A case against defining the scope of the public policy exception 179	
(a) The drafters of the New York Convention did not envision a definition	179
(b) Public policy is ever-changing	179
(c) A definition would reduce flexibility in interpretation	180
(d) Public policy is inherently vague and cannot be concretized 181	
(e) The drawbacks of defining public policy may outweigh the benefits	182
2. Why a definition of public policy may nevertheless be necessary	183
(a) Defining public policy will lead to reduced judicial arbitrariness	183

(b) A definition will provide legal certainty	185
(c) It helps arbitral tribunals to draft enforceable awards	186
3. Solving the dilemma through core values	187
4. Need for a middle ground	188
III. Determining the competent authority for defining the scope of the public policy exception	190
1. Using the doctrine of separation of powers as a benchmark	191
2. Determining the appropriate authority based on democratic principles	197
3. A functional approach to defining public policy – is judicial interpretation better than a statutory classification?	199
IV. The ideal statutory framework for the public policy exception	203
1. Elaborating every head of the exception	203
2. Providing a general and vague categorization	204
3. Not elaborating what is meant by public policy	205
4. Not providing public policy as grounds for refusing enforcement of arbitral awards	205
V. Seeking the elusive boundaries of the public policy exception	207
1. How should public policy be interpreted?	207
2. Deriving public policy using balancing tests	210
(a) Resolving conflicting public policies	211
(b) How can the judge find the right balance?	212
(c) The approach of courts towards public policy	213
3. Public policy as a uniform yet diverging concept	214
4. Do differing legal cultures lead to different conceptions of public policy?	215
5. When should the public policy exception be invoked by Indian courts?	217
(a) Enforcement of awards that are set aside at the seat	217
(b) Interaction of the exception with mandatory rules of Indian law	221
6. Should public policy under Indian law be based on a harmonized transnational framework?	223

(a) Need for delocalisation in delineating public policy	224
(b) Need for harmonizing approaches to public policy	226
(c) Transnational public policy as a harmonized framework	227
(d) Is harmonized transnational public policy a threat to state sovereignty?	232
7. Reconciling the use of public policy with the goal of making India an arbitration-friendly jurisdiction	234
(a) The true meaning of 'arbitration-friendly'	234
(b) Is restricting public policy truly 'arbitration-friendly'?	235
(c) Defining public policy to meet the needs of the arbitration community and society	236

Chapter 6: Determining the competent authority for deciding on claims where there is a violation of public policy .. 239

I. When the underlying contract violates public policy	239
1. Where there is illegality	240
(a) Illegality in the underlying contract	242
(i) Where the sole purpose of the contract was the performance of an overtly illegal act	243
(ii) In case certain contractual stipulations are illegal and violate public policy	246
(b) Where illegality is ancillary to the contract	250
(i) In case the underlying contract was entered into as a result of illegality, including corruption, fraud or coercion	251
(1) Where the underlying contract is tainted by corruption	251
(2) Where fraud is the <i>raison d'être</i> for entering into the underlying contract	254
(ii) Where there is illegality in the performance of contractual obligations	256
2. Where the subject matter is not arbitrable due to public policy considerations	258
(a) Courts as the competent authority to hear non-arbitrable disputes	259

- (b) Should arbitral tribunals retain jurisdiction anyway? 263
 - (i) A case for reassessing what is considered non-arbitrable 263
 - (ii) Reckoning with reality – why some disputes are best left for courts 266
 - (iii) The way forward – finding the right balance for arbitrability 270
- II. Where there are violations of public policy during the arbitral proceedings or in obtaining the award 271
 - 1. In case of allegations of illegality or irregularity at the onset of the arbitral proceedings 272
 - 2. Where there are allegations of violation of public policy during the arbitration proceedings 274
- III. Where the enforcement of the final award violates public policy 276
- IV. Which jurisdictions public policy should apply? 277
 - 1. Which public policy should the arbitral tribunal apply? 278
 - 2. The public policy applied by the courts at the seat 280
 - 3. Public policy as applied by courts at place of enforcement 280
 - 4. The subjectivist vs objectivist approach 281
- Chapter 7: Concluding observations 283**
 - I. Observations from a comparative perspective 283
 - II. Conclusion 285
 - 1. Looking at public policy from a wider context 285
 - 2. Should the public policy exception be defined? Narrowly? 287
- Bibliography 289**