

Contents

1 Introduction: Crossing the Inner-City Boundaries—Urban Redevelopment in San Diego and its Progression from the Center into the Inner Ring	1
References	16
2 Theoretical Perspectives, Operationalization, and the Conceptual Approach of Hybrid Urban Borderlands	27
2.1 Methodological Foundation of the Analysis:	
Phenomenology and Social Constructivism	28
2.1.1 Phenomenological Philosophy and Proto-Sociology	29
2.1.2 Sociology of Knowledge and the Science	
Theoretical Position of Social Constructivism	32
2.2 Landscape Research: Historical and Conceptual Development, the ‘Comeback’ of Landscape as a Social Construct, and its Sensitivity to Aspects of Hybridity	41
2.2.1 The Historical Formation of Landscape Geography: Etymological, Art Historical, and Science-Theoretical Factors	42
2.2.2 Social Constructivist Landscape Research: Central Premises and Conceptions	45
2.2.3 Phenomenologically Oriented Variants of Constructivist (Landscape) Research	48
2.2.4 Hybrid Landscapes in the 21 st Century: The Concept of Hybridity, the Socio-Spatial Phenomenon of Urban/Rural Hybridization, and Spatial Pastiches	50

2.3	The Interdisciplinary Field of Border Studies: Borders and Boundaries from a Social Constructivist Perspective and the Transposition on the Level of Urban Neighborhoods . . .	55
2.3.1	Borders and Boundaries: Present Use and Understanding of the Key Concepts of Border Studies	56
2.3.2	The Genesis of Border Studies: Conceptual Development and Common Themes	57
2.3.3	Changing the Scale: Urban Borders and Processes of Urban Bordering	59
2.4	Synthesis of Urban Landscape and Border Studies: <i>Hybrid Urban Borderlands</i> as Conceptual Basis of the Present Study	62
2.4.1	The Borderland Notion and the Relational Connection of Borders and Space	62
2.4.2	Connective Approaches of Urban Landscape and Border Studies	65
2.4.3	Hybrid Urban Borderlands—Ambiguous Spaces and Four-dimensional Practices	67
2.5	Deduction of the Central Research Questions: Theoretical Summary and Operationalization	71
2.6	Critical Reflections on the Present Theoretical Foundation	75
	References	77
3	Methodological Considerations and Methodical Approach	93
3.1	Defining and Bounding Neighborhoods: Difficulties and Present Social Constructivist Approach	93
3.2	Neopragmatic Approach: Achieving Multi-Perspectival Research Through Methodological and Methodical Triangulation	97
3.3	Present Research Triangulation: Presentation of the Individual Methods and Their Execution	100
3.3.1	Semi-Structured and Unstructured Interviews: Focusing on Individual Perception and Interpretation	100
3.3.2	Participant Observations and Phenomenological Neighborhood Walks: Inquiring into Practices, Experiences, and the Interrelations of the Social and the Material	103

3.3.3	Cartographic Visualization and Photographic Documentation: Representing the Physical, Political, Social, and Aesthetic Dimensions of Hybrid Urban Borderlands	107
3.3.4	Supplementation with U.S. Census Data: Considering Socio-Spatial Composition and Developments	108
3.4	Qualitative Content Analysis: Evaluation of the Qualitative Research Components	109
	References	111
4	From Native Land to America’s Finest City: Historical Milestones and Leading Developments in San Diego	117
	References	129
5	When San Diegans are “Getting a Little Loose with their Naming Convention:” Illuminating Subjective Everyday Experiences and Interpretations of Neighborhood Change	131
5.1	Hybrid Influences in the Neighborhoods of Uptown San Diego: Between Historic Preservation and the Ongoing Development Trend	133
5.1.1	Delayed Development and Uneven Urbanization of the Historic Neighborhood of Bankers Hill	135
5.1.2	Gentrification Waves in the LGBTQ-Neighborhood of Hillcrest	145
5.1.3	Urban Landscape and Border-Theoretical Conclusions for Uptown San Diego—Border Lines, Transition Zones, and Hybridization Tendencies in the Redeveloping Neighborhoods	156
5.2	Redevelopment Trend from West to East: The ‘Revitalization’ of the Greater North Park Area and the Penetration of the ‘North Park’ Concept into the Community of Mid-City	162
5.2.1	Historic and Quantitative Perspectives on the Recent Transformation of a Neglected Inner-Ring Community into a Vibrant ‘Urban Village’	163
5.2.2	Qualitative Insights into the Redevelopment of Greater North Park and the Community’s Multi-Dimensional Expansion into the Mid-City Community	177

5.2.3	Urban Landscape and Border-Theoretical Conclusions for the Communities of Greater North Park and Mid-City—Simultaneous Tendencies of Spatial and Social Separation, Assimilation, and Hybridization	193
5.3	The Greater Golden Hill Area: Redevelopment and Social Reinterpretation of a Victorian-Era Streetcar Community	198
5.3.1	The Historic Growth-Divide-Growth Sequence of the Neighborhoods of Golden Hill and South Park ...	201
5.3.2	Postmodern Valorization of Historicity, Centrality, and Walkability in Greater Golden Hill—Qualitative Insights	209
5.3.3	Urban Landscape and Border-Theoretical Conclusions for the Greater Golden Hill Area—Interconnected and Central Despite its Natural and Asphalted Borders	228
5.4	Downtown San Diego's Multidimensional Expansion—The Redevelopment of East Village and its Ambiguous Connections to the Hispanic Communities of Barrio Logan and Southeastern San Diego	234
5.4.1	A Historical Perspective on the (Attempted) Transformation of San Diego's Warehouse District into the Urban Creative Quarter of East Village and its Sprawling Gentrification Dynamics	236
5.4.2	Recent Demographic and Housing-Related Developments in East Village in Comparison to the Communities of Southeastern San Diego and Barrio Logan	245
5.4.3	Redevelopment Efforts, Image Branding, and Gentrification at the Southeastern Downtown Edge—East Village between Success and Failure	259
5.4.4	How Far Reaches Downtown? The Multi-Dimensional Ambivalence of San Diego's Inner City Boundaries and Their Renegotiation at the Southeastern End	275
5.4.5	Urban Landscape and Border-Theoretical Conclusion—Simultaneous Tendencies of Re- and Disconnection and the Multi-Dimensional Ambiguity of the Inner-City Boundaries	291

5.5	Theory-Based Discussion and Interpretation of the Results: The Conceptualization of Hybrid Urban Borderlands and its Application in the Case Study of San Diego	301
	References	306
6	Conclusion, Reflection, and Outlook on Hybrid Urban Borderlands—A Unique San Diegan Phenomenon or an Adjustable Lens?	315
6.1	Methodological, Methodical, and Perspectival Reflection—Addressing Limitations and Highlighting the Analytical Scope of the Present Triangulation	315
6.2	Empirical Conclusion and Outlook on Hybrid Urban Borderlands in San Diego and beyond	318
	References	324