

Table of Contents

Preface.....	VII
Table of Contents	IX
Abbreviations	XV
 Chapter 1: Introduction.....	 1
1.1 <i>The Areopagus Speech in Acts and Scholarship</i>	1
1.2 <i>Some Main Lines in Past Scholarship</i>	5
1.2.1 Jewish-Christian <i>Grundmotiv</i> and Stoic <i>Begleitmotiv</i> (Norden)	5
1.2.2 A Philosophical Sermon on the Knowledge of God (Dibelius, Pohlenz, Balch)	6
1.2.3 A Thoroughly Jewish Speech: Downplaying the Importance of Greek Material (Gärtner)	8
1.2.4 The Mixed Nature of the Speech and Hellenistic Jewish Preaching (Nauck).....	10
1.2.5 A Christian Speech: Reinterpreting Greek Philosophy Within a Christian Framework (Conzelmann)	12
1.2.6 <i>Anknüpfung und Widerspruch</i> : Philosophy as Criticism of Graeco-Roman Religion	14
1.2.7 The Search For ‘Common Ground’ and ‘Points of Contact’ in the Service of Apologetic	16
1.2.8 Christianity and Greek Philosophy as Rival Traditions (Rowe)	18
1.2.9 Conclusion: Making Sense of the Hellenization of the Speech and Its ‘Christian’ Conclusion	22
1.3 <i>A New Approach to the Areopagus Speech</i>	23
1.3.1 Recent Research on Hellenistic Judaism and Hellenization	23
1.3.2 Narrative and Verisimilitude in Acts.....	25
1.3.3 A Re-Examination of the Teachings of Stoicism and Epicureanism	29

1.4	<i>Outline and Structure of the Argument</i>	30
-----	--	----

Chapter 2: Setting Up the Debate – The Immediate Context and Beginning of the Speech (Acts 17:16–23)	32
--	----

2.1	<i>The Occasion of the Speech: Collision and Newness in Athens</i>	32
-----	--	----

2.1.1	Paul's Reaction to Athenian Worship (vv. 16–17)	33
-------	---	----

2.1.2	The Athenians' Perception of Paul and His Message (v.18)	34
-------	--	----

2.1.3	The Setting of the Speech (vv.19–21)	38
-------	--	----

2.2	<i>The Philosophical Context: Debating with Stoic and Epicurean Philosophers</i>	40
-----	--	----

2.2.1	Debating With Stoic and Epicurean Philosophers	40
-------	--	----

2.2.2	The Socratic Allusions	42
-------	------------------------------	----

2.2.3	The <i>Deisidaimonia</i> of the Athenians and Their Ignorant Worship	44
-------	--	----

2.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	45
-----	-------------------------	----

Chapter 3: Neither 'Piety,' nor 'Superstition' – Redefining <i>Deisidaimonia</i> in the Context of Graeco-Roman Religious Grammar (c. 100 BCE–120 CE)	48
---	----

3.1	<i>Methodological Concerns in the Study of Deisidaimonia</i>	51
-----	--	----

3.1.1	The Lack of Semantic Study of the Terminology of <i>Deisidaimonia</i>	51
-------	---	----

3.1.2	The Assumption of Anachronistic Conceptual Frameworks in the Study of Ancient Religion and Philosophy	53
-------	---	----

3.1.3	Ancient Definitions of <i>Deisidaimonia</i>	56
-------	---	----

3.1.4	Methodology of this Chapter	58
-------	-----------------------------------	----

3.2	<i>The Use of Deisidaimonia in Historians and Geographers</i>	60
-----	---	----

3.2.1	Diodorus Siculus (90–30 BCE)	60
-------	------------------------------------	----

3.2.2	Strabo (c. 64 BCE–c. 24 CE)	65
-------	-----------------------------------	----

3.2.3	Josephus (37–100 CE)	69
-------	----------------------------	----

3.3	<i>The Use of Deisidaimonia in Plutarch of Chaeronea (c. 45 CE – Before 125)</i>	74
-----	--	----

3.3.1 Plutarch's <i>De Superstitione</i> and His Religious Thought	74
3.3.2 Plutarch's Use of <i>Deisidaimonia</i> , <i>Eusebeia</i> and <i>Eulabeia</i>	76
3.3.3 Plutarch's Use of <i>Deisidaimonia</i> in <i>De superstitione</i> and His Religious Thought.....	78
3.4 Conclusion	80
3.4.1 The Use of <i>Deisidaimonia</i> Between the 1st c. BCE and the Early 2nd c. CE.....	80
3.4.2 <i>Deisidaimonia</i> and the Grammar of Graeco-Roman Religion	81
3.4.3 <i>Deisidaimonia</i> in Acts 17	83
 Chapter 4: <i>Deisidaimonia</i> , Piety and the Gods in Debate – Polemics Between Epicurean and Stoic Philosophers Around the First Century CE	85
4.1 <i>Epicureans on Deisidaimonia, the Gods, and Piety</i>	87
4.1.1 <i>Deisidaimonia</i> and Piety in Epicurean Philosophy	87
4.1.2 Epicurean Theology in Debate: The 'Harms' of Gods Who Are Not Wrathful nor Favourable	96
4.1.3 Summary: The Epicureans on <i>Deisidaimonia</i> and Proper Piety ...	103
4.2 <i>The Stoics on Deisidaimonia, the Gods, and Piety</i>	104
4.2.1 <i>Deisidaimonia</i> and Piety in Stoic Philosophy	104
4.2.2 Stoic Theology in Debate: The Problems of Stoic Providence	116
4.2.3 Summary: The Stoics on <i>Deisidaimonia</i> and Proper Piety	120
4.3 <i>Conclusions</i>	120
4.3.1 The Philosophical Criticism of <i>Deisidaimonia</i> in Early Post-Hellenistic Times	121
4.3.2 Stoic and Epicurean Philosophers on Traditional Religion and Piety.....	126
 Chapter 5: Something New in Athens – Godlikeness and Divine Justice in Light of the Resurrection (Acts 17:22–31).....	130
5.1 <i>Introducing the Subject: Deisidaimonia and the Unknown God (17:22–23)</i>	130
5.1.1 The Altar to the Unknown God and the Concern About Hostile Gods.....	130

5.1.2	The Unknown God and the Subject of the Speech.....	132
5.1.3	Summary vv. 23–22	138
5.2	<i>The Creator God's Relationship to Humanity (17:24–28)</i>	138
5.2.1	The Impossibility to Serve the Creator God Along Traditional Means (vv.24–25)	138
5.2.2	God's Arrangement of Humanity's Conditions to Seek Him (vv. 26–27)	140
5.2.3	The Witness of Human Life (v. 28)	145
5.2.4	Summary vv. 24–28	152
5.3	<i>False Divine Representations (17:29)</i>	153
5.3.1.	Preliminary Remarks on the Logic of Verse 29	153
5.3.2	The Divine and Man-Made Images.....	157
5.4	<i>Divine Justice and Divine Representation (17:30–31)</i>	160
5.4.1	The Universal Call to Repentance.....	162
5.4.2	Divine Judgment in Righteousness and the Risen Man	163
5.4.3	The Resurrection and the Proclamation of True Divine Representation.....	168
5.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	171
 Chapter 6: Conclusions – A New Interpretation of the Argument in Athens and Its Relation to Greek Philosophy.....174		
6.1	<i>A New Reading and Coherence for the Speech: Proclaiming the True Image of God</i>	175
6.1.1	The Argument of the Speech.....	176
6.1.2	The Immediate Narrative Context.....	178
6.1.3	The Philosophical Teaching in Early Post-Hellenistic Times	178
6.2	<i>The Speech and the Greeks: A Rapprochement with Greek Philosophers?</i>	179
6.2.1	No 'Significant' Rapprochement From a Philosophical Point of View	180
6.2.2	A Speech 'at Home' in – and Engaged With – the Debates About <i>Deisidaimonia</i> , Piety and Peace With the Gods Among Stoic and Epicurean Philosophers	182

6.2.3 A New Teaching in Athens: The Challenge of the Speech to Greek Philosophies.....	184
6.3 <i>Christology and the Proclamation of the Kerygma to the Gentiles in Acts</i>	187
6.4 <i>The Christian Movement, Graeco-Roman Culture and the Purpose of Acts</i>	191
Bibliography.....	195
Index of References.....	207
Index of Authors	216
Subject Index.....	218