

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Potentials of and Barriers to Teaching Statistics in Large Higher Education Lectures</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The Incompatibility between the Relevance of Statistics for Personality Formation and Traditional Approaches to Teaching	1
1.2	Feedback and Flipped Teaching as Competence- and Autonomy-oriented Countermeasures to Improve Teaching Statistics	4
1.3	Prevalent Gaps in the Research on Improving the Teaching of Statistics by Means of Instructional Adaptations	5
1.4	Derived Research Objectives and Structure of the Thesis	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Knowledge Acquisition and Transmission in the Domain of Statistics</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Particularization of Statistical Reasoning to the Study Context in Depth and Breadth	13
2.1.1	Hierarchical Models of Statistics Reasoning and its Transition from Computational to Conceptual Understanding	13
2.1.2	Content Models of Statistics Reasoning and their Measurement	21
2.1.3	Comparison between a Preliminary Statistics Core Curriculum and the Content Covered in the Investigated Statistics Course	24

2.1.4	Implications from the Hierarchical and Content Models for the Measurement of Statistics Reasoning in the Present Study .....	26
2.2	Impediments to the Furtherance of Statistical Reasoning in the Context of Teaching and Potential Expedients .....	27
<b>3</b>	<b>A Model for Reciprocal Interrelations between Feedback and Statistics Reasoning .....</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Formative Feedback and Academic Achievement .....	33
3.1.1	The Significance of Formative Feedback for Academic Achievement in Theoretical and Empirical Research .....	33
3.1.2	Design Characteristics Moderating the Feedback-Achievement Relationship .....	36
3.2	Formative Feedback and Achievement Motivation .....	41
3.2.1	Broadening the Conceptualization of Statistical Reasoning to Encompass Achievement Motivation in the Uptake of Feedback .....	41
3.2.2	Feedback Models Incorporating Notions of Achievement Motivation .....	43
3.2.3	The Feedback-Related Achievement Motivation Processing Model .....	51
3.2.4	Approaching the Theoretical and Empirical Research on the Interrelations between Construct-Specific Expectancy-Value Appraisals and Feedback .....	53
3.2.5	Reciprocal Relations between Statistics Self-Efficacy and Achievement .....	54
3.2.6	Reciprocal Relations between Statistics Difficulty and Achievement .....	57
3.2.7	Reciprocal Relations between Statistics Interest/Utility Value and Achievement .....	61
3.2.8	Reciprocal Relations between Statistics Affect and Achievement .....	67
3.2.9	Reciprocal Relations between Statistics Effort and Achievement .....	72
3.3	Formative Feedback and Achievement Emotions .....	77

3.3.1	Broadening the Conceptualization of Statistical Reasoning to Encompass Achievement Emotions in the Uptake of Feedback .....	77
3.3.2	Motivational and Emotional Uptake of Feedback According to the Control-Value Theory of Achievement Emotions .....	78
3.3.3	Reciprocal Relations between Enjoyment, Hopelessness, and Achievement .....	83
3.3.4	Differential Perceptions of Achievement Emotions in In-class and Out-of-class Learning Contexts .....	87
3.4	Multiplicative Effects of Expectancy-Value Appraisals on Achievement Emotions and Performance .....	89
3.5	Average Development of Achievement Motivation and Emotion throughout a Semester .....	91
3.6	Intertwining the Expectancy- and Control-Value Theory to an Integrative Model of Motivational and Emotional Feedback Processing .....	93
<b>4</b>	<b>Further Contextualization of Motivational and Emotional Feedback Processing .....</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1	Variables and Contexts Considered Relevant for Feedback Processing in Statistics Education .....	97
4.2	The Prevalent Gender Differential in Statistics-related Motivational and Emotional Appraisals .....	98
4.2.1	Gender-related Mean Differences in Motivational and Emotional Appraisals in Theoretical and Empirical Research .....	98
4.2.2	Gender-related Differences in Feedback Processing in Theoretical and Empirical Research .....	102
4.3	The Shaping Role of Statistics-related Prior Knowledge in Motivational and Emotional Appraisals .....	104
4.3.1	Expertise-related Mean Differences in Motivational and Emotional Appraisals in Theoretical and Empirical Research .....	104
4.3.2	Expertise-related Differences in Feedback Processing in Theoretical and Empirical Research .....	106

4.4	The Flipped Classroom as a Potential Catalyst of the Motivational and Emotional uptake of Feedback .....	110
4.4.1	Defining Characteristics of a Flipped Classroom ....	110
4.4.2	Theoretical Evidence on Motivational and Emotional Feedback Processing in Flipped Classrooms .....	111
4.4.3	Empirical Evidence on Motivational and Emotional Feedback Processing in Flipped Classrooms .....	118
4.5	Broadening the Feedback Model to Account for Individual and Contextual Differences in the Uptake of Feedback .....	123
<b>5</b>	<b>Empirical Basis</b> .....	127
5.1	Analytical Method of Autoregressive Structural Equation Modeling .....	127
5.2	Underlying Circumstances of the Data Collection .....	128
5.2.1	The Traditional and Flipped Course Frameworks ....	128
5.2.2	Measurement Instruments .....	131
5.2.3	Longitudinal Assessment Framework and Assessment Methods .....	138
5.3	Quality Criteria of the Study Design and Measurement Instruments .....	140
5.3.1	Objectivity Evidence .....	140
5.3.2	Reliability and Validity Evidence Based on the Internal Structure .....	142
5.3.3	Validity Evidence Based on Test Content .....	145
5.3.4	Validity Evidence Based on Relations with other Variables .....	151
5.3.5	Further Relevant Validity Criteria .....	154
5.3.6	Implications From the Reliability and Validity Evidence for the further Analyses .....	156
5.4	Samples .....	157
5.4.1	Participants .....	157
5.4.2	Missing Values and Panel Mortality .....	161
5.4.3	Distribution of the Data .....	168
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation of the Unmodified Measurement Models</b> .....	171
6.1	Choice of an Appropriate Estimator .....	171
6.2	Specification of the Factor-indicator Effect Direction .....	174

---

6.3	Implications of the Construct Specification for the Model Evaluation .....	176
6.4	Global Goodness-of-fit of the Unmodified Measurement Models .....	178
6.5	Item-specific Analyses for the Unmodified Measurement Models .....	182
6.5.1	Indicator Reliabilities .....	182
6.5.2	Dimensionality of the Item Structure .....	185
6.6	Construct-level Analyses for the Original Measurement Models .....	195
6.6.1	Composite Reliabilities .....	195
6.6.2	Average Variance Extracted .....	197
6.6.3	Fornell-Larcker Criterion .....	198
<b>7</b>	<b>Optimization of the Measurement Models .....</b>	<b>203</b>
7.1	Expectancy-value Indicators Considered for Removal or Optimization .....	203
7.1.1	Expectancy Constructs .....	204
7.1.2	Value Constructs .....	207
7.1.3	Indicator-specific Expectancy-value Effects .....	209
7.2	Evaluation of the Modified Expectancy-value Constructs .....	214
7.2.1	AVE, Composite Reliability, Fornell-Larcker, and Factorial Structure .....	214
7.2.2	Global Goodness-of-fit for the Expectancy-value Constructs .....	218
7.2.3	Examination of the Assumed 6-Factorial Structure of the Expectancy-value Constructs .....	220
7.3	Evaluation of the Modified Achievement Emotion Constructs .....	222
7.3.1	Achievement Emotion Indicators Considered for Removal or Optimization .....	222
7.3.2	AVE, Composite Reliability, Fornell-Larcker, and Goodness-of-fit .....	224
7.4	Residual Correlations .....	226
7.5	Final Evaluation and Reconceptualization of the Modified Measurement Models .....	228
<b>8</b>	<b>Results of the Longitudinal Study .....</b>	<b>235</b>
8.1	Testing Measurement Invariance Across Time .....	235

8.2	Average Motivational and Emotional Trajectories throughout the Semester .....	242
8.3	Separate Reciprocal Causation Models .....	244
8.3.1	Modeling Approach and Goodness-of-Fits .....	244
8.3.2	Expectancy-Feedback Model .....	248
8.3.3	Value-Feedback Model .....	251
8.3.4	Emotion-Feedback Models .....	254
8.4	Empirical Modeling of the Integrative Model of Achievement Motivation and Emotion .....	259
8.4.1	The Need for Downsizing the Expectancy-Value Causation Model .....	259
8.4.2	Integrating Achievement Motivation and Emotion into Additive Control-Value Causation Models .....	264
8.4.3	Squared Multiple Correlation of the Integrative Models .....	273
8.4.4	The Examination of Multiplicative Effects within the Integrative Control-Value Causation Models .....	274
8.4.5	Visualization of Conditional Expectancy-Value Effects by Means of Simple Slopes .....	280
<b>9</b>	<b>Multiple Group Causal Analyses .....</b>	<b>289</b>
9.1	Operationalization of the Grouping Variables .....	289
9.2	Testing Measurement Invariance Across Groups .....	290
9.3	Group-specific Average Development Throughout the Semester .....	293
9.4	Group-specific Reciprocal Causation Models .....	298
9.4.1	Goodness-of-fit of Each Group-Specific Model .....	298
9.4.2	Design-specific Reciprocal Causative Models .....	300
9.4.3	Gender-specific Reciprocal Causative Models .....	308
9.4.4	Expertise-specific Reciprocal Causative Models .....	315
9.4.5	Implications and Follow-up Questions from the Multiple Group Analyses .....	320
9.5	Secondary Findings on Group-specific Moderation Effects .....	323
9.5.1	Group-specific Multiplicative Expectancy-value Effects .....	323

---

9.5.2	Design-specific Quiz Effect Depending on Gender and Prior Knowledge .....	327
9.5.3	The Moderating Effect of Achievement Emotions on the Expectancy-value Feedback Effects .....	333
<b>10</b>	<b>Discussion and Conclusion .....</b>	<b>341</b>
10.1	Synoptic Evaluation of the Hypotheses .....	341
10.1.1	Do Formative Achievement as well as Achievement Motivation and Emotions Predict each other throughout the Semester? (RQ1) .....	341
10.1.2	Do Achievement Motivation and Emotions Relate to Summative Achievement? .....	342
10.1.3	Do Feedback-Related Processes Vary according to Gender, Proficiency, and Course Design? (RQ2) .....	344
10.1.4	Do Expectancy-Value Appraisals Synergistically Predict Formative Achievement and Achievement Emotions? .....	347
10.1.5	Matthew Effects and Decreasing Salience of Feedback Effects .....	348
10.2	Practical Implications and Future Directions .....	350
10.2.1	The Necessity for Scaling up Formative Feedback in Higher Education .....	350
10.2.2	Methodological Considerations and Limitations of the Present Study .....	353
	<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>357</b>