

Table of Contents

Sebastian Lohsse/Reiner Schulze/Dirk Staudenmayer

Liability for AI – Opening a new chapter of adapting European private law to the digital transition 7

Clusters of the Commission proposals: Fault Liability and Strict Liability

Bernhard A. Koch

The Grey Zone Between Fault and Strict Liability... and Where to Place AI 27

Gerald Spindler

Different approaches for liability of Artificial Intelligence – Pros and Cons 41

Clusters of the Commission proposals: Producer Liability and Operator Liability

Christiane Wendehorst

Product Liability or Operator Liability for AI – What is the Best Way Forward? 99

Jean-Sébastien Borghetti

Adapting Product Liability to Digitalization: Trying Not to Put New Wine Into Old Wineskins 129

Georg Borges

Liability of the Operator of AI Systems De Lege Ferenda 165

Clusters of the Commission proposals: Causality, Fault and the Burden of Proof

Herbert Zech

Liability for AI: Complexity problems 193

Eugenia Dacoronia

Burden of proof – How to handle a possible need for facilitating the victim's burden of proof for AI damage? 201

Clusters of the Commission proposals: Regress and Insurance

Miquel Martín-Casals

Recourse among several liable persons - allocating the burden of liability. 217

Helmut Heiss

Liability for Artificial Intelligence (AI): Solutions Provided by Insurance Law 245

Discussion Panel

Lars Entelmann

Concepts and criteria for regulation 275

Karl Ortmann

Comments of the German Insurance Association GDV on the European Commission's proposals for a revised Product Liability Directive (PLD-P) and an Artificial Intelligence Liability Directive (AILD-P) 279

Frederico Oliveira da Silva

EU AI liability proposal: An obstacle course for consumers 285