

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Question	1
1.2	Subject and Method of the Investigation	3
1.2.1	Subject of the Investigation	3
1.2.2	Method of the Investigation	9
	References	10
 Part I Birthplace USA: Clinical Ethics Consultation and Pragmatism		
2	Three Stages in the Development of Clinical Ethics Consultation . . .	17
2.1	Karen Ann Quinlan: Yes to Ethical Decision Making in the Field (1975/1976)	21
2.1.1	The Prehistory	21
2.1.2	The Judgment of the Supreme Court of New Jersey	22
2.2	The Babies Doe: No to the Centralization of Ethical Judgment (1982/1983)	29
2.2.1	Baby Doe (1982)	30
2.2.2	Baby Jane Doe (1983)	33
2.3	The Presidential Commission: A Recommendation on Ethical Pragmatics (1983)	38
2.3.1	The “Deciding to Forego Life-Sustaining Treatment” Report	40
2.3.2	The Model Guidelines of the HHS and the Criteria of the JCAHO	43
	References	48
3	Balance After Three Stages	57
	References	60

Part II	A Theory of Concrete Judgment: The Influence of Pragmatism on the Idea of Clinical Ethics Consultation	
4	Basic Features of an Ethical Theory of Classical Pragmatism	65
4.1	Review of Classical Pragmatism	65
4.2	Ethical Understanding of Classical Pragmatism	68
4.2.1	Fragments of an Ethical Theory in Charles Sanders Peirce and William James	68
4.2.2	Ethical Theory According to John Dewey	83
	References	92
5	Applied Pragmatic Ethics in Clinical Ethics Consultation	101
5.1	Theological Application Theories of Clinical Ethics	101
5.2	Pragmatic Application Theories of Clinical Ethics	105
	References	109
6	Pragmatic Justification of Clinical Decisions: An Attempt at a Response	113
6.1	Field of Evidence I: Pragmatism and the US Northeast	113
6.2	Field of Evidence II: The Pragmatic Concepts for Clinical Ethics Consultation	115
6.3	Field of Evidence III: Pragmatism and the Ethical Theory of Clinical Ethics Consultation	117
6.3.1	Indicator I: The Situational Practice as the Initial Situation	118
6.3.2	Indicator II: Discursive Multidisciplinarity as a Characteristic Feature	119
6.3.3	Indicator III: Argumentative Counselling as an Enabling Performance	123
6.3.4	Indicator IV: The Formal Judgment Process as an Ethical Principle of Truth	125
	References	126
7	Balance Sheet and Answer	131