

Contents

Acknowledgments — V

Foreword — XV

I Questions about nonfiniteness

- 1 Finiteness, tense, person agreement — 3**
 - 1.1 The morphological and semantic bases of finiteness — 3
 - 1.2 The anchoring property of finiteness — 6
 - 1.3 The syntactic representation of finiteness — 7
- 2 How nonfinite domains differ from finite ones — 11**
 - 2.1 Defectiveness — 13
 - 2.2 Dependency — 14
 - 2.3 Size — 15
- 3 Crossing the verb/noun border — 18**
 - 3.1 Nonfiniteness vs. nouniness — 18
 - 3.2 Category mixing — 19
- 4 A program — 21**

II Key concepts and emerging issues in recent syntactic theorizing

- 5 Categories and their labels — 27**
- 6 Categories and their features: Inheritance and the C-T connection — 32**
- 7 The licensing of overt and controlled subjects — 39**
 - 7.1 The minimalist view — 39
 - 7.2 Landau's rule — 40
 - 7.3 The exhaustive control/partial control divide — 43
 - 7.4 Free alternation between PRO and overt subjects — 44
 - 7.5 A quick look at the Modern Greek case — 45

7.6	Transposing Landau's generalization into Chomsky's probe-goal-Agree framework —	49
7.7	Defectiveness and non-convergence —	52
8	The semantics of nonfinite tense —	55
8.1	Stowell (1982) —	55
8.2	Wurmbrand (2001, 2014) —	57
8.2.1	On the tense of infinitives —	57
8.2.2	Explaining the simple present effect —	59
8.2.3	Factive/emotive complements are tensed —	61
8.3	Landau (2000, 2004, 2013, 2015) —	64

III The morphosyntax of English gerund forms

9	The syntax/morphology interface —	71
9.1	Lexicalism —	71
9.2	Distributed Morphology —	72
9.3	Bridging the gap between Distributed Morphology and Lexicalism —	76
10	Transcategorical items, mixed projections —	79
11	A preliminary classification of gerund phrases —	81
12	Lexical approaches to transcategoriality —	83
12.1	The neutralization approach —	83
12.2	The underspecification approach —	85
13	Syntactic accounts of transcategoriality —	87
13.1	A DM analysis of gerund forms —	87
13.2	Some shortcomings of the DM account —	89
13.3	Category mixing and category switches —	91
13.4	Some observations on switches —	92
13.5	A generalized v analysis? —	97
14	Conclusion —	100

IV The syntax of English gerund clauses

- 15 Some semantic properties of gerund domains — 105**
 - 15.1 The tense of gerund clauses — 105
 - 15.2 The aspectual value of the *-ing* suffix — 106
- 16 How gerund constructions differ from one another — 112**
 - 16.1 How verbal gerunds differ from nominal ones — 112
 - 16.2 PRO-*ing* constructions — 114
 - 16.3 Some differences between Acc-*ing* and Poss-*ing* constructions — 116
 - 16.4 Two shared properties — 120
 - 16.4.1 The syntax of gerund heads — 120
 - 16.4.2 The subject of verbal gerunds — 121
- 17 A labeling analysis of Acc-*ing* constructions — 123**
 - 17.1 Categorical architecture and feature structure — 123
 - 17.2 The effect of labeling — 125
 - 17.3 The respective scopes of labeling and category switching — 127
- 18 A category-switching analysis of Poss-*ing* constructions — 129**
- 19 A note on nominal gerund constructions — 133**
- 20 PRO-*ing* gerund constructions and the calculus of control — 135**
 - 20.1 Controlled gerund clauses in prepositional phrases — 135
 - 20.2 Is *-ing* an agreement marker? — 137
- 21 Summary — 141**

V The morphosyntax of verbo-nominal heads in contemporary Welsh

- 22 The two uses of the verb-noun — 147**
 - 22.1 VNPs used predicatively — 147
 - 22.2 VNPs used as arguments — 150
- 23 The internal structure of VNP projections — 154**
 - 23.1 Is the verb-noun a verb or a noun? — 154

- 23.2 A syntactic approach to word formation — 159
- 23.3 Irish verbo-nominal projections — 159
- 23.4 The internal structure of Welsh VNPs and clauses — 163
- 23.5 Predicative VNPs can occupy nominal positions — 164
- 24 Aspectual properties of Welsh verb-nouns — 167
- 25 Conclusion — 169

VI The syntax of Welsh verbo-nominal clauses

- 26 *i*-initial verbo-nominal clauses — 175
 - 26.1 *i* as a nonfinite complementizer — 176
 - 26.2 *i* as a case licenser — 178
 - 26.3 *i* as a nonfiniteness marker — 180
 - 26.4 Interim summary — 184
 - 26.5 The syntactic licensing of verbo-nominal clauses and of their subjects — 185
 - 26.6 Nonfinite interrogatives and relatives — 189
 - 26.7 The case of mandatory predicates — 191
 - 26.8 Verbo-nominal heads do not raise to T — 194
- 27 *Bod*-initial constructions — 196
 - 27.1 Analysis of *bod*-clauses — 196
 - 27.2 Parallels between propositional types — 200
 - 27.3 Differences between propositional types — 205
- 28 Labeling Welsh VN-clauses — 210
- 29 The tense of VN-clauses — 213
- 30 Conclusion — 218

VII Verbo-nominal root clauses in Middle Welsh

- 31 Some remarkable data — 223
- 32 A short excursus on unergative VNs — 227

33	Against the nominal analysis — 229
33.1	Aspectual periphrastic constructions — 229
33.2	The “historic infinitive” — 232
34	The ergativity of verbo-nominal root and embedded clauses — 234
35	Making the ergative analysis explicit — 238
35.1	Is a unitary analysis of ergative structures possible? — 238
35.2	Deriving ergative alignment in VN-initial structures — 239
36	Coexistence of ergative-absolutive and nominative-accusative patterns — 244
37	The interpretation of tense — 249
38	Conclusion — 251
39	Appendix: Proposals for the analysis of ergative structures — 252

VIII The syntax of inflected infinitives in European Portuguese

40	Introduction — 259
41	Three asymmetries — 265
42	The non-existence of an auxiliary/main verb asymmetry — 269
43	The case licensing of IIC subjects — 283
44	The eventive/stative divide — 286
45	The factive/nonfactive asymmetry and the categorial identity of IICs — 294
45.1	A fundamental semantic divide — 294
45.2	Complementizers — 295
45.3	The categorial status of inflected infinitive clauses — 296

- 46 Labeling IICs — 301**
 - 46.1 Labeling factive complements — 301
 - 46.2 Labeling epistemic/declarative complements — 305
- 47 Inflected/uninflected infinitive clauses with a null subject — 307**
- 48 Conclusion — 313**
- 49 Appendix: Verb classes in European Portuguese — 314**

IX Extraposition phenomena

- 50 The extraposition of embedded finite clauses — 323**
 - 50.1 The Case Resistance Principle — 324
 - 50.2 The nominal nature of propositional domains — 326
 - 50.3 The thematic properties of propositional arguments — 329
- 51 Why Welsh VN-clauses obligatorily extrapose, why Welsh VN-phrases cannot — 331**
 - 51.1 Extraposition of verbo-nominal *i-* and *bod*-clauses with an overt subject — 331
 - 51.2 A note on *synnu* — 335
 - 51.3 The non-extraposition of verbo-nominal phrases — 338
 - 51.3.1 Adjectival constructions — 338
 - 51.3.2 Subject control structures — 341
- 52 A principled approach to extraposition — 343**
- 53 Why gerund clauses don't extrapose — 346**
- 54 Why Portuguese inflected infinitive structures sometimes extrapose, sometimes don't — 351**
- 55 Conclusion — 356**

X The Latin *ab urbe condita* construction

- 56 Domain of study — 363**
- 57 Basic syntactic and semantic properties of the DPC — 368**
- 58 The analysis of passive past participles: A few landmarks — 374**
- 59 DPCs as mixed projections — 383**
- 60 Agreement and case in DPCs — 392**
 - 60.1 The problem — 392
 - 60.2 Formalizing agreement and case assignment in DPCs — 395
 - 60.3 A particular case — 398
- 61 The origin of linguistic variation — 401**
- 62 Conclusion — 404**

XI Facts and events, attitudinal objects and states of affairs

- 63 Do gerund clauses name facts? — 411**
- 64 Verbo-nominal clauses — 417**
- 65 On attitudinal objects — 421**
- 66 Inflected infinitive constructions — 424**
- 67 Taking stock — 426**

XII Conclusion: The many faces of defectiveness

- 68 Semantics — 433**
- 69 Morphosyntax — 435**

XIV — Contents

70 Syntax — 437

70.1 Classification of NFDs — **437**

70.2 The licensing of overt subjects — **438**

70.3 The movement of verbo-nominal heads — **439**

70.4 Labeling — **440**

70.5 Size — **441**

71 On defectiveness — 442

References — 445

Index — 463