

CONTENTS

| | |
|-----|---|
| 13 | Measuring a temple |
| 19 | Research practices redesign the artefact again and again |
| 21 | The crucial contribution – is design-based research independent of the artefact? |
| 27 | Research methods take on a life of their own |
| 31 | Doctorate via commission – evaluating artefacts as doctoral-equivalent achievements |
| 37 | Explicit, transferable, and verifiable knowledge |
| 39 | Design-based research without built work |
| 43 | Promoting architectural quality through design-based research |
| 51 | Design-based practices as practices of making explicit |
| 55 | The research process as a development process – changing the artefact |
| 61 | The artefact's embodied knowledge |
| 65 | The distinction between conventional and experimental practices |
| 73 | Design-based research vs. research for and on design |
| 75 | Ergon and energeia |
| 79 | The artefact as an autonomous form of knowledge |
| 83 | Autonomy and transformation |
| 87 | Community of practice – individual contextualisation |
| 89 | Mastery and the sedimentation of knowledge in the artefact |
| 97 | Contextualisation and demonstration of relevance |
| 103 | Impact within the design disciplines |
| 107 | Relevance to interdisciplinary research |
| 111 | Architectural design is spatial design |
| 119 | Researching with design practices in the Anthropocene |
| 125 | Spatial design as the core architectural expertise |
| 129 | Thematic graduate programme |
| 135 | Afterword Language in and for Artefactual Practice Marcelo Stamm |
| 161 | Literature list |
| 173 | Notes on contributors |
| 185 | Subject index |
| 189 | Name index |