

# Table of contents

Abbreviations	17
1. Introduction	21
1.1. The birth of Pillar Two or how we came to be where we are	21
1.2. Pillar Two: overview and definitions	29
1.3. Literature overview	33
1.4. Research objective and overview of structure	37
2. The subject-to-tax rule	41
2.1. Overview	41
2.2. Reactions from the public	46
2.2.1. Submissions to the Public Consultation Document of October 2020	46
2.2.2. Panel contributions in the Public Consultation Meeting in January 2021	47
2.3. Critical appraisal of the subject-to-tax rule	48
2.4. The Minimum Effective Tax Rate proposal of the BEPS Monitoring Group as an alternative to the subject-to-tax rule and the GloBE rules?	53
3. The GloBE rules: scope of application, the calculation of the effective tax rate and the enigma about the minimum tax rate	57
3.1. Scope of application of the GloBE rules	57
3.2. The calculation of the effective tax rate for purposes of the GloBE rules	61
3.2.1. The denominator: The GloBE tax base	62
3.2.1.1. Financial accounts as starting point	62
3.2.1.2. Adjustments to financial accounts for GloBE purposes	67
3.2.1.2.1. General remarks	67

3.2.1.2.2. Special adjustments regarding government grants and tax credits	72
3.2.1.3. Alternative options for the determination of the GloBE tax base	76
3.2.2. The numerator: Taxes to be taken into account (covered taxes)	78
3.2.2.1. The definition of covered taxes for GloBE purposes	78
3.2.2.2. Alternative approaches for the determination of covered taxes for GloBE purposes	82
3.2.2.3. Covered taxes for GloBE purposes and digital services taxes	87
3.2.3. The level of blending	92
3.2.3.1. General remarks	92
3.2.3.2. Consolidation adjustments	95
3.2.3.3. Assignment of the GloBE income and covered taxes	97
3.2.4. The carry-forward approach to level out temporary differences	101
3.2.4.1. The issue about temporary differences	101
3.2.4.2. The carry-forward approach	104
3.2.4.2.1. Explanation and justification of the choice of the carry-forward approach	104
3.2.4.2.2. General remarks on the carry-forward approach	106
3.2.4.2.3. The elements of the carry-forward approach	107
3.2.4.2.3.1. The loss carry-forward	107
3.2.4.2.3.2. The income inclusion rule tax credit and the local tax carry-forward	109
3.2.4.3. Further administrative considerations	112
3.2.4.4. Deferred tax accounting as the better approach to deal with temporary differences?	114
3.2.5. The discussion about carve-outs	116
3.2.5.1. The different carve-outs and thresholds discussed in the OECD documents before the publication of the Blueprint	116
3.2.5.2. General remarks on carve-outs	119

3.2.5.3. The formulaic substance-based carve-out of the Blueprint	121
3.2.5.3.1. The effects of the chosen carve-out	121
3.2.5.3.2. Details on the carve-out of the Blueprint	125
3.2.5.3.2.1. General remarks	125
3.2.5.3.2.2. The payroll component	127
3.2.5.3.2.3. The tangible asset component	128
3.2.5.3.2.4. Use of the carve-out in the case of stateless entities	130
3.2.6. Simplifications options	132
3.3. The enigma about the minimum tax rate	136
3.4. Summary	140
4. The income inclusion rule	144
4.1. Overview	144
4.2. The income inclusion rule and CFC rules	149
4.3. The income inclusion rule as treaty compatible as the CFC rules?	152
4.4. The potential recognition of the US GILTI regime as a qualified income inclusion rule	155
4.4.1. Main features of the GILTI regime	155
4.4.2. The suggestion to consider GILTI as a qualified income inclusion rule	157
4.4.3. Overview of the differences between the GILTI regime in its current form and the income inclusion rule	160
4.4.4. Reactions from the public to the suggestion of recognising GILTI as a qualified income inclusion rule	165
4.4.5. Announced changes to the design of GILTI by the Biden administration	167
4.4.6. Example calculations of the GILTI tax and the income inclusion rule top-up tax	169
4.4.7. Implications of recognizing GILTI as a qualified income inclusion rule	170
4.4.8. Conclusion	175

## *Table of contents*

5. The undertaxed payments rule	177
5.1. Overview	177
5.2. Problems arising from the minimum-minimum tax rate	181
5.3. Treaty compatibility of the undertaxed payments rule	182
5.4. Critical appraisal of the undertaxed payments rule	189
6. Permanent establishments under Pillar Two	195
6.1. Information thus far provided on the role of permanent establishments under the GloBE instruments	195
6.2. The application of the GloBE instruments to permanent establishments	196
6.2.1. The subject-to-tax rule and permanent establishments	196
6.2.2. The calculation of the effective tax rate, the income inclusion rule, the switch-over rule, and permanent establishments	198
6.2.3. The undertaxed payments rule and permanent establishments	202
6.3. The switch-over rule for GloBE purposes to ensure minimum taxation of permanent establishments	204
6.3.1. Overview	204
6.3.2. Design issues with regard to the switch-over rule for GloBE purposes	205
6.3.3. The suggestion of a switch-over rule on a standalone basis	206
6.4. Conclusion	207
7. The effectiveness of the GloBE instruments in achieving uniform global minimum taxation	209
7.1. The common approach as the rules status of the GloBE rules	209
7.2. Jurisdictions trying to circumvent the GloBE instruments	212
7.3. MNEs trying to circumvent the GloBE instruments	216
7.3.1. With regard to permanent establishments	217
7.3.2. With regard to the individual instruments of the GloBE proposal	219
7.3.2.1. The subject-to-tax rule	220
7.3.2.2. The income inclusion rule	221

7.3.2.3. The undertaxed payments rule	223
7.3.3. The use of tax transparent or hybrid entities	224
7.3.4. Joint ventures and associates	226
7.3.5. Stichtings as an example for orphan entities to be used to avoid the application of the GloBE instruments	228
7.3.5.1. The Dutch Stichting	228
7.3.5.2. The Netherlands Antilles – Their private foundation as another example of an orphan entity	232
7.3.5.3. Orphan entities in the Blueprint	233
7.3.6. The problem of tax realization	236
7.3.7. General example to escape the GloBE instruments if IP is located at the level of a low-taxed subsidiary	237
7.3.8. Example for a tax neutral restructuring based on tax treaty and German tax law	240
7.4. Conclusion	245
8. Conclusion	248
8.1. Summary and critical appraisal of the GloBE proposal as a whole	248
8.2. Recommendations to improve Pillar Two	253
8.3. The next step and outlook	260
German summary	263
Appendix	271
Bibliography	279