

# Table of Contents

Mark A Geistfeld, Ernst Karner and Bernhard A Koch

**Comparative Law Study on Civil Liability for Artificial Intelligence — 1**

Christiane Wendehorst and Yannic Duller

**Safety- and Liability-Related Aspects of Software — 185**

## Annex

Expert Group on Liability and New Technologies – New Technologies Formation

**Liability for Artificial Intelligence and Other Emerging Digital  
Technologies — 321**

## **Table of Contents**

**Introduction — 7**

**Executive Summary — 9**

**Civil Liability for Artificial Intelligence. A Comparative Overview  
of Current Tort Laws in Europe — 21**

**A. Introduction — 21**

**B. Current Tort Law Regimes and Artificial Intelligence — 23**

**I. Overview — 23**

**II. Causation — 26**

**1. Procedural starting points — 28**

**(a) Standard of proof — 28**

**(b) Procedural alleviations of the burden of proof — 30**

**(c) Administrative law measures assisting those charged  
with the burden of proof — 33**

**2. Basis of liability — 35**

**3. Burden of proving causation — 37**

**4. Causal uncertainty — 41**

**III. Fault liability — 44**

**1. Overview — 44**

**2. The varieties of fault liability in Europe — 45**

**(a) Differences regarding the recognition of wrongfulness  
as a separate element — 45**

**(b) Differences regarding the benchmark for assessing the  
harmful conduct — 46**

**(c) Differences regarding the applicable fault standard — 47**

**(d) Differences regarding the burden of proving fault — 48**

**(1) Jurisdictions where the burden of proving fault primarily  
lies on the claimant — 48**

**(2) Jurisdictions where the burden of proving fault is generally  
shifted upon the defendant — 51**

**(3) Jurisdictions where the burden of proving fault is placed ad  
hoc — 51**

**(e) Differences regarding other deviations from the standard rules  
of fault liability — 51**

- (f) Differences regarding the impact of fault on the extent of compensation — **52**
    - (g) Interim result — **53**
  - 3. The application of fault-based liability to AI systems — **53**
    - (a) Conduct requirements for the deployment of AI systems — **54**
    - (b) The burden of proving misconduct triggering liability in the case of autonomous systems — **56**
    - (c) The significance of fault-based liability for AI systems — **59**
- IV. Vicarious liability — **61**
  - 1. Overview — **61**
  - 2. The varieties of vicarious liability in Europe — **62**
    - (a) Differences regarding the classification of vicarious liability — **62**
    - (b) Differences regarding the trigger of vicarious liability — **62**
    - (c) Differences regarding the implications of the differing scope of liability — **64**
    - (d) Differences regarding the range of persons for whose conduct someone else may be held liable — **65**
    - (e) Differences regarding the context of the harmful conduct — **66**
    - (f) Differences regarding the availability of direct claims against the auxiliary — **67**
    - (g) Differences regarding the extent of the liability of legal persons for their representatives — **68**
  - 3. Analogous application of vicarious liability to AI systems? — **69**
- V. Strict (risk-based) liability — **69**
  - 1. Overview — **69**
  - 2. The varieties of strict liability in Europe — **70**
    - (a) Differences regarding the liable person — **70**
    - (b) Differences regarding the range and scope of strict liabilities — **71**
      - (1) Singular instances of strict liability — **71**
      - (2) General clause of strict liability — **72**
      - (3) Strict liability for things — **73**
    - (c) Differences regarding the possibility to extend strict liability by analogy — **74**
    - (d) Differences regarding the availability of defences — **75**
    - (e) Differences regarding the extent of compensation — **77**
    - (f) Differences regarding the possibility to file concurrent fault-based claims — **77**

**C. Use Cases — 77****I. Autonomous vehicles — 78**

1. The system of traffic liability — 78
  - (a) Fault-based and risk-based liability — 78
  - (b) Liability insurance — 80
2. Consequences of automatisisation for road traffic liability — 81
3. Differences between current motor vehicle liability regimes — 84
  - (a) Subject of liability — 84
  - (b) Risks covered — 86
  - (c) Protection of the driver/user? — 89
  - (d) Exclusion of liability in case of a technical defect? — 90
  - (e) Relevance of contributory negligence — 92
  - (f) Joyriders and hacking — 93
4. Excursus: A specific insurance solution for autonomous motor vehicles — 93
5. Findings of this use case — 95

**II. Autonomous lawn mowers and harvesters — 96**

1. Autonomous lawnmowers — 96
  - (a) Fault liability — 96
  - (b) Strict liability — 98
  - (c) Summary — 100
2. Autonomous combine harvesters — 100
  - (a) Fault liability — 100
  - (b) Strict motor vehicle liability — 101
  - (c) Strict liability for things — 107
  - (d) General risk-based liability — 107
3. Findings of this use case — 108

**III. Autonomous drones — 109**

1. Preliminary remarks — 109
2. Three main damage scenarios — 110
3. Current legal landscape in Europe — 112
  - (a) International and EU law — 112
    - (1) Rome Convention 1952 — 112
    - (2) Regulation (EC) No 785/2004 — 113
    - (3) The new EU drones regime — 114
  - (b) Domestic law — 115
    - (1) Specific (strict) liability for drones — 115
    - (2) Strict liability for aircraft also potentially applicable to some drones — 115

- (3) Strict liability for means of transport also potentially applicable to some drones — **120**
  - (4) General strict liability also potentially applicable to some drones — **121**
  - (5) No specific regime applicable at all — **123**
- 4. Findings of this use case — **124**
- 5. Case hypotheticals — **124**
- D. Conclusions — **127**
- Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in General and Autonomous Vehicles in Particular in the US — **133**
- A. Status of Artificial Intelligence Regulation in the United States — **133**
  - 1. Scope of this Report — **133**
  - 2. Statutes as a Source of Liability — **134**
  - 3. Express Federal Regulation of Artificial Intelligence — **135**
  - 4. Express State Regulation of Artificial Intelligence — **135**
  - 5. Application of Existing Statutes to Artificial Intelligence — **140**
  - 6. Application of State Tort Law to Artificial Intelligence — **145**
  - 7. Conclusion — **147**
- B. Status of Autonomous Vehicle Regulation in the United States — **147**
  - 1. Current Regulatory Framework Governing Liability and Insurance Issues for Motor Vehicle Crashes — **147**
  - 2. Federal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles — **149**
  - 3. State Legislation Expressly Addressing Autonomous Vehicles — **150**
    - (a) Operating Autonomous Vehicles Without a Human Operator — **151**
    - (b) Operating Autonomous Vehicles Only with a Human Operator — **151**
    - (c) Operating Autonomous Vehicle on Public Roads — **151**
    - (d) Determining Liability in the Event of a Crash — **152**
    - (e) Regulating Duty in the Event of a Crash — **153**
    - (f) Requiring Insurance — **153**
  - 4. Application of State Tort Law to Autonomous Vehicles — **153**
  - 5. Conclusion — **155**
- C. Annexes — **156**
  - Annex I: State Regulations of Autonomous Vehicles — **156**
    - 1. State Legislation Allowing Autonomous Vehicles to Operate without a Human Operator in the Vehicle — **156**
    - 2. State Legislation Allowing Automated Driving only with a Human Operator — **158**
    - 3. State Legislation Allowing Autonomous Vehicles on Public Roads — **159**

4.	State Legislation Addressing Liability in the Event of a Crash	160
5.	State Legislation Regulating Duty in the Event of a Crash	161
6.	State Legislation Requiring Insurance	164
7.	State Legislation Requiring Minimal Risk Condition	166
8.	State Legislation Granting Special Privileges	168
9.	State Legislation Requiring Further Research	170
Annex II: State Regulation that Indirectly References AI		172
1.	Appropriating Money/Expanding Research	172
2.	Investigative Commissions	175
3.	Outlining Administrative Goals	180
4.	Other State Efforts	180
Annex III: Federal Regulation that Indirectly References AI		180
1.	Appropriating Money/Expanding Research	180
2.	Outlining Administrative Goals	182
3.	Regulatory Interest	183
4.	U.S. Trade Agreements	184