

Contents

Preface — XV

Abbreviations — XVII

Introduction — 1

I The Conception of an “Introduction” as Part of an Account of Christian Doctrine after the Anthropological Turn

Introduction — 7

- 1 The Tasks and the Layout of the “Introduction” in the First and the Second Editions of *The Christian Faith* — 9
 - 1.1 “Philosophical theology” as a framework for dogmatics in the *Brief Outline* (1811) — 10
 - 1.1.1 The enquiries constituting “philosophical theology” — 11
 - 1.1.2 Dogmatics as part of “historical theology” — 11
 - 1.1.3 Issues requiring clarification — 14
 - 1.2 The Introductions of 1811 and 1821/22: Continuities and differences — 15
 - 1.2.1 Continuities between the Lecture course and the first edition of the *Glaubenslehre* — 17
 - 1.2.2 Differences — 18
 - 1.2.3 The systematic reason for beginning the *Glaubenslehre* with a definition of dogmatics — 19
 - 1.3 The final ordering in 1830/31 — 20
 - 1.3.1 The new sequence of the propositions — 20
 - 1.3.2 The effect of the reorganisation — 23
 - 1.4 The final shape of the architecture of the *Glaubenslehre* in current debate — 25
 - 1.4.1 Schleiermacher’s discussion of his planned revisions in the 1829 Letters to Lücke — 25
 - 1.4.2 Counterarguments to reversing the sequence of Parts One and Two — 27

2	Determining the Essence of Piety by Two Distinct Methods in the First and the Second Editions — 29
2.1	The original version: A phenomenological approach — 29
2.1.1	Feeling as the location of piety (¹ § 8) — 30
2.1.2	The feeling of absolute dependence as a consciousness of God (¹ § 9) — 32
2.1.3	The need to keep “partial” and “absolute” dependence distinct in the interpretation of both editions — 34
2.2	The transcendental turn in defining the essence of piety in ² §§ 3 and 4 — 36
2.2.1	The first step: The analysis of actual self-consciousness in its dual constitution — 37
2.2.1.1	The deepened account of ² § 3 for the place of “feeling” in relation to thinking and acting — 38
2.2.1.2	Actual self-consciousness as marked by the distinction between “positing” and “posited” — 40
2.2.1.3	The essential structure of the subject as the functional unity of activity and receptivity — 41
2.2.2	The second step: The impossibility of founding the structure of finite freedom either on itself or on the world — 42
2.2.2.1	Comparing the key terms of the second edition with their English translations — 42
2.2.2.2	Enquiring into the origin of the subject’s structure — 45
2.2.3	The third step: The immediate self-consciousness of utter dependence as an innate relation to God — 46
2.2.3.1	The lack of foundation in the finite as the basis for claiming a “Whence” — 47
2.2.3.2	Immediate and inaccessible as an object? — 48
2.2.3.3	The feeling of absolute dependence as the hermeneutical basis of the concept of God — 49
2.2.3.4	Self-authenticating claim or a careful identification of the anthropological foundation for the question of God? — 51
2.3	Disputes about the interpretation of the analysis and of its results — 52
2.3.1	Questions to a transcendental reconstruction — 53
2.3.1.1	Different understandings of “transcendental” — 54
2.3.1.2	Objections to reconstructing Schleiermacher’s argument as an analysis of facticity — 57

2.3.2	The immediate self-consciousness as pre-reflective, and its divergent interpretations as monist or as egological — 61
2.3.2.1	Comparing Schleiermacher and Dieter Henrich on an immediate or “pre-reflective” consciousness — 63
2.3.2.2	The “pre-reflective familiarity of the Ego with itself” as the first principle of a theory of subjectivity — 64
2.3.3	Questions to the result of the analysis of ² § 4 — 65
2.3.3.1	Distinguishing between the key findings and the choices made in their interpretation: facticity as “absolute dependence” from God — 65
2.3.3.2	Questions to the “immediacy” of the self-consciousness as a God-consciousness — 67
2.3.3.3	The issue of what is being claimed: the possibility or the actuality of a God-consciousness in all humans? — 69
3	The Definition of the Essence of Christianity — 71
3.1	The functions of the essence definition of Christianity — 71
3.1.1	Establishing the theological principle of the dogmatics — 71
3.1.2	Replacing the method of proofs from the Bible — 73
3.1.3	Striving for a consensus in the face of inevitable disagreements — 76
3.2	Methodological reflections and key terms for defining the essence of Christianity in the Lectures of 1811 — 78
3.3	Steps, explication and implications of the definition of the essence of Christianity in the first edition — 81
3.3.1	The general and the individualising principles for capturing historical religions — 81
3.3.2	The relation between a constructive and a comparative, historical-critical approach in the first edition — 84
3.3.3	The explication of the concept of redemption — 85
3.3.4	Implications of the essence formula for drawing limits — 88
3.3.4.1	Deriving “natural heresies” from the concept of redemption — 88
3.3.4.2	The “natural” and the “supernatural” regarding revelation — 90
3.3.4.3	“Inner experience” as supra-rational, its secondary dogmatic conceptualisation as “rational” — 92
3.4	The corrections and the new emphases of the second edition — 93
3.4.1	Clarifications of the hermeneutical procedure — 93
3.4.2	The relation between the sensible and the higher self-consciousness — 96

3.4.3	Redemption – historical event or construction of reason? — 97
3.4.3.1	The limits of construction by a philosophy of religion — 97
3.4.3.2	Changes regarding the relationship of reason to revelation — 99
4	Critiques of the First Edition and Evaluation of the Changes to the Introduction as a Framework for the Dogmatics — 102
4.1	The reception of the first edition among rationalists and supernaturalists — 103
4.1.1	Rationalist objections — 104
4.1.2	A supernaturalist critique — 107
4.2	F. C. Baur's interpretation of the <i>Glaubenslehre</i> as an "ideal rationalism" — 109
4.2.1	F. C. Baur's early critique — 110
4.2.2	The final shape of the critique since Baur's turn to Hegel — 117
4.2.2.1	The method of inferring backwards from the effect to the cause — 118
4.2.2.2	The intertwining of the archetypal and the historical — 119
4.2.2.3	The determination of the content of redemption — 121
4.2.3	The renewal of Baur's critique by Alister McGrath — 125
4.2.3.1	On the road to Feuerbach? — 125
4.2.3.2	Lacking a historical foundation? The method of inference in the dogmatics — 128
4.3	Evaluation: The Introduction as a framework for the dogmatics. Directions of the revision, ongoing debates, and questions to Part Two — 131
4.3.1	Key orientations in the revision of the first Introduction — 131
4.3.2	The enduring bond of redemption to the person of Jesus Christ — 134
4.3.3	Questions for the dogmatics — 136

II Schleiermacher's Reworking of Christian Doctrine in his Material Dogmatics

Introduction — 141

5	The Theological Anthropology of the <i>Glaubenslehre</i>: Original Perfection, Sinfulness and Receptivity for Redemption — 143
5.1	Theological anthropology in its role of mediating in two directions: to the doctrine of God and to soteriology — 143

5.2	The basic conception of a humanity receptive for redemption —	145
5.2.1	Original perfection as the world's openness to enquiry —	145
5.2.2	A model for combining natural determination with receptive and self-active individuality: Leibniz's theory of monads —	148
5.2.3	The interpretation of human sinfulness —	154
5.3	The revised argumentation of the second edition —	156
5.3.1	The relationship of human sensibility to the God-consciousness —	157
5.3.2	The content and the standing of human freedom —	160
5.3.3	Objections to a naturalising concept of sin —	162
5.4	Consequences of the revised subject theoretical foundations for the approach to Christology —	166
5.4.1	Can a sinless existence be constructed a priori? —	166
5.4.2	The completion of the creation of human nature and Jesus as "Second Adam" —	169
5.5	Taking stock of the doctrines of human perfection and sinfulness —	170
6	A Christology from Two Perspectives: the Consciousness of Redemption, and the Single Divine Decree —	172
6.1	The basic outline of Christology —	173
6.1.1	The inconsistencies of the two-natures terminology in Christology —	173
6.1.2	The arguments for the unity of the archetypal and the historical in the person of Jesus —	177
6.2	The final outline of Christology —	180
6.2.1	The historical nature of the archetypal Jesus —	180
6.2.2	Christ as the completion of creation —	184
6.2.3	Evaluations of the turn to a Second-Adam Christology in the history of reception of the second edition —	188
6.2.4	The mediation of redemption —	190
6.3	The liberation of human God-consciousness by a sinless redeemer: Enough to secure the ongoing significance of Jesus Christ? —	193
6.3.1	Jesus' distinction: the unique strength of his God-consciousness —	193
6.3.2	The under-determination of Jesus' concrete relationship to God —	197
6.3.3	Continuity of natural forces versus contingency of history —	201
6.3.4	The balance between Christology and ecclesiology —	203
6.4	Summary and guiding questions to the doctrine of God —	206

7	A Doctrine of God Developed from the Feeling of Absolute Dependence — 208
7.1	Foundational points from the first edition onwards: God as absolute causality — 209
7.1.1	God and the “interconnected process of nature” (<i>Naturzusammenhang</i>) — 209
7.1.2	The priority of preservation over creation — 212
7.1.3	The view of personalistic concepts as limiting for God — 214
7.2	Clarifications in the final elaboration of the doctrine of God — 217
7.2.1	The status of Part One: not a natural theology — 218
7.2.2	The role of the <i>Dialectic</i> — 220
7.3	Ongoing disputes in the reception history — 222
7.3.1	The general and the specifically Christian attributes of God — 222
7.3.1.1	The canons of divine unity, simplicity and infinity, and the identity of essence and attributes — 222
7.3.1.2	Love as a complete definition of God’s essence, or as a modification of divine causality? — 224
7.3.2	The God-world framework: metaphysics of monism or of individuality, Spinoza or Leibniz? — 225
7.3.2.1	Arguments for a Spinozist reading — 226
7.3.2.1.1	Subordinating creation to preservation — 226
7.3.2.1.2	Tying God to the world — 227
7.3.2.1.3	Declaring ‘will’ and ‘can’ as identical in God — 228
7.3.2.2	Points incompatible with Spinozism — 228
7.3.2.2.1	The definition of piety itself reached in an analysis of subjectivity — 229
7.3.2.2.2	<i>Creatio ex nihilo</i> as indicator of the difference between God and world — 229
7.3.2.2.3	The explication of the attributes of omniscience and of eternity — 230
7.3.2.2.4	Individuality not as transition point, but as constituted in itself — 230
7.3.3	Consequences for the concept of human freedom in relation to the world and towards God — 231
7.3.3.1	Freedom as the backstop against a reduction to materialism — 232
7.3.3.2	Not recognised: the unconditionality of human freedom — 232
7.3.3.3	A test case: The reasons for endorsing an <i>apokatastasis panton</i> — 235

8	Conclusion: Creation and Redemption in Categories of Freedom — 237
8.1	Key theory decisions and achievements of Schleiermacher's <i>Glaubenslehre</i> — 237
8.1.1	The choice of thought form: human freedom — 238
8.1.2	Renewing Christology — 238
8.1.3	Recasting theology as a project of elaborating the core truth of Christianity in critical interaction with modernity — 240
8.2	Problems with specific argumentations and solutions — 241
8.2.1	Overdrawing the result of the analysis of self-consciousness — 241
8.2.2	Underdetermining the content of Christology — 242
8.2.3	Making the case for a changeless God — 243
8.2.4	Underrating the critical contribution of theological perspectives to the dialogue of the humanities with the natural sciences — 245
8.3	Opening up a new era: Duns Scotus's conception of a God who creates free counterparts and risks an open history — 247
8.3.1	The distinction between <i>potentia Dei absoluta</i> and <i>potentia Dei ordinata</i> — 248
8.3.2	The addressees of God's love: Human beings in their freedom — 249
8.3.3	The <i>haecceitas</i> of Jesus — 250
8.3.4	The outcome of history as an open theological question — 252
	Bibliography — 255
1	Sources — 255
2	Secondary literature — 256
	Person Index — 270
	Subject Index — 273