

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
KEY ISSUES IN IBN SİNĀ'S RECEPTION OF THE <i>CATEGORIES</i> AND IN THE ENSUING PHILOSOPHICAL DEBATES.....	9
1. Varying Approaches of Determining the Scope of the <i>Categories</i>	11
<i>A. Study</i>	
1.1. Pre-Avicennian Justifications for Including the <i>Categories</i> in the Logic Curriculum.....	11
1.2. Ibn Sînā's Gradual Departure from Peripatetic-Neoplatonic Conventions Regarding the Scope of the <i>Categories</i> : From the <i>Muḥtaṣar</i> via the <i>Şifā'</i> and the <i>Ta'liqāt</i> to the <i>İsārāt</i>	18
1.2.1. Early Reflections on the Subject-Matter of Logic in <i>al-Muḥtaṣar al-awsaṭ fī l-manṭiq</i>	18
1.2.2. An Intermediate Stage: Discussions of the Subject-Matter of Logic and of the Scope of the <i>Categories</i> in the <i>Şifā'</i> and in the <i>Ta'liqāt</i>	21
1.2.3. Implementation of a Reform Program: A Glimpse at the Exclusion of the <i>Categories</i> from the Logic of <i>al-İsārāt wa-t-tanbīhāt</i>	30
1.3. The Aftermath of Ibn Sînā's Understanding of the Scope of the <i>Categories</i>	32
1.3.1. In the Footsteps of Ibn Sînā: Faḥr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī's Exclusion of the <i>Categories</i> from Logic	32
1.3.2. A Structural Revival of the Aristotelian <i>Organon</i> : Naşīr ad-Dīn aṭ-Ṭūsī and al-ʿAllāma al-Ḥillī on the Role of the <i>Categories</i> in the Logic Curriculum	36
<i>B. Texts</i>	
a. Ibn Sînā, <i>K. aṣ-Şifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I,1.....	41
b. al-ʿAllāma al-Ḥillī's Appendix to <i>K. aṣ-Şifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I,1.....	48
c. Ibn Sînā, <i>at-Ta'liqāt</i> , no. 919–924	51

2. Ibn Sīnā's Reception of the Two Aristotelian Criteria for Dividing
 "Beings" (ὄντα): "Being Said of a Subject" and "Existing in a Subject"57

A. Study

2.1.	General Introductory Remarks on the Aristotelian Background	57
2.1.1.	Aristotle's Fourfold Division of "Beings" in <i>Cat. 2</i>	57
2.1.2.	The Predicative Scheme of <i>Cat. 2</i> vs the Hylemorphic Approach of <i>Met. Z-H</i>	61
2.2.	Excursus on Ibn Ruṣd's Approach in His <i>Talḥīṣ Mā ba'd aṭ-ṭabī'a</i> : Reading the Ontological Order Established in the <i>Categories</i> into the <i>Metaphysics</i>	67
2.3.	Ibn Sīnā's Ranking of Substances in <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , III, 1–2	70
2.4.	Ibn Sīnā's Treatment of <i>Cat. 2</i> in <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I, 3: An Attempt at Integrating Form and Matter into a Scheme of Attributive Relations	74
2.4.1.	Ibn Sīnā's Reading of <i>Cat. 2</i> : From the <i>Muḥtaṣar</i> to the <i>Šifā'</i>	74
2.4.2.	An Outline of <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I, 3	77
2.4.3.	Ibn Sīnā's Critique of Previous Interpretations of <i>Cat. 2</i>	80
2.4.3.1.	The Equivocity of <i>mawḍū'</i>	80
2.4.3.2.	An Anonymous Predecessor, the Neoplatonic Commentators and al-Fārābī on "Being Said of a Subject"	81
2.4.3.3.	Ibn Sīnā's Refutation of the Identification of "Being Said of a Subject" with Essential Predication	87
2.4.4.	A Syllogism in the "of a Subject" Mode of Predication	92
2.4.4.1.	Ibn Sīnā's Contemporaries and Andronicus of Rhodes on <i>Cat. 3</i> , 1b10–15	92
2.4.4.2.	Ibn Sīnā's Reassessment of Aristotle's Syllogism in the "of a Subject" Mode of Predication (<i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I, 5): Predicating Non-Essential Paronymous Expressions of the Subject	100
2.4.5.	Ibn Sīnā's Alternative Classification of Attributes	104
2.4.5.1.	Short Excursus on Ibn Sīnā's Terminological Clarifications in <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Madḥal</i> , I, 5–6	104
2.4.5.2.	The Fivefold Scheme of Attributive Relations Introduced in <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I, 3	107
2.4.6.	Concluding Remark on the Fivefold Scheme of <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I, 3	115
2.5.	A Note on the Aftermath of Ibn Sīnā's Reading of <i>Cat. 2</i>	115

B. Texts

a.	Ibn Sinā, <i>al-Muḥtaṣar al-awsaṭ fī l-manṭiq, al-Maqūlāt</i> , chs. 2–3.....	122
b.	Ibn Sinā, <i>K. aṣ-Ṣifāʾ, al-Manṭiq, al-Maqūlāt</i> , I,3.....	126
c.	The Fivefold Scheme of Attributive Relations in the <i>Categories</i> Part of al-Lawkarī's <i>Bayān al-ḥaqq bi-ḍimān aṣ-ṣidq</i>	140
3.	Attempts at Providing a Systematization of the Scheme of Ten Categories.....	143

A. Study

3.1.	The Greek Background: Ammonian and Themistian Approaches of Dividing the Categories	143
3.2.	Ibn Sinā's Report of a "Well-Known Division" (<i>qisma maṣhūra</i>)	155
3.2.1.	Outline of the Division Presented in <i>K. aṣ-Ṣifāʾ</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq, al-Maqūlāt</i> , II,5.....	155
3.2.2.	An Arabic Source for the Themistian Approach of Systematizing the Scheme of Categories: The <i>Tafsīr</i> <i>maʿānī Aristūṭālis fī K. al-Maqūlāt</i>	159
3.2.3.	Ibn Sinā's Revision of the "Well-Known Division"	163
3.3.	An Alternative Schematization of the Categories: The Division of Accidents Outlined in the <i>Taʿlīqāt</i> and the <i>Metaphysics</i> of the <i>Dānešnāme</i>	168
3.4.	Faḥr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī on the Systematization of the Ten <i>Summa Genera</i>	178
3.4.1.	The Categories in <i>al-Mabāḥiṭ al-maṣriqiyya</i>	178
3.4.1.1.	A Paraphrase of Ibn Sinā's Revised Scheme.....	178
3.4.1.2.	The Categories as a Useful Tool for Structuring a Philosophical <i>Summa</i>	179
3.4.2.	The Categories in the <i>Šarḥ ʿUyūn al-ḥikma</i>	183
3.4.3.	Concluding Remark on ar-Rāzī's Reception of the Scheme of Categories.....	188

B. Texts

a.	Ibn Sinā, <i>al-Muḥtaṣar al-awsaṭ fī l-manṭiq, al-Maqūlāt</i> , ch. 4.....	190
b.	Ibn Sinā, <i>K. aṣ-Ṣifāʾ, al-Manṭiq, al-Maqūlāt</i> , II,5	191

4. Disputes about the Conceptual Unity and Generic Predicability of Accident and Substance	201
---	-----

A. Study

4.1. Problems Concerning the Description of Accident and Its Relation to the Nine Non-Substance Categories: Ibn Sīnā's Departure from the Preceding Commentary Tradition.....	201
4.1.1. General Overview of Ibn Sīnā's Assessment of the Aristotelian Description of Accident.....	201
4.1.2. Is Accident a Genus for the Nine Non-Substance Categories?	211
4.1.2.1. Al-Fārābī, Yahyā b. 'Adī, al-Wāhibī and Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib on Why Accident Is Not a Genus.....	212
4.1.2.2. Ibn Sīnā on Why Accident Is Not a Genus.....	217
4.2. The Question of Whether Substance Is a Genus: From Plotinus via Ibn Sīnā to the Later Islamic Philosophical Tradition	221
4.2.1. Ibn Sīnā's Argument for the Comprehensive Generic Status of Substance Against the Background of Plotinus, Porphyry and al-Fārābī	221
4.2.2. The Post-Avicennian Debate on Whether Substance Is a Genus	227
4.2.2.1. A Question by Rukn ad-Dīn b. Šarafšāh al-Astarābādī	227
4.2.2.2. A Short Excursus on Aṭīr ad-Dīn al-Abharī.....	229
4.2.2.3. Faḥr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī's Refutation of the Claim That Substance Is a Genus.....	230
4.3. Concluding Remark on the Generic Status of Accident and Substance.....	237

B. Texts

a. On Accident 1: Ibn Sīnā, <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , I,4.....	238
b. On Accident 2: Ibn Sīnā, <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , II,2.....	253
c. On Substance 1: Ibn Sīnā, <i>al-Muḥtaṣar al-awsaṭ fī l-manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , ch. 5	258
d. On Substance 2: Ibn Sīnā, <i>K. aš-Šifā'</i> , <i>al-Manṭiq</i> , <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> , III,1–3	261

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	295
-----------------------------	-----

APPENDICES	303
1. Table of Contents of the K. <i>al-Maqūlāt</i> of the <i>Šifā'</i>	305
2. List of Manuscripts of the <i>Maqūlāt</i> of the <i>Šifā'</i> Consulted for this Study.....	309
3. The <i>Categories</i> in Ibn an-Nadīm's <i>al-Fihrist</i> and Ibn al-Qiftī's <i>Ta'riḥ al-ḥukamā'</i>	315
BIBLIOGRAPHY	319
1. Primary Sources in Manuscripts.....	321
2. Primary Sources in Printed Editions.....	321
3. Secondary Sources.....	327
INDICES	335
1. <i>Index Nominum</i>	337
2. <i>Index Rerum</i>	340