

# Table of Contents

List of abbreviations	15
Zusammenfassung	19
Introduction	21
1. The research questions	21
2. Limiting the subject of the research and the terminology	22
3. The current state of research regarding the regulation of the GDPR in merchandising	25
4. Methodology and structure of the dissertation	27
Part I Substantive legal protection for merchandising in Germany	28
1. Introduction	28
2. Merchandising under tort law	30
2.1 The law against unauthorized merchandising	30
2.1.1 The right to one's image in German law	30
2.1.2 The case law of unauthorized merchandising	32
2.1.3 Cases at the margins	35
2.2 Remedies for tortious unauthorized merchandising	39
2.2.1 Monetary remedies	39
2.2.2 Non-monetary remedies	44
2.3 The judgment in the <i>clickbait</i> case	46
2.4 Preliminary summary	49
3. Merchandising in contract practice	51
3.1 Consent in merchandising agreements	51
3.1.1 The legal nature of consent	51
(1) Consent as a legal act and <i>the ladder of permissions</i>	51
(2) The revocability of consent for merchandising	56
3.1.2 The construction of consent in merchandising agreements	58
3.2 Merchandising agreements	61
3.2.1 Types of merchandising agreements	61

3.2.2 Typical contractual rights for the person depicted in merchandising agreements	63
(1) The right to access information and accounting	63
(2) The right for reservation for approval	64
(3) An extraordinary opt-out right	65
(4) Disposable contractual rights	65
3.3 Preliminary summary	68
4. Conclusions	68
 Part II Merchandising under the GDPR	 71
1. Introduction	71
2. The applicability of the GDPR in merchandising	72
2.1 A brief introduction to the GDPR	72
2.2 The material and territorial scope of the GDPR	77
2.3 Questions regarding the applicability of the GDPR in merchandising	80
2.3.1 Exceptions for the territorial applicability	81
2.3.2 The leeway for national laws offered by Art. 85 GDPR	84
2.4 Conclusions	87
3. Unauthorized merchandising under the GDPR	88
3.1 The unlawfulness of unauthorized merchandising cases under the GDPR	88
3.1.1 Applying Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR in unauthorized merchandising cases	88
(1) The principle of accountability regarding the “test grid” of Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR	88
(2) The necessity between data processing and the pursuit of the interests	91
(3) The interfered interests of data subjects	92
(4) The balancing of conflicting interests	93
3.1.2 Case analysis of Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR	96
(1) Evaluation of the German decisions	96
i. Lack of legal basis	96
ii. Some main requirements in the GDPR omitted	97
iii. Inaccurate understanding of the terminology in the GDPR	98
(2) To apply Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR rightfully	101

3.2 Civil damages under the GDPR	103
3.2.1 Art. 82 GDPR as the legal basis	103
(1) Statutory conditions and contested application in Germany	103
(2) Evaluation	109
3.2.2 Remedies for data subjects in unauthorized merchandising cases	111
(1) Infringements of Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR	111
(2) Infringements of the principles of data processing?	114
(3) Infringements of the data subject's rights	116
i. The right to information	117
ii. The right to object	119
iii. The right to erasure (to be forgotten)	120
iv. Other rights?	122
3.3 Preliminary conclusions	123
4. Authorized merchandising under the GDPR	124
4.1 The applicability of Art. 9 GDPR in merchandising cases?	124
4.1.1 Specific protection for sensitive data	124
(1) The statutory requirements in Art. 9 GDPR	124
(2) the academic controversy over the criteria	127
(3) Evaluation	127
4.1.2 Conclusions	129
4.2 Consent as the lawful ground for data processing under the GDPR	132
4.2.1 The collision of norms (Normenkollision) between the GDPR and the KUG	132
4.2.2 Consent as the lawful ground in merchandising	133
(1) Conditions for the validity of consent and the consequence of omissions	133
(2) Applying Art. 6 (1) (a) GDPR in authorized merchandising cases	137
i. Merchandising contracts no longer binding	137
ii. Agency-merchandising contracts at issue	139
iii. Rigorous conditions for validity of consent	141
iv. The voluntariness of consent given by young models?	142
4.2.3 Conclusions	143
4.3 Contracts as the lawful ground?	145
4.3.1 Contracts as the lawful ground in merchandising	145
(1) The ambit of Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR	145

(2) Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR inapplicable to authorized merchandising	148
4.3.2 Any other possibilities to conquer the revocability of consent?	151
(1) Cumulation of lawful grounds	151
(2) Any other alternatives?	153
4.4 Preliminary conclusions	155
4.5 Data subject's rights in merchandising	156
4.5.1 Mandatory rights under the GDPR	156
4.5.2 The execution of the data subject's rights	159
(1) The right to information and its associated rights (Art. 12-15)	159
(2) The right to rectification (Art. 16)	162
(3) The right to erasure (Art. 17)	164
(4) The right to portability (Art. 20)	166
4.5.3 Preliminary conclusions	168
5. Conclusions	168
 Part III The comparison between the German legal regime and the GDPR regarding merchandising	 171
1. Introduction	171
2. The GDPR's regulation in merchandising in contrast with the German legal regime	172
2.1 Problems arising from the application of the GDPR in unauthorized merchandising	172
2.1.1 Overprotection for data subjects?	172
(1) More moral damages under the GDPR?	172
(2) Overpowering data subject's rights?	173
2.1.2 Under-protection for professional models and celebrities	174
(1) Lack of non-monetary remedies in the GDPR?	174
(2) Incomparable material damages under the GDPR to German law	175
(3) The long-term consequences of the reticence	177
2.1.3 Interim summary	179
2.2 Prominent challenges to merchandising contracts in contrast with German law	181
2.2.1 From pacta sunt servanda to the anytime revocability	181
2.2.2 Stricter conditions for valid consent under the GDPR	182

2.2.3	The excessive burden for merchandisers imposed by the data subject's rights	184
2.2.4	Interim conclusion	184
2.3	Inappropriate application of the GDPR in merchandising	185
3.	Possible explanations for the incompatibility	186
3.1	Possible reasons for the high-level data protection of the GDPR	186
3.1.1	The approach of one size fits for all	186
3.1.2	Reasons for the reticence towards the commercial value of personal data	188
3.1.3	Protective provisions stemming from the data-paternalism	192
3.2	Unreasonable direct application of the GDPR in merchandising	195
3.2.1	Merchandising is forgotten by the GDPR	195
3.2.2	Unsuitable explanations for merchandising	200
4.	Conclusions	203
Part IV Solutions to settle the inconsistencies		206
1.	Direct application of the KUG in merchandising cases based on Art. 85 (1) GDPR	206
1.1	Art. 85 (1) GDPR as a stand-alone opening clause	206
1.2	Counterarguments for the independent nature of Art. 85 (1) GDPR	209
1.3	Evaluation	211
2.	Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR as an additional lawful ground for authorized merchandising	214
2.1	The significance of this proposal	214
2.1.1	The application of Art. 6 (1) (f) GDPR in a contractual relationship	214
2.1.2	Conducive for the bindingness of a merchandising relationship	216
2.2	Limitations of this proposal	217
2.2.1	Legal uncertainty and overpressure on the general clause	217
2.2.2	Fundamentally incompatible in authorized merchandising scenarios	218
2.2.3	Unable to address the long-term consequences	219

3. Recalibrating the application of Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR in the B2B merchandising	220
3.1 Other possibilities of the interpretation of Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR	220
3.1.1 The EDPB's Guidelines and some scholars' proposition	220
3.1.2 A relatively liberal reading of the ambit of Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR by some scholars	222
3.2 The objections to these interpretation	223
3.2.1 Criticism of the EDPB's Guidelines and evaluation	223
3.2.2 Possible counterarguments	225
3.3 Applying Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR to merchandising in the B2B context	229
3.3.1 Arguments and advantages of this solution	229
(1) The legal basis for this solution	229
(2) The EDPS' resistance towards merchandising in the B2B context?	231
(3) The enforcement of this solution	232
(4) Well-balanced protection for both sides	234
3.3.2 Disadvantages and objections for this solution	236
(1) Borderless application of Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR in sub-licensing situations	236
(2) Under-protection for data subjects in B2C contexts	237
(3) Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR as a general clause for fair contracts	238
3.4 Summary	240
4. The proposal for a two-tier interpretation of consent	241
4.1 The two-tier interpretation of consent	241
4.1.1 Introduction of this solution	241
(1) The content of this proposal	241
(2) Its enforcement	242
(3) Argumentation based on the (inter-)systematic interpretation	244
(4) Questioning the unlimited data paternalism in private sector	245
(5) Universally various connotations of consent	247
4.1.2 Counterarguments to this proposal	249
(1) The opinions of authorities as well as the (intra-)systematic interpretation	249
(2) Challenges to its practicability	252
4.2 Conclusions	253

5. The comparison of the solutions and the result	254
5.1 Unsuitable solutions 1 and 2	254
5.2 The comparison between solution 3 and 4	256
5.3 The result	257
 Part V Conclusions	 259
1. The traditional German approach	259
2. Merchandising under the GDPR	260
3. Divergences and problems	262
4. Solutions	263
 Bibliography	 265