

# Table of Content

Foreword from the Editors.....	V
List of Abbreviations.....	XVII
List of Authors.....	XXIX

## INTRODUCTION

### CLIMATE CHANGE AS A CHALLENGE FOR GLOBAL GOVERNANCE, COURTS AND HUMAN RIGHTS (*Voigt*)

I. Introduction: climate change – a global threat .....	2
II. Challenges (and possibilities) for global governance and international law.....	3
III. The role of courts.....	7
1. Addressing the causes of climate change .....	7
2. Addressing the consequences of climate change .....	12
3. Response measures to climate change .....	13
IV. Major legal issues .....	14
V. Potential role for litigation in national courts .....	17

## PART 1

### FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS

<b>A. Liability for climate damages, sustainability and environmental justice</b> ( <i>Kloepfer/Neugärtner</i> ).....	21
I. Introduction: global warming's spatiotemporal strangeness – “tricky” to imagine.....	23
II. Random sampling: <i>Lliuya v. RWE</i> – approaching liabilities for climate damages inductively.....	23
1. The case <i>Lliuya v. RWE</i> .....	23
2. Three exemplary issues: statute of limitations, rivalling <i>Rechtsregime</i> , adequate causation .....	24
3. Trying to imagine “strange” ‘spaces’, ‘times’ and ‘agents’ .....	26
III. Key frameworks: ‘sustainability’, ‘environmental justice’ – and ‘ecological justice’.....	26
1. Integrative frameworks for “evaluating environmental decisions”.....	26
2. First encounters, some basic definitions, some common(?) ground.....	27
3. Some traps: ‘lucid dreams’ of harmony, ‘greenwashing’ and anthropocentrist blind spots .....	30
4. ‘Ecological justice’ – ‘space’, ‘time’ and ‘agency’ in the Anthropocene.....	31
IV. Times, spaces (and agents) of global warming.....	33
1. Times of global warming .....	33
2. Spaces of global warming.....	37
V. Coda: “more time tunnels of different sizes”.....	43
<b>B. Climate damages and the ‘Polluter Pays’ Principle</b> ( <i>Rehbinder</i> ).....	45
I. Introduction.....	46
II. Historical development and state of recognition.....	46
1. Historical development.....	46
2. State of recognition.....	47
III. Legal nature .....	48
1. Principle or rule?.....	48
2. Legal effects .....	49
IV. Functions.....	51
1. Diversity of functions.....	51
2. Internalisation vs. instrumental orientation .....	52
3. Redistribution of costs vs. material responsibility.....	53

V. Contents and limits.....	54
1. Generalities.....	54
2. Protected assets.....	54
3. Identification of the polluter (originator).....	55
4. Causation and accountability.....	56
5. Delimitation from the common burden principle.....	57
VI. Instruments.....	58
1. Generalities.....	58
2. Liability in particular.....	59
VII. Conclusion.....	60
<b>C. The role of courts in climate protection and the separation of powers (<i>Payandeh</i>).....</b>	<b>62</b>
I. Introduction: the judicialization of climate change governance.....	64
II. Analysis: structures of judicial involvement in climate protection.....	66
1. Venues.....	66
2. Protagonists.....	69
3. Goals.....	70
III. Contextualization: factors influencing the role of courts.....	72
1. Procedural rules and the competences of courts.....	72
2. Substantive law and the legal order in general.....	73
3. Political and social environment.....	75
IV. Evaluation: the legitimate functions of courts in climate protection.....	76
1. Climate change litigation between law and politics.....	76
2. The suitability of judicial engagement with climate change.....	78
3. The effectiveness of climate change litigation.....	79
V. Conclusion: potential and limitations of judicial climate protection.....	80
<b>D. Climate change and duties to protect with regard to fundamental rights (<i>Gross</i>).....</b>	<b>81</b>
I. Introduction.....	82
II. Constitutional foundations.....	83
1. Protection of the environment.....	83
2. Protection of human rights.....	84
III. The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights.....	85
1. The protection against dangerous activities.....	85
2. The protection against natural disasters.....	87
3. Open questions.....	87
IV. The legal problems of positive obligations.....	88
1. Individual rights.....	88
2. Access to courts.....	90
3. Causation.....	91
4. Scope of obligations.....	92
5. Separation of powers.....	94
V. Conclusion.....	95

## PART 2

### PROCEDURAL ISSUES AND CONFLICT OF LAWS

<b>E. Arbitration proceedings (<i>Lennarz</i>).....</b>	<b>97</b>
I. Introduction.....	98
II. Climate change disputes.....	99
1. Disputes resulting from material damages.....	99
2. Disputes over natural resources.....	99
3. Disputes resulting from international climate treaties.....	99
4. Disputes resulting from transformation of the economy.....	99
5. Climate finance disputes.....	100
6. Corporate disputes.....	100
III. Arbitration proceedings.....	100
1. Arbitration law.....	101
2. Enforceability.....	101

3. Arbitration agreement.....	102
4. Confidentiality .....	102
5. Flexibility of proceedings .....	103
6. Selection of arbitrators.....	104
7. Involvement of third parties.....	104
8. Involvement of states .....	104
9. Applicable law.....	105
10. Claims based on tort.....	105
IV. Suitability of arbitration proceedings for climate change disputes .....	105
1. Political and public law claims .....	105
2. Claims for damages and for specific performance against companies.....	106
3. Disputes over natural resources.....	106
4. Disputes arising from international climate protection treaties.....	107
5. Disputes resulting from the implementation of international climate treaties.....	108
6. Disputes due to transformation towards Green economy .....	110
7. Disputes arising from climate finance .....	111
8. Corporate disputes.....	113
V. Arbitral institutions and climate change.....	114
1. Permanent Court of Arbitration, The Hague.....	114
2. International Court of Arbitration of the ICC, Paris .....	115
VI. Summary .....	118
<b>F. Conflicts of jurisdiction and the applicable law in domestic courts' proceedings</b> <i>(Kieninger)</i> .....	119
I. Introduction.....	120
1. Road map.....	120
2. Cross-border elements giving rise to questions of private international law.....	121
3. No universal rules with respect to jurisdiction and Choice of Law.....	122
II. State immunity and "political question doctrine" .....	123
1. State immunity .....	123
2. "Political question doctrine" as a limitation to cross-border proceedings .....	125
III. Jurisdiction.....	125
1. Introduction .....	125
2. Brussels Ibis Regulation and Lugano Convention.....	127
3. EU Member States' autonomous rules on jurisdiction .....	132
4. United States: Personal jurisdiction of state and federal courts.....	133
IV. Choice of Law.....	138
1. Introduction .....	138
2. EU: Liability in tort (delict) according to the Rome II Regulation .....	139
3. Autonomous PIL: Property law.....	146
4. US conflict of laws.....	146

### PART 3

#### STATE LIABILITY UNDER INTERNATIONAL AND EUROPEAN LAW

<b>G. Environmental liability in international law</b> <i>(Wolftrum)</i> .....	149
I. Introduction.....	150
II. Notion – environmental liability – what does it mean and what is the purpose in the context of a liability regime?.....	151
III. Development of a regime on environmental liability: From liability for transboundary harm to genuine international environmental liability .....	152
1. Introduction – first jurisprudence.....	152
2. Development of the treaty law on civil liability.....	153
3. International treaties on the protection of the environment of international common spaces: A paradigmatic shift? .....	156
4. Customary international law: Does there exist an international regime on environmental liability? .....	160
IV. Concluding observations: Necessary features of a regime on international environmental liability.....	161

1. Introduction .....	161
2. Liability for lawful or only illegal activities.....	161
3. Intensity of harm .....	163
4. Liability for not establishing precautionary measures or an adequate response system .....	163
5. The matter of causality .....	164
6. Fault based or strict liability .....	164
7. Calculating the amount of compensation to be paid and limits .....	165
8. The potential claimant.....	165
<b>H. The international law and policy implications of climate change litigation: sustainable developments in international investment law and policy related to renewable energy, climate change mitigation and adaptation (Cordonier Segger/Arvan/Byron/Srinivas) .....</b>	<b>166</b>
I. Introduction.....	168
II. International policy & treaty commitments to climate justice & investment in carbon neutral/negative sustainable development .....	169
III. Climate change litigation guiding investment risk assessment & decision-making.....	173
IV. Innovations in investment law & policy related to clean energy, climate change and sustainable development.....	182
1. Treaty & policy developments .....	183
2. Investment treaty disputes .....	188
V. Conclusions.....	196
<b>I. The Paris Climate Agreement and liability issues (Franzius/Kling) .....</b>	<b>197</b>
I. Introduction.....	199
II. The Paris Agreement and the legal nature of its provisions.....	200
1. The Paris Agreement – binding or not binding? .....	200
2. Obligations in the main action areas.....	201
3. Compliance and enforcement .....	207
III. The Paris Agreement in the courtroom.....	208
1. Interplay between the international and national level.....	208
2. The Paris Agreement in domestic litigation .....	209
3. Rights-based litigation.....	210
4. Adjudication in planning decisions .....	212
5. Some general remarks: the importance of considering the national context.....	214
IV. Conclusion and outlook.....	215
<b>J. Liability of EU Member States under EU law (Purnhagen/Saurer) .....</b>	<b>217</b>
I. Introduction.....	218
II. Greenhouse gas reduction obligations of EU Member States under EU law.....	219
III. EU Member State liability within the non-ETS sector: the obligation to purchase surplus allocations from other Member States as financial sanction.....	221
IV. Financial sanctions within the infringement procedure as liability mechanism .....	223
V. Liability of EU Member States under <i>Francovich</i> doctrine?.....	224
1. The origins, function and reasoning and doctrinal embedding of non-contractual Member State liability as developed by the Court .....	225
2. The <i>Francovich</i> criteria for state liability and climate law cases.....	226
3. Does the nature of the breach of Union law require a different yardstick in climate law cases? .....	235
VI. Conclusion .....	236

## PART 4 CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION – NATIONAL REPORTS

<b>K. Climate change litigation in the United States (Farber) .....</b>	<b>237</b>
I. Introduction.....	237
II. Jurisdictional issues.....	238
1. Standing.....	239
2. Personal jurisdiction.....	241
3. Subject matter jurisdiction .....	242
III. Public law litigation .....	244

1. Litigation under the Clean Air Act.....	244
2. Litigation over disclosure of climate impacts.....	247
3. Adaptation issues.....	247
4. Non-statutory claims.....	249
IV. Tort liability.....	250
1. Nuisance law and climate change.....	250
2. Causation issues.....	251
3. Litigation prospects.....	252
V. Conclusion.....	252
<b>L. Climate change litigation in Canada (<i>Jodoin/McGinn</i>).....</b>	<b>253</b>
I. Introduction.....	254
II. Climate law and governance in Canada.....	255
III. Litigation relating to the authority of the federal government to address climate change.....	258
1. Syncrude Canada Ltd. v. The Attorney General of Canada.....	258
2. The GHG pollution pricing reference cases.....	259
IV. Litigation relating to the obligation of governments and public bodies to address climate change.....	262
1. Cases involving a failure of public bodies to adequately consider GHG emissions.....	262
2. Litigation relating to the Kyoto Protocol.....	264
3. Rights-based climate lawsuits.....	266
V. Conclusion.....	269
<b>M. Climate change litigation in Brazil (<i>Wedy</i>).....</b>	<b>271</b>
I. Introduction.....	272
II. Climate change law and litigation in Brazil.....	274
III. Legal remedies which may be used in climate litigation in Brazil.....	279
IV. Climate litigation in Brazil: analyses of cases.....	281
1. Precedent of the Supreme Federal Court.....	281
2. Precedents by the Brazilian Superior Court of Justice.....	283
V. Conclusion.....	286
<b>N. Climate change litigation in Australia (<i>Bell-James</i>).....</b>	<b>288</b>
I. Introduction.....	289
II. Climate change through the environmental impact assessment process.....	290
1. Early cases.....	291
2. The Queensland coal mine cases.....	292
3. Adani before the Federal Court.....	296
4. New development – Rocky Hill decision.....	297
5. Future directions and negative regulatory responses.....	298
III. Emerging avenues for climate change litigation.....	299
1. Corporate law.....	299
2. Human rights law.....	301
3. Operators of emissions-intensive industries.....	302
IV. Conclusion.....	303
<b>O. Climate change litigation in the United Kingdom (<i>Ohdedar/McNab</i>).....</b>	<b>304</b>
I. Introduction.....	306
1. Background to climate change litigation in the UK.....	307
2. Early history of climate litigation in the UK: 1990s to the mid-2000s.....	307
II. Litigating climate targets: holding the government to account.....	308
1. Plan B takes on the UK climate mitigation target.....	308
2. Human rights and climate change in the courts.....	309
3. The path to net zero: winning the political battle, losing the legal battle.....	310
III. Litigating the transition to a low carbon society: clean energy, airports, and fracking.....	311
1. Litigation on wind and solar projects.....	311
2. Anti-fracking cases.....	315
3. Litigation against airport expansion.....	316
IV. Criminal prosecution of climate activism: an emerging area of climate litigation.....	319
V. Conclusions and the future climate litigation in the UK.....	322

<b>P. Climate change litigation in Italy (<i>Butti</i>)</b>	324
I. Introduction	324
II. Regulatory and planning framework on climate change in Italy	325
1. Mitigation measures	325
2. Adaptation measures	328
III. “Giudizio universale” (the last judgment): a high-profile case to be litigated by the first months of 2020	329
IV. Local court cases that are relevant to climate change litigation in Italy	333
V. Conclusion	335
<b>Q. Climate change litigation in France (<i>Epstein/Deckert</i>)</b>	336
I. Introduction	337
II. Climate change litigation in public law	338
1. Available remedies	338
2. Injury	340
3. Illegal act or conduct	341
4. The causal link between the damage and the misconduct	345
III. Climate change litigation in private law	346
1. Making French companies eco-friendly through business law	348
2. Conditions and limits to the engagement of climate change civil liability: analysis of the difficulties and solutions to overcome them	356
<b>R. Climate change litigation in the Netherlands – the Urgenda case and beyond (<i>Van der Veen/De Graaf</i>)</b>	363
I. Introduction	363
II. The Urgenda case	365
1. The District Court judgment	365
2. The Court of Appeal ruling	366
3. The Supreme Court decision	367
4. Analysis and critique	369
III. Other developments in the Netherlands	372
1. Friends of the Earth versus Shell	372
2. The Climate Act	373
3. The Climate Agreement	375
IV. Conclusions	377
<b>S. Climate change litigation in Germany (<i>Weller/Nasse/Nasse</i>)</b>	378
I. Introduction	380
II. The scientific bases of climate change	382
1. The climate system	382
2. Greenhouse effect and greenhouse gases	383
3. Detection and attribution of climate change	385
III. Climate change litigation and private international law	386
IV. International jurisdiction of national courts	387
1. General forum, Art. 4 of Regulation No. 1215/2012	387
2. Special forum of the tort, Art. 7 No. 2 of Regulation No. 1215/2012	388
3. Special forum of the joinder of parties, Art. 8 No. 1 of Regulation No. 1215/2012	391
V. Applicable law	392
1. Scope of the tort statute	392
2. Choice of law, Art. 14 Rome II	392
3. Environmental tort statute, Art. 7 Rome II	392
4. Interim result	398
VI. Liability under German law	398
1. Compensation for already occurred damages	398
2. Protection from future impairments	401
3. Proof of causality as central hurdle of private climate actions	402
VII. Summary	403

## PART 5

### LIABILITY FOR CLIMATE DAMAGES – GERMANY AS AN INTERNATIONAL PIONEER?

<b>T. Liability for climate damages under the German law of torts (<i>Wagner/Arntz</i>)</b>	405
I. The German law of non-contractual liability: an overview	407
1. Overview	407
2. The law of delict	407
3. Nuisance law	408
4. Strict liability	409
II. A prominent case in German courts: Saúl Ananías Luciano Lliuya vs. RWE AG	410
III. The law of delict	412
1. Negligence liability, Section 823 (1) BGB	412
2. Breach of statutory duty, Section 823 (2) BGB	422
3. <i>Actio doli</i> , Section 826 BGB	423
IV. Strict liability	423
V. Law of nuisance	423
1. <i>Actio negatoria</i> , Section 1004 BGB	423
2. Section 906 (2) cl. 2 BGB and Section 14 cl. 2 BImSchG	426
VI. Conclusion	427
<b>U. Liability for climate change damages under the German Environmental Liability Act (<i>Nitsch</i>)</b>	429
I. Introduction	430
II. Basic principles of the UmweltHG	431
III. Nature of liability	433
IV. Facilities subject to the UmweltHG	434
1. Basic principles	434
2. Annex 1 catalogue	434
V. Damages subject to the UmweltHG	435
1. Basic principles	435
2. Climate change damages subject to the UmweltHG?	436
VI. Environmental impact	437
1. Basic principles	437
2. Environmental impact in the form of climate change	438
VII. Causation	439
1. Basic principles	439
2. Presumption of causation	442
3. Causation in cases of climate change damages	442
VIII. Temporal scope of application	445
IX. Conclusion	446
<b>V. Climate protection and compliance in German corporate law (<i>Habersack/Ehrl</i>)</b>	447
I. Introduction	450
1. Climate protection in current accounting and stock corporation law	450
2. The company's external and internal relationships	451
3. Delimiting the object of study	452
II. The perspective of economic analysis and legal functionalism	452
1. The internalization of negative externalities as a guidance objective	452
2. Efficiency of lawfulness and compliance duties for legal enforcement	453
III. Climate protection and current corporate law	455
1. Corporate management and the objectives of stock corporation law	455
2. Duty of lawfulness and compliance responsibility (under stock corporation law), §§ 91 (2), 93, 116 p. 1 AktG	456
3. CSR reporting duties	459
4. CSR and corporate interest	461
IV. Conclusion and prospects	464

<b>W. Investor-led action for climate and business sustainability (Duve/Hamama)</b>	466
I. Introduction	469
II. Climate change arrived in the investment community	470
III. Why is investor-led action needed?	471
1. Insufficient political measures	471
2. Changing regulatory frameworks	473
3. Need for more sustainable investments	474
4. Business dimension of the investor's-led action for climate	474
IV. Time for investor-led action	475
1. Invest responsibly	475
2. Divest from non-sustainable businesses	476
3. Stay invested and take action	477
V. What can investor-led action achieve?	477
1. Investor's dialogue with management	478
2. Corporate decisions and the public arena	479
3. Adopting climate change shareholder resolutions	480
4. Insisting on disclosure and climate change reporting	481
5. Acting as thought leaders	483
6. Taking a seat and action	484
7. Litigating as last resort	485
VI. Conclusion	486
<b>X. Liability for climate damages under the Environmental Damage Act (Kahl/Stürmlinger)</b>	487
I. Introduction	488
II. The concept of environmental damage	489
1. Damage to species and natural habitats	489
2. Water damage	490
3. Land damage	491
4. Restriction of the concept of environmental damage	491
5. Climate damages as environmental damages within the meaning of the EDA	492
III. Responsible party	496
1. Category of persons concerned	496
2. Direct causation	497
3. Responsible party for climate damages within the scope of the EDA	499
IV. Legal effects	502
1. Obligations of the responsible party	502
2. Powers of the authorities	503
3. Selection of disturbers	504
4. Bearing of costs	504
V. Conclusion	505
<b>Y. The role of non-governmental organizations for climate change litigation (Verheyen/Pabsch)</b>	507
I. Introduction	509
1. NGO's as representatives of the environment	509
2. NGO's and climate litigation in practice	510
3. Climate litigation in Germany by defendants	512
II. NGO independent access to court as exception to the rule – the German standing rules in a nutshell	513
III. Formal role of NGO's in climate litigation against the state	516
1. Standing in project related actions	516
2. Compliance with climate protection targets or other rules of climate law	516
3. Climate litigation against the state: Climate legislation	523
IV. Passive legal status of NGO's	525
1. Intervention	525
2. Amicus Curiae – “friend of the court”	525
V. Perspective climate litigation to enforce climate law	525
1. Obligations under the EU Governance Regulation	526
2. The EU Climate Change Regulation	527



3. National climate protection law .....	528
4. Actions for adjustment and compensation .....	530
VI. Conclusion .....	530

**PART 6**  
**CONCLUSIONS**  
**LIABILITY FOR CLIMATE DAMAGES –**  
**SYNTHESIS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS** (*Kahl/Weller*)

I. Introduction .....	535
II. Fundamental questions .....	537
1. Sustainability and environmental justice .....	537
2. Polluter-pays principle .....	538
3. The role of the legislature and the judiciary .....	538
4. Duties of protection .....	539
III. Procedural issues and Conflict of Laws .....	540
1. Procedures before civil courts .....	540
2. Applicable law .....	541
3. Arbitration proceedings .....	541
IV. State liability under international and European law .....	541
V. Climate change litigation: country reports .....	543
1. USA .....	543
2. Canada .....	544
3. Brazil .....	544
4. Australia .....	544
5. United Kingdom .....	545
6. Italy .....	546
7. France .....	546
8. Netherlands .....	548
VI. Liability for climate damages – Germany as an international pioneer? .....	549
1. Environmental Damage Act .....	549
2. Role of the NGOs .....	550
3. Corporate climate responsibility .....	550
4. Delictual climate change liability .....	551
VII. Tendencies and prospects .....	551
1. Primacy of the legislature, supplemental function of the courts .....	551
2. Basic right or state objective: climate protection in the national constitutions? .....	552
3. Emission reduction objectives in the constitution? .....	553
4. Private enforcement .....	554
5. Conclusion .....	558
Index .....	561