

Table of Contents

I	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
II	ABSTRACT	13
II	ABSTRAKT	15
III	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	17
	PART I: PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS	21
1	Research Question/Problem Statement.....	23
1.1	Religious Exclusions.....	24
1.2	Militant Truth Claim	26
1.3	Reactionary Modernism.....	28
1.4	Inadequacy of 'Ratio' in Religion	30
2	Thesis Prospect: Reasoning Religion.....	32
2.1	Habermas as a Conceptual Source for a Postmetaphysical Approach.....	32
2.2	Objectives of Research	36
3	Methodology.....	38
3.1	Rational Reconstruction.....	38
3.2	Application	40
3.3	Organization of the Study	41
	PART II: CONTEXTUALIZING HABERMAS IN INDIAN RELIGIOUS SETTING	43
1	The Setting of Religion in India	45
1.1	Historical Background of Religion in India	45
1.1.1	Religion as Dharma.....	46
1.1.2	The Aryan Invasion Theory	49
1.1.3	The Indigenous Religion	51

1.1.4	The Advent of Islam and Diversity of Religion	52
1.1.5	Puranic Process	55
1.2	Classification of the Society	56
1.2.1	The Religious Basis of Varna	56
1.2.2	Varna as an Organization of the Society	58
1.2.3	Varna to Caste: A Demeaning Element of the Society	60
1.2.4	Caste an Artefact of Colonial Project	62
2.	Public Sphere as the Stadium of Habermasian Philosophy of Religion	64
2.1	The Public Sphere	65
2.2	Public Opinion.....	67
2.3	Transformation of Structures	69
2.4	Religion and the Public Sphere.....	72
2.5	Public Sphere in Pre-Modern India.....	75
2.6	Religious Spaces as Public Sphere	78
3	Habermasian Parameters in Indian Context.....	80
3.1	Modernity.....	80
3.1.1	Modernity Defined.....	81
3.1.2	Enlightenment and Modernity	83
3.1.3	Modernity a Scheme to Emancipation.....	85
3.1.4	How far is India modern in Habermasian Sense?.....	87
3.2	Secular	89
3.2.1	Indian versus Western Secularism	90
3.2.2	Toleration as the Dynamism of Secularism	91
3.2.3	Indian Secularism as Trans-Cultural Potentiality.....	93
3.3	Plural	94
3.3.1	Sarva dharma sambhava (Political Neutrality to Religions?).....	95
3.3.2	Socially Confused Identities Based on Foreign Background	96
3.3.3	Freedom to Practice Individual's Faith.....	97
3.4	Challenges from Unmediated Areas	99
3.4.1	Encounter of Tradition with Modern	99
3.4.2	Contested Areas.....	100
3.4.3	Rationality in Religion.....	101
3.4.4	Postmetaphysics	101

PART III: HABERMAS’ RELIGION I –TRACES OF RATIONALITY IN RELIGION103

1 Rationalization of Society in Durkheimian Categories107

1.1 Collective Consciousness107

1.2 Totemism109

1.3 Psychological Factors112

1.4 The Profane and the Sacred.....116

1.5 Rituals and Symbols 120

2 The Axial Age..... 123

2.1 Defining Axial Age..... 124

2.2 From Mythos to Logos..... 126

2.3 Pre-Axial Cultures: Robert Bellah 128

2.3.1 Episodic Culture..... 128

2.3.2 Mimetic Culture 129

2.3.3 Mythic Culture 129

2.3.4 Theoretic Culture 130

2.3.5 The Axial Age – India.....131

2.4 A Revolutionary Age..... 134

2.4.1 Analysis of Religious Movements..... 134

2.4.2 The Prophetic Movement..... 136

2.4.3 The Buddhist Movement.....139

2.4.4 The Jesus Movement142

2.4.5 Anthropological Intentionality.....147

PART IV: HABERMAS’ RELIGION II – POSTMETAPHYSICAL
PHILOSOPHY AS BRIDGING THE SECULAR AND RELIGION151

1 Faith (Glauben) and Knowledge (Wissen) as Points of Orientation153

1.1 Metaphysical Inquiry as the Production of Axial Cognition 154

1.2 Habermas’ Summarization of Metaphysics 156

1.3 The (Non)-Occidental Approach of Connecting Faith and Knowledge.....159

1.4 Co-existence of Glauben und Wissen 161

2 Postsecular166

2.2 Postsecular as the Religious Signature of the Present.....166

2.1 Postsecular as a Process of Recognition.....168

2.3	Does Modernity lead to a Religious Erosion Process?	170
2.4	Postsecular as a Neutral Space	172
2.5	A Reflective Religion.....	173
3	Postmetaphysical as Saving Religion	177
3.1	Postmetaphysical Thinking as Translation	178
3.2	Kantian Models for Postmetaphysical Translation.....	180
3.2.1	A Dialectical Relationship between Moral and Religion	180
3.2.2	Translated Categories	183
3.3	The Functionality of Translation	187
3.3.1	From Elimination of Religion to Translation	187
3.3.2	Translating into Secular without removing the Core of the Sacred.....	189
3.3.3	Religion: A Beacon of Motivation.....	191
3.3.4	The Potentiality of Semantic Contents of Religion	193
3.3.5	Concept of Tat Tvam Asi: An Expression of Religious Semantic Neutrality....	195
3.3.6	Religious Base of Human Rights	199
3.3.7	“Common sense” as the Third Party between Science and Religion	203
4	Re-viewing Postmetaphysical Philosophy	204
PART V: SECULARISM AS POSTMETAPHYSICAL <i>MODUS OPERANDI</i>		207
1.	How does Secular Reason Function for Religion?	209
1.1	Re-reading Secular	209
1.2	Positioning Postmetaphysical with Secularism	211
1.3	Secularity as an Option	214
1.4	Intrinsic Goal versus Extrinsic Goal	215
1.5	Religious Liberalism as the Prerequisite of Secularization	216
1.5.1	Secularized Idea of the Absolute.....	217
1.5.2	The Autonomy of Sphere Agents	218
2	Varieties of Modern Religion	220
2.1	Taylor’s Threefold Analysis of Secularism	220
2.1.1	Phenomenal Change	221
2.1.2	Profound Change	221
2.1.3	Optional Change	222
2.2	Secularism as a Transformed Religion.....	223
2.2.1	Transcendence to Immanence	223

2.2.2 Spirituality versus Religion.....	225
2.2.3 Diffusive Religion.....	226
2.2.4 Shared Religion.....	227
2.2.5 Religion – Nationalism	227
3 Intrinsic Role of Religion	229
3.1 Could Modernity weaken Religion?	229
3.2 Religion for Contemporary Values	231
3.3 Is religion an Indispensable Element to Society?	235
3.4 Religious Influence on Violence	237
4 Reasoning Religion with a Secular Attitude	239
4.1 Secularizing Religion through Disenchantment.....	239
4.1.1 <i>Entzauberung</i> : A ‘Deconstruction’ Concept	241
4.1.2 Demagification of Religion	242
4.1.3 Prophetic Disenchantment	244
4.1.4 Disempowerment of Religion.....	246
4.1.5 Desacralization	247
4.2 Epistemic Proposals	249
4.2.1 Epistemic Stance to Other Religions.....	251
4.2.2 Epistemic Stance towards the Internal Logic of Secular Knowledge.....	252
4.2.3 Epistemic Stance towards the Priority of Secular Reasons in Politics	253
PART VI: Postmetaphysical Approach to Public Religion in India.....	257
1 Significance of the Habermasian Approach to the Problem of Religion.....	259
1.1 Philosophical Mediation	260
1.2 Discursive Rationality: an Alternative to Apologetic Religions.....	264
1.3 Constructivism against Fundamentalism	266
1.4 Reflective Religion based on its ‘ <i>Telos</i> ’.....	267
2 The Functionality of Epistemic Religion	271
2.1 Bengal School of Religious Reasoning	271
2.1.1 Secularization of Belief.....	272
2.1.2 Dialectics of Religion	273
2.1.3 Mutuality of Religious Traditions.....	275

2.2	A Gandhian Position	277
2.2.1	<i>Satya</i>	279
2.2.2	<i>Ahimsa</i>	280
2.2.3	<i>Satyagraha</i> as Synthesis	281
2.3	A Critical Appropriation of Religion.....	282
3	Reasoning Religion in Public: The Habermasian Challenge to Indian Religions.....	284
3.1	Public Theology.....	285
3.2	Critique as a Hermeneutic Responsibility.....	289
3.3	Proposition to Initiate Habermasian Principles	292
3.3.1	Critical Religious Study	292
3.3.2	Religious Authorities	294
3.3.3	Centres of Religious Formation	295
3.3.4	Religious Gatherings as Public Sphere.....	296
IV	Conclusion -- Towards a Critique of Religion	301
1	Why Habermas?.....	301
2	Resurgence of (Negative) Religion	303
3	Critiquing Public Religion	304
4	Genealogy of Religion and Reason through the 'Axial Age'	306
5	Secularization as a Research Programme.....	308
6	Formative usage of Religious Deposit	309
V	BIBLIOGRAPHY	311
VI	GLOSSARY	325