

Contents

Introduction — 1

1 Defeaters, Functional Profiles and Deep Distinctions — 7

- 1.1 Terminology — 7
- 1.2 Deep and Superficial Distinctions — 14
- 1.3 A Preliminary Theory and Taxonomy of Defeat — 22

2 Rebutting Defeat — 28

- 2.1 Rebutting Defeaters — 28
 - 2.1.1 Partial, Successful and Perfect Rebuttal and Relative Concentrations of Input — 31
 - 2.1.2 Degrees of Rebuttal and an Account of Rebutting Defeat — 40
- 2.2 The Epistemic Status of Defeaters — 42
 - 2.2.1 Rebutting Defeat and the Defeater-Justifier-Symmetry — 43
 - 2.2.2 The Inclusive Thesis: Defeaters Need Not Have Positive Epistemic Status — 49
 - 2.2.3 The Exclusive Thesis: Defeaters Do Need to Have Positive Epistemic Status — 54

3 Undercutting Defeat — 60

- 3.1 The Naïve Higher-Order Account — 62
 - 3.1.1 Pollock's View — 62
 - 3.1.2 The Milk-Taster Case and the Naïve Higher-Order Account — 65
 - 3.1.3 Worries about the Naïve Higher-Order Account of Undercutting Defeat — 71
- 3.2 The Suspension Account — 76
 - 3.2.1 Undercutting Defeat and Suspension of Judgment — 77
 - 3.2.2 First Objection: The Source-Sensitivity of Undercutting Defeaters — 84
 - 3.2.3 Second Objection: Undercutting Defeaters and Higher-order Support — 85
 - 3.2.4 Third Objection: Undercutting Defeaters and the Weighing of Support — 87
 - 3.2.5 A Summary of the Arguments and an Extension to the Account of Rebutting Defeat — 89

3.3	The Commitment-based Higher-Order Account —	92
3.3.1	Actual and Apparent Commitments: A First Stab at the Concept —	93
3.3.2	The Nature of Epistemic Commitments: Getting the Account off the Ground —	96
3.3.3	Arguments against Commitment-based Higher-Order Accounts of Undercutting Defeat —	101
3.3.4	The Demandingness-Trilemma as the Central Problem Facing Accounts of Undercutting Defeat —	105
3.4	The Rebasing Account —	106
3.4.1	The Re-evaluation of Doxastic Attitudes and the Concept of Rebasing —	108
3.4.2	The Re-evaluation of Doxastic Attitudes and Higher-order Rebutting Defeat —	115
3.4.3	Formulating the Unpacked Version Rebasing Account of Undercutting Defeat —	122
3.4.4	Simplifying the Rebasing Account of Undercutting Defeat —	128
3.4.5	Accounting for the Key Features of Undercutting Defeat —	131
3.5	Some Objections —	136
3.5.1	First Objection: The Rebasing Account Can't Deal with Counterexamples Any Better than its Rivals —	136
3.5.2	Second Objection: The Rebasing Account Does Not Allow Undercutting Defeat for Unreflective Subjects —	139
3.5.3	Third Objection: The Rebasing Account Does Not Allow Undercutting Defeat for Cognitively Unsophisticated Subjects —	143
4	Putting Things Together —	147
4.1	The Extended Account of Rebutting Defeat —	147
4.2	A Defense of Causal Accounts of Defeat —	152
4.2.1	Attempting to Account for Double-Agent Cases, While Preserving Theoretical Unity —	153
4.2.2	Against the Reasons-Against-Belief Theory of Defeat —	160
4.3	The Rebasing Account of Undercutting Defeat in its Final Form —	165
5	Defeat and Epistemic Justification —	169
5.1	Defeat and Epistemic Norms —	172
5.1.1	Type-1 Obligations: Obligations to Re-evaluate —	174

5.1.2	Type-2 Obligations: Obligations to Re-evaluate in a Certain Way — 176
5.2	Defeat and Internalism — 182
5.2.1	Introducing a Simple Internalist Picture — 183
5.2.2	An Internalist Accommodation of Epistemic Defeat — 187
5.2.3	A Problem for Moderately Internalist Versions of Evidentialism — 193
5.3	Defeat and Externalism — 196
5.3.1	Simple Reliabilism — 196
5.3.2	Reliabilism's Trouble with Defeat — 198
5.3.3	Reliabilism and the Rebasing Account of Undercutting Defeat — 203
5.3.4	Testing the Reliabilist Accommodation of the Rebasing Account: Rebasing and Chaining — 206
5.3.5	Testing the Reliabilist Accommodation of the Rebasing Account: Active and Passive Ignorance — 210
5.4	Normative Defeaters — 214
5.4.1	Assessing the Case for the Possibility of Normative Defeat — 215
5.4.2	Remaining Motivations to Accept the Possibility of Normative Defeat: The Example of Goldberg's View — 226
5.4.3	The Rebasing Account and Normative Defeat: Passively Ignored Defeaters as Normative Defeaters? — 228
6	Defeat and Disagreement — 233
6.1	Disagreement — 235
6.1.1	Examples and Basic Terminology — 235
6.1.2	Disagreement as a Defeater: Strong and Weak Versions of Conciliationism — 238
6.2	A Theory-driven Argument for Strong Conciliationism — 242
6.2.1	Source-neutral and Source-sensitive Support and Defeat — 243
6.2.2	The Argument for Strong Conciliationism — 247
6.3	The Rebasing Account of Higher-Order Defeat — 258
6.3.1	Higher-order Defeaters and Undercutting Defeaters — 258
6.3.2	Complex and Simple Cases of Higher-Order Defeat — 262
6.3.3	Persistent, Fleeting and Infectious Higher-Order Defeaters — 266
6.3.4	Peer-Disagreement and Higher-Order Defeat — 268

Conclusion — 271

Bibliography — 274

X — Contents

Index – Definitions and Examples — 284

Index – Names — 287