

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Table of abbreviations | 13 |
| A. Introduction | 15 |
| I. Introduction to the topic | 15 |
| 1. The topic | 15 |
| 2. Research context | 16 |
| 3. Research objectives and questions | 17 |
| a. Analysis of emissions trading in the United States | 18 |
| b. Grassroots environmental regulation as a potential solution to the Kyoto shortcomings: Policy shift from Kyoto to Paris | 19 |
| II. Methods | 20 |
| III. Structure and contents | 23 |
| IV. Terms | 24 |
| B. Climate change and the necessity of regulation | 26 |
| C. International response to climate change | 31 |
| I. Global pollution as a free-rider problem | 32 |
| II. Climate change and its international response: From UNFCCC to Kyoto and Doha | 34 |
| III. The Kyoto Protocol | 37 |
| 1. History of the Kyoto Protocol | 37 |
| 2. The Kyoto Protocol Regime | 38 |
| a. International emissions trading | 40 |
| b. Joint implementation | 41 |
| c. The Clean Development Mechanism | 41 |
| aa. Basic framework | 42 |
| bb. CDM economics | 45 |
| cc. CDM as linking instrument | 46 |
| dd. The theoretical benefits of linking in the Kyoto context | 47 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 4. Why the Kyoto Protocol failed | 48 |
| 5. Kyoto results and the Copenhagen Accord | 51 |
| IV. The Paris Agreement | 53 |
| 1. Basic content | 53 |
| 2. Legal character | 56 |
| 3. The Paris Agreement and emissions trading | 58 |
| 4. Summary: The Paris Agreement | 59 |
| V. International legal principles relating to climate change | 61 |
| 1. Introduction to international environmental law | 61 |
| 2. Principles of international environmental law | 62 |
| a. The Principle of Sustainable Development | 64 |
| aa. History of the Principle of Sustainable Development | 64 |
| bb. Definition | 66 |
| b. The Principle of Sovereignty and Territorial Integrity | 69 |
| c. The Precautionary Principle and Principle of Prevention | 69 |
| aa. The Precautionary Principle | 69 |
| bb. The Principle of Prevention | 70 |
| cc. Differentiation between the Precautionary Principle and the Principle of Prevention | 76 |
| d. The Principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities | 77 |
| e. The concept of common heritage of mankind | 78 |
| f. The Polluter and User Pays Principle | 79 |
| g. The Due Diligence Principle | 81 |
| h. The Principle of Equitable Utilization of Shared Resources | 81 |
| i. The Liability Principle | 83 |
| 3. Conclusion and relevance for the topic | 84 |
| D. (National) environmental regulation and the theory of emissions trading | 88 |
| I. Basics of environmental regulation | 88 |
| II. The tool case: Available instruments | 92 |
| 1. Command and control | 92 |
| 2. Pigouvian taxes | 94 |
| 3. Cap-and-trade | 96 |
| a. What is cap-and-trade | 96 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| b. Emission Reduction Credits vs. Allowances | 97 |
| III. Theoretical ideas behind emissions trading | 98 |
| 1. Pollution as externality | 98 |
| a. Externalities and social costs | 98 |
| b. Social costs in institutional economics | 100 |
| c. Tragedy of the commons: The prisoner's dilemma in international pollution policy | 101 |
| 2. Coase and the problem of social costs | 102 |
| a. Understanding Coasian Bargaining | 102 |
| b. Coase and cap-and-trade: The importance of the market and independent permit allocation | 104 |
| aa. Transaction costs | 104 |
| bb. Market power | 105 |
| cc. Uncertainty regarding future prices | 106 |
| dd. Conditional allowance allocations | 107 |
| ee. Non-minimizing behavior by firms | 107 |
| ff. Differential regulatory treatment of entities | 108 |
| gg. Conclusion | 109 |
| c. Takeaways from Pigou vs. Coase | 109 |
| IV. Emissions trading vs. other types of regulation | 110 |
| 1. Cost-benefit analysis and pollution | 110 |
| 2. Other economic and regulatory considerations | 112 |
| V. Emissions trading theory in detail: Design | 114 |
| 1. Cap | 114 |
| a. Absolute and relative targets | 114 |
| b. Strict or moving targets | 115 |
| c. Coverage | 115 |
| d. Upstream vs. downstream caps | 115 |
| e. Offset credits | 116 |
| 2. Allocation | 118 |
| a. Allocation method | 118 |
| b. Free allocation vs. selling | 120 |
| 3. Overallocation | 121 |
| a. Overallocation | 121 |
| aa. Avoiding overallocation—The Feasibility Principle | 122 |
| bb. Subsequent correction of overallocation | 124 |
| 4. Emissions management period | 124 |
| 5. Banking | 125 |
| 6. Borrowing | 125 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 7. Trading and pricing | 126 |
| 8. Linking | 127 |
| a. Linking design in theory | 128 |
| aa. One-way and two-way linkages | 128 |
| bb. One-way linkages between cap-and-trade and credit systems | 129 |
| cc. Indirect national trading linkage | 129 |
| b. Linking provisions in the real world | 129 |
| 9. Compliance | 131 |
| 10. Emissions leakage | 132 |
| VI. Conclusion – an efficient cap-and-trade program | 132 |
| VII. Bottom-up linking vs. top-down cap-and-trade | 133 |
| 1. Design factors – requirements of an effective policy regime | 134 |
| 2. Economic studies on linking as coalition forming | 136 |
| VIII. Bottom-up and top-down as used in this thesis | 139 |
| E. Emissions trading in the U.S. and its lessons for international climate change regulation | 141 |
| I. The Acid Rain Program | 150 |
| 1. History | 151 |
| a. Background | 151 |
| b. Regulatory history | 152 |
| 2. Regulatory structure in phase II | 152 |
| a. Coverage | 152 |
| aa. Geographical | 153 |
| bb. Covered sources | 153 |
| b. Cap | 154 |
| c. Allocation of allowances | 154 |
| d. Banking and trading | 156 |
| aa. Rules | 156 |
| bb. Allowance market | 157 |
| cc. Banking data | 158 |
| e. Offset credits and projects | 158 |
| f. Compliance | 159 |
| 3. Analysis | 159 |
| 4. Conclusion | 162 |
| 5. The Acid Rain Program after 2010 | 163 |
| 6. The Acid Rain Program as an example for bottom-up regulation | 163 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 7. Lessons learned from the Acid Rain Program for a post-Kyoto world | 164 |
| II. Illinois Emissions Reduction Market System (ERMS) | 165 |
| 1. Introduction | 165 |
| 2. Coverage | 167 |
| a. Geographical coverage | 167 |
| b. Emissions management period | 167 |
| c. Regulated sources and participants | 167 |
| 3. Allocation of allowances | 169 |
| a. Baseline emissions | 169 |
| b. Allocation basics | 169 |
| 4. Banking and trading of credits | 171 |
| a. General provisions on trading | 171 |
| b. Alternative Compliance Market Account (ACMA) | 171 |
| c. Banking | 173 |
| d. Market efficiency | 174 |
| 5. Offset credits and projects | 175 |
| 6. Linking | 175 |
| 7. Criticism | 176 |
| a. Overallocation | 176 |
| aa. Data | 176 |
| bb. Explanations for the overallocation | 177 |
| b. The ERMS in economic scholarship | 179 |
| c. Conclusion | 180 |
| 8. The ERMS as an example for bottom-up regulation | 181 |
| 9. Lessons learned from the ERMS for a post-Kyoto world | 181 |
| III. Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative | 182 |
| 1. The Memorandum of Understanding | 183 |
| 2. From the model rule to state legislature | 185 |
| 3. Model rules: The CO ₂ budget trading programs | 185 |
| a. Coverage | 185 |
| b. Cap | 186 |
| c. Allocation of allowances | 187 |
| aa. Price control in the RGGI MR: The Cost Containment Reserve | 188 |
| bb. Voluntary renewable energy market set-aside allocation | 189 |
| cc. Optional limited exemption set-aside allocation | 189 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| d. Trading and banking | 190 |
| aa. Regulatory rules | 190 |
| bb. Market data | 191 |
| e. Offset credits | 193 |
| f. Compliance | 195 |
| d. Linking | 196 |
| 4. Analysis | 197 |
| a. Environmental analysis | 197 |
| b. Market analysis | 199 |
| 5. Conclusion | 200 |
| a. Environmental effects | 200 |
| b. Market design | 201 |
| 6. The RGGI as an example for a top-down regulation | 201 |
| 7. Lessons from the RGGI for a post-Kyoto world | 202 |
| IV. California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 | 204 |
| 1. Western Climate Initiative | 205 |
| a. Coverage | 205 |
| b. Cap | 206 |
| c. Allocation of allowances | 207 |
| d. Trading | 208 |
| e. Offset credits and projects | 209 |
| f. Compliance | 210 |
| d. Linking | 211 |
| 2. Assembly Bill 32 | 212 |
| 3. California's cap-and-trade program | 214 |
| a. Coverage | 214 |
| b. Cap | 215 |
| c. Allocation of allowances | 216 |
| d. Trading and banking | 219 |
| aa. Regulatory environment | 219 |
| bb. Data | 220 |
| (1) Auctioning data | 221 |
| (2) Secondary market data | 222 |
| e. Offset credits and projects | 223 |
| aa. Regulatory environment | 223 |
| bb. Data | 224 |
| f. Compliance | 224 |
| d. Linking | 227 |
| 4. Analysis | 227 |
| a. Environmental analysis | 227 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| b. Economic analysis | 228 |
| c. Market analysis | 229 |
| d. Offsets and environmental justice | 230 |
| e. Offsets and market design | 232 |
| 5. Conclusion | 232 |
| 6. The WCI as an example for bottom-up regulation | 234 |
| 7. Lessons from the WCI for a post-Kyoto world | 235 |
| F. Conclusion: Lessons from U.S. emissions trading | 237 |
| I. Conclusion: Does emissions trading work? | 237 |
| II. Growth and linkage potential | 242 |
| III. Optimizing Design: Fixing a broken system? | 244 |
| 1. Systematic issues with emissions trading | 244 |
| 2. A case for harmonized sectoral bottom-up regulation? | 250 |
| 3. Implications from international law | 251 |
| IV. Emissions trading decision making | 254 |
| V. Conclusion: Can “bottom-up” trading work? | 256 |
| G. What U.S. emissions trading teaches us about emissions trading, bottom-up regulation, and linkage in an international context | 263 |
| References | 265 |