## Table of Contents

| Inti | oduction: Nature and Purpose of this Study  | 15 |  |
|------|---|----|--|
| 0.1  | Why re-mapping the role of Human Rights in European migration policy?                             | 15 |  |
| 0.2  | What is our understanding of 'Human Rights'?  | 17 |  |
| 0.3  | What do we mean by 'European Migration Policy'?   | 19 |  |
| 0.4  | What do we mean by the 'challenges' identified in each chapter?                                   | 22 |  |
| 0.5  | What are the sources of the 'legal evaluation' provided in each chapter?                          | 23 |  |
| 0.6  | What is the nature of the 'recommendations' provided in each chapter?                             |    |  |
| Cha  | apter 1 - Ensuring Access to Asylum   | 28 |  |
| 1.1  | Structural challenges and current trends  |    |  |
|      | Trend 1: Avoiding jurisdiction through cooperative externalization of mobility control            |    |  |
|      | Trend 2: Contesting jurisdiction by failing to comply with Human Rights obligations               |    |  |
|      | Trend 3: Transferring jurisdiction by referring migrants to other States                          |    |  |
| 1.2  | Legal evaluation  |    |  |
|      | 1.2.1 General legal framework regarding access to asylum  | 41 |  |
|      | 1.2.2 Specific issue: Attributing responsibility for acts of third countries                      | 44 |  |
|      | 1.2.3 Specific issue: 'Push-backs' on the High Seas and at land borders                           | 47 |  |
|      | 1.2.4 Specific issue: Entry of vessels into the territorial waters and disembarkation at EU ports | 49 |  |
|      | 1.2.5 Specific issue: Limits to 'protection elsewhere'  | 52 |  |



|     | 1.2.6 Specific issue: Allocating asylum jurisdiction within the EU (Dublin system)                | 55         |  |
|-----|---|------------|--|
|     | 1.2.7 Specific issue: International obligations to provide for safe and legal access to asylum?   | 57         |  |
| 1.3 | Recommendations   |            |  |
|     | Recommendation 1: Strictly condition cooperation with third countries on Human Rights compliance  |            |  |
|     | Recommendation 2: End push-backs and closure of ports   |            |  |
|     | Recommendation 3: Establish a high standard for the assumption of safe third countries            | 62         |  |
|     | Recommendation 4: Keep the Dublin system flexible to effectively ensure access to asylum          |            |  |
|     | Recommendation 5: Establish safe and legal pathways to asylum in the EU                           |            |  |
| Cha | pter 2 – Ensuring Liberty and Freedom of Movement   | 64         |  |
| 2.1 | Structural challenges and current trends  | 65         |  |
|     | Trend 1: More frequent and systematic use of detention for a wider range of reasons               |            |  |
|     | Trend 2: Increasing use of area-based restrictions not amounting to detention                     | <b>7</b> 0 |  |
|     | Trend 3: Persistent pattern of problematic conditions of detention                                | 74         |  |
| 2.2 | Legal evaluation  |            |  |
|     | 2.2.1 General framework: The rights to liberty, to freedom of movement, and to adequate treatment | 75         |  |
|     | 2.2.2 Specific issue: Detention grounds   | 88         |  |
|     | 2.2.3 Specific issue: Border Procedures   | 95         |  |
|     | 2.2.4 Specific issue: Area-based restrictions   | 100        |  |
|     | 2.2.5 Specific issue: Detention conditions  | 105        |  |

| 2.3 | Recommendations   | 110 |
|-----|---|-----|
|     | Recommendation 1: Enact horizontal provisions on detention grounds                          | 110 |
|     | Recommendation 2: Prohibit 'border procedures' based on detention                           | 111 |
|     | Recommendation 3: Specify legal safeguards for area-based restrictions                      | 111 |
|     | Recommendation 4: Ensure adequate conditions in immigration detention and reception centers | 112 |
|     | Recommendation 5: Prohibit detention of persons in situations of particular vulnerability   | 112 |
| Cha | pter 3 – Guaranteeing Procedural Standards  | 114 |
| 3.1 | Structural challenges and current trends  | 115 |
|     | Trend 1: Denial of procedural standards for decisions on admission                          | 117 |
|     | Trend 2: Deportation procedures without adequate procedural guarantees                      | 120 |
|     | Trend 3: Blurring accountability by agencification of EU migration policy                   | 121 |
| 3.2 | Legal evaluation  | 124 |
|     | 3.2.1 General framework   | 124 |
|     | 3.2.2 Specific issue: Application of procedural standards on visa decisions                 | 129 |
|     | 3.2.3 Specific issue: Decisions on territorial admission at land and sea borders            | 132 |
|     | 3.2.4 Specific issue: Scope of procedural safeguards in the Return Directive                | 133 |
|     | 3.2.5 Specific issue: Monitoring of deportations by EU Member States                        | 136 |
|     | 3.2.6 Specific issue: Accountability of EU agencies   | 136 |

| 3.3 | Reco  | mmendations  | 140 |
|-----|---|--|-----|
|     |   | mmendation 1: Provide comprehensive procedural uards for visa applications   | 140 |
|     | Recommendation 2: Clarify and strengthen procedural guarantees at the borders                 |  | 141 |
|     |   | mmendation 3: Guarantee sufficient procedural rights a terminating residence   | 141 |
|     |   | mmendation 4: Guarantee a right to an effective remedy st EU agencies  | 142 |
| Cha | ipter 4   | - Preventing Discrimination  | 143 |
| 4.1 | Structural challenges and current trends  |  |     |
|     | Trend 1: Increasing sectoral divergence within the Europeanized fields of legal migration     |  |     |
|     | Trend 2: Contradictory policy choices in respect of the asylum status in the EU               |  | 148 |
| 4.2 | Legal evaluation  |  | 149 |
|     | 4.2.1   | General framework: Three objectionable grounds of distinction among migrants ('race', nationality, immigration status) | 149 |
|     | 4.2.2   | Specific issue: Privileged and non-privileged nationalities in EU migration law  | 157 |
|     | 4.2.3   | Specific issue: Differential treatment in respect of social assistance   | 160 |
|     | 4.2.4   | Specific issue: Differential treatment among beneficiaries of international protection                                 | 165 |
| 4.3 | Recommendations   |  | 171 |
|     | Recommendation 1: Systematically ensure non-discrimination regarding social assistance        |  | 171 |
|     | Recommendation 2: Eliminate any discrimination among persons granted international protection |  | 172 |
|     | Recommendation 3: Follow a legislative approach guided by the 'Leitbild' of status equality   |  | 173 |

| Cha | pter 5   | <ul> <li>Preserving Social and Family Ties</li> </ul>   | 174 |
|-----|--|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Structural challenges and current trends   |   | 176 |
|     | Trend 1: Requirements of socio-cultural integration are used to deny family reunification                          |   | 176 |
|     | Trend 2: Settled migrants are subject to security-driven policies of expulsions                                    |   | 178 |
|     | Trend 3: Efforts to enforce irregular migrants' return disregard their social and family ties                      |   | 182 |
| 5.2 | Legal  | evaluation  | 185 |
|     | 5.2.1  | General framework: protection of migrants' family and social ties                             | 185 |
|     | 5.2.2  | Specific issue: integration requirements restricting family reunifications                    | 190 |
|     | 5.2.3  | Specific issue: protection of settled migrants' right to abode                                | 194 |
|     | 5.2.4  | Specific issue: obligations to regularize irregular migrants                                  | 197 |
| 5.3 | Recommendations  |   |     |
|     | Recommendation 1: Prohibit integration requirements that amount to violations of the right to family reunification |   |     |
|     | Recommendation 2: Facilitate access to the status provided by the Long-term Residents Directive                    |   | 204 |
|     |  | mmendation 3: Develop a comprehensive legislative ework on regularizations                    | 205 |
| Cha | ıpter 6  | - Guaranteeing Socio-Economic Rights  | 208 |
| 6.1 | Struc  | tural challenges and current trends   | 210 |
|     |  | d 1: Policies to prevent movements of asylum-seekers<br>n the EU build on planned destitution | 210 |
|     | Trend 2: Measures to enforce returns rely on creating 'hostile environments'                                       |   | 212 |
|     | Trend 3: Persistent pattern of exploitation of irregular migrants in informal labor relations                      |   | 215 |

| 6.2 | Legal evaluation   |  |     |
|-----|--|--|-----|
|     | 6.2.1  | General legal framework regarding human dignity of margizens                                 | 217 |
|     | 6.2.2  | General legal framework regarding labor rights of irregular migrant workers                  | 224 |
|     | 6.2.3  | Specific issue: Human Rights limits to sanctioning 'secondary movements'                     | 228 |
|     | 6.2.4  | Specific issue: Human Rights limits to sanctioning non-<br>cooperation in return proceedings | 232 |
|     | 6.2.5  | Specific issue: Human Rights obligations to combat exploitation of irregular migrants        | 236 |
| 6.3 | Recommendations  |  |     |
|     | Recommendation 1: Stop using restrictions to socio-economic rights to sanction 'secondary movements' of asylum seekers                           |  |     |
|     | Recommendation 2: Provide equal treatment between asylum seekers and irregular migrants in respect of socio-economic rights                      |  | 241 |
|     | Recommendation 3: Adopt a rights-based approach toward undocumented irregular migrants to better protect them from exploitation and forced labor |  | 242 |
| Cha | pter 7   | - Fostering Human Rights Infrastructure  | 243 |
| 7.1 | Struc  | tural challenges and current trends  | 244 |
|     | Trend  | d 1: Criminalization of civil society actors supporting                                      | 244 |
|     | Trend<br>migra   | d 2: Populist pressure on judges protecting the rights of ants                               | 248 |
|     | Trend 3: Challenges to the ECtHR as a guardian of migrants' Human Rights   |  | 251 |
| 7.2 | Legal  | evaluation   | 253 |
|     | 7.2.1  | General legal framework regarding Human Rights infrastructure                                | 253 |

|     | 7.2.2  | Specific issue: Criminalization of private actors involved in SAR activities and other migrants' Human Rights defenders in civil society | 255 |
|-----|--|--|-----|
|     | 7.2.3  | Specific issue: Requirements to strengthen migrants' Human Rights defenders  | 258 |
|     | 7.2.4  | Specific Issue: Obligations and options to ensure the independence of judges deciding on migration law cases                             | 260 |
| 7.3 | Recommendations  |  | 263 |
|     | defer  | mmendation 1: Strengthen migrants' Human Rights aders by amending the Facilitation Directive and adopting stent EU supporting policies   | 263 |
|     | Recommendation 2: Take a firm stance on violations of EU migration law |  | 264 |
|     |  | mmendation 3: Strengthen the role of the ECtHR as a rants court' by acceding to the ECHR   | 265 |
| Sun | nmary  |  | 267 |
| 1.  | Ensu   | ring Access to Asylum  | 268 |
| 2.  | Ensu   | ring Liberty and Freedom of Movement   | 271 |
| 3.  | Guar   | anteeing Procedural Standards  | 276 |
| 4.  | Preve  | enting Discrimination  | 279 |
| 5.  | Prese  | rving Social and Family Ties   | 282 |
| 6.  | Guar   | anteeing Socio-Economic Rights   | 284 |
| 7.  | Foste  | ring Human Rights Infrastructure   | 287 |
| Anı | nex: Pa  | inel of Experts  | 291 |