

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Varieties of Multivocity in Aristotle	23
2 On Multivocity, Homonymy and Synonymy and Their Relation in Aristotle	43
2.1 Possible Views on Their Relation.....	43
2.2 The Relation of Multivocity, Homonymy, and Synonymy – Arguments for DefH	50
2.2.1 Multivocity and Homonymy are not Co-Extensive	54
2.2.2 Homonymy is <i>Homonymy ἀπὸ τύχης</i>	55
2.2.3 Multivocity Encompasses Homonymy	58
2.3 Non-homonymous Multivocals.....	59
2.3.1 Polysemous Multivocals	60
2.3.2 Synonymous Multivocals – A Hybrid Class	61
2.4 Limitations of the DefH-View	63
2.5 Conclusions	67
3 Homonymy and Synonymy in the <i>Categories</i>	69
3.1 Translations and Introductory Remarks	70
3.2 The Two Conditions of Homonymy and Synonymy.....	74
3.3 The Problematic Elements of the Second Condition	76
3.3.1 The Role of <i>τῆς οὐσίας</i> and the Issue of the <i>Ontological Scope</i> ...	79
3.3.2 The Role of <i>κατὰ τοῦνομα</i>	83
3.3.3 Incorporating <i>κατὰ τοῦνομα</i> and <i>τῆς οὐσίας</i> is Necessary	86
3.3.4 Identifying the Relevant Definiendum	89
3.4 The Problematic Elements of the Definition of Homonymy – The Conceptual Scope	92
3.4.1 <i>Μόνον</i> and <i>ἕτερος</i>	93
3.4.1.1 Digression: The accidental-non-accidental distinction... 94	
3.4.1.2 Discussion: An appropriate distinction?	97
3.4.2 <i>Τὸ γεγραμμένον</i>	99
3.5 Conclusions.....	102

4	Spurious Homonyms – Living and Dead – Copies and Originals	105
4.1	Aftermath: The Relevance of Spurious Homonyms to the Question of the Relation of Multivocity, Homonymy and Synonymy.....	111
4.1.1	First Argument – The usual reaction	112
4.1.2	Second Argument – Distinction of technical and non-technical applications of “homonymous”	113
4.1.3	Third Argument – Spurious homonyms are based on similarity only	115
4.1.4	Fourth Argument – A different source of priority	115
4.1.5	Last Argument – Single science assumption	116
5	Polysemy	117
6	Polysemous Multivocals.....	123
6.1	Polysemous Multivocity by <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation	126
6.1.1	On the Literature about the <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation	127
6.1.1.1	On Owen (1960), Bostock (1994) and Yu (2001)	128
6.1.1.2	On Hamlyn (1977).....	131
6.1.1.3	On Ferejohn (1980)	135
6.1.1.4	On Senfrin-Weis (2009)	137
6.1.1.5	On Shields’s CDH 2-4.....	140
6.1.2	The <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation – An Analysis of <i>EE VII.2</i>	149
6.1.3	Paronymy and the <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation	159
6.1.3.1	On the Relation of Paronymy and <i>Pros Hen</i>	162
6.2	Logical priority in the <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation.....	164
6.3	Polysemous Multivocity by Analogy	168
6.4	Conclusions.....	172
7	The <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation and Ordered Series.....	175

8	The <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation in the Context of the <i>Metaphysics</i> IV.....	187
8.1	“Being” is said in many Ways!?	187
8.2	What is the Innovation of the <i>Metaphysics</i> IV?.....	192
8.3	The Impact of <i>Met.</i> IV on the <i>Pros Hen</i> Relation.....	198
8.4	<i>Kath Hen</i> vs <i>Pros Hen</i> – Two Possible Subject Areas of Sciences.....	199
8.4.1	A Clarification: Talking about <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> in Aristotle.....	199
8.4.2	<i>Kath Hen</i> vs <i>Pros Hen</i> Unity of Sciences.....	201
8.4.3	The “Guide” for <i>Pros Hen</i> Sciences of <i>Met.</i> IV.2, 1004A27-31...	205
8.5	Which Ways of Being are Relevant for the Science of Being qua Being?	207
8.6	Conclusions.....	211
	General Conclusions	213
	References	219