

Table of Contents

1 Introduction.....1

1.1 Problem Statement1

1.1.1 Pancake-Type Collapse and Why It Deserves More Attention Than It Has Hitherto Received.....1

1.1.2 Main Direction of the Research Efforts since 20012

1.1.3 Possibly Existing Posterior Defense Lines That Have Received Too Little or No Attention—Property of Robustness Largely Neglected.....3

1.1.4 Non-technical Problems with the Potential of Standing in the Way of Properly Addressing the Technical Ones6

1.1.5 Two Main Building Types with Regard to Collapse Initiation Due to Column Loss8

1.2 Objectives.....10

1.3 Scope and Method.....11

1.4 Structure of the Text.....12

2 Literature Review14

2.1 Bažant et al.14

2.2 Seffen (2008).....15

2.3 Cherepanov (2006).....16

2.4 Federal Emergency Management Agency (2002).....17

2.5 National Institute of Standards and Technology (2005)18

2.6 Newland and Cebon (2002), Zhou and Yu (2004).....19

3 Employed Approach and Inadequacy of Previous Approaches21

3.1 Problem Statement and Assumptions.....21

3.1.1 Collapse Initiation Mechanisms Due to Column Loss in Slender High-Rise Buildings under the Action of Gravity21

3.1.2 Assumptions Regarding Collapse Initiation35

3.1.3 Phases of a Collapse with Assumed Elastic Behavior of the Intact Lower Section (ILS)37

3.1.4 Failure Modes of the Intact Lower Section (ILS) or Mechanisms of Downward Collapse Progression38

3.1.5 Assumed Features of the Floor Plates.....59

3.2 Inadequacy of the Approach of Bažant et al.60

3.2.1 Situation at Initial Impact between IUS and ILS as Considered by Bažant et al.62

3.2.2 Realistic Situation at Initial Impact between IUS and ILS and Comparison to the Model of Bažant and Le (2008)68

3.2.3	Summary	73
4	Vertically Falling Building Structure Impacting a Rigid Surface—Types of Crush-up Collapse	81
4.1	Resistance Offered by the Crushed Columns at the Bottom of the IUS and Its Effect on the Columns of the ILS	82
4.1.1	Axial Resistance of Individual Crushed IUS Columns.....	82
4.1.2	Combined Axial Resistance of Groups of Crushed Neighboring IUS Columns and Admissibility of the Mean-Crushing-Force (MCF) Approach.....	104
4.2	Simplified Model of a Vertically Falling Building Structure Impacting a Rigid Surface.....	125
4.2.1	Assumptions and Simplification Steps	126
4.2.2	Deformation Modes of the Simplified Model (SM) at Impact	151
4.2.3	Conditions for Brittle Disintegration of the Structure Modelled by the SM—New, Structure-Related Upper Bound the Mean Crushing Force	166
4.2.4	Utility of the SM and Survival Chances of the IUS.....	181
4.3	Practically Possible Deviations from the Assumptions Underlying the SM and the Effects of Such Deviations on the Relevant Results	183
4.3.1	Equal Mass per Unit Length for all Beams.....	184
4.3.2	Equal Spans for All Beams; Negligible Mass of the Core Content.....	191
4.3.3	Uniform Mass Distribution over the Length of the Beams.....	194
4.3.4	Plastic Deformations Possible in Certain Elements Only	195
4.3.5	Rigid-Perfectly Plastic Material Behavior	197
4.4	Upper Bound of the Mean Crushing Force as Function of the Distance from the Top of a Building Structure.....	201
4.4.1	Prismatic Structures	202
4.4.2	Non-prismatic Structures	203
5	Capacity Requirements on the Intact Lower Section (ILS) during Collapse.....	205
5.1	Total Force Exerted by the Collapsing DIS and IUS on a Fixed Rigid Horizontal Surface	206
5.1.1	Prismatic Buildings with Uniform Continuous Mass Distribution over the Height.....	206
5.1.2	Tapering Buildings with Non-uniform Continuous Mass Distribution	225
5.1.3	A Systematic Procedure for Performing a Capacity Check of the ILS Columns Assuming a Quasi-Static Response	230
5.1.4	Effects of Discontinuities in the Mass Distribution Function over the Height of the Collapsing Tower.....	260
5.2	Dynamic Effects in the Response of the Intact Lower Section (ILS)	271

5.2.1	Fundamental Period of Vertical Vibration of the ILS	272
5.2.2	Dynamic Amplification of the ILS Column Axial Forces in Cases with Prismatic Collapsing Tower with Uniform Continuous Mass Distribution and with No Crushing Resistance	277
5.2.3	Dynamic Amplification of the ILS Column Axial Forces in Cases with Prismatic Collapsing Tower with Uniform Continuous Mass Distribution and with Non-negligible Crushing Resistance	296
5.2.4	Dynamic Amplification of the ILS Column Axial Force in Cases with Collapsing Tower with Non-continuous Mass Distribution	309
5.2.5	Exact Determination of the Force Acting at the Top of the ILS during Collapse—Damping Effect of the Mass-Flow Force	328
5.3	Use of Energy Absorbers in the ILS—Deformation Capacity Demand	333
5.3.1	Necessity of Measures Going beyond Ordinary Design to Prevent Overloading of the ILS Columns—Two Possible Approaches	333
5.3.2	Deformation Capacity Demand for Cases with Prismatic Collapsing Tower with Uniform Continuous Mass Distribution and with No Crushing Resistance	336
5.3.3	Use of Energy Absorbers in Cases with Collapsing Tower with Non-negligible Crushing Resistance	350
6	Proposed Design Concept.....	359
6.1	General Idea	359
6.2	Details	363
6.2.1	Detail 1	363
6.2.2	Detail 2	370
6.2.3	Detail 3	372
6.2.4	Detail 4	377
6.2.5	Design Concept Preventing the Development of a Compact Mass during F-P-F-Driven Pancake-Type Collapse Initiated Due to the Detachment of Floor Beams from Columns	381
6.3	Additional Material Due to Longer Vertical Load Paths	389
6.4	Toppling over of the IUS—Necessity and Ways to Prevent It	393
7	Summary and Conclusion	397
8	References.....	402