

Contents

1	Introduction — 1
1.1	Debunking arguments — 1
1.2	Varieties of debunking arguments — 4
1.3	Aims and structure of this book — 18
2	Deontology, Utilitarianism, and Evolution — 20
2.1	Introduction — 20
2.2	The dual-process account of moral judgment — 22
2.3	The argument from evolutionary history — 26
2.4	The backfiring problem — 29
2.5	The scope problem — 35
3	Deontology, Utilitarianism, and Experimental Ethics — 39
3.1	Introduction — 39
3.2	The argument from moral irrelevance — 41
3.3	The backfiring problem — 44
3.4	The scope problem — 54
3.5	How useful are experiments? — 57
4	Deontology, Utilitarianism, and Morality as Problem-Solving — 62
4.1	Introduction — 62
4.2	The functionalist argument — 62
4.3	Begging the question — 64
4.4	Internal inconsistencies — 65
4.5	How functional are deontological intuitions? — 66
4.6	How functional is utilitarianism? — 68
4.7	The uselessness of the suggested 'solution' — 70
5	Deontology, Confabulation, and the Structure of Philosophical Debate — 73
5.1	Introduction — 73
5.2	The argument from confabulation — 73
5.3	The scope problem — 80
5.4	Debunking arguments and higher-order evidence — 82
5.5	Conclusion — 90

VIII — Contents

6 Realism, Constructivism, and Evolution — 91

6.1 Introduction — 91

6.2 The Darwinian case against realism and for constructivism — 94

6.3 Two arguments and the backfiring problem — 99

6.4 Conclusion — 108

7 Morality, Wellbeing, and Evolution — 109

7.1 Introduction — 109

7.2 The evolutionary debunking of morality — 109

7.3 The backfiring problem: From moral beliefs to prudential beliefs — 113

7.4 Conclusion — 126

8 Conclusion — 128

9 References — 130

Index — 143