

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	15
I) Introduction	21
II) Innovation Competition: The Dow/DuPont Decision	24
A) Innovation, competition and the internal market	24
1) The internal market	25
(a) Definition and guiding principles	25
(b) The notion of competition in the internal market	27
2) Innovation and the internal market	30
(a) Research and development as an objective in the EU Treaties	31
(b) European research area	33
(c) Competitiveness of the Union	34
(d) Research and development vs. innovation	36
3) The Position of Competition Law: Innovation as a Driving Force	40
(a) Background: The process of creative destruction	41
(b) Competition Rules in the Treaties	42
(c) Secondary Competition Law: EC Merger Regulation	45
(d) Guidelines and approaches from other jurisdictions and areas of law	48
4) Intermediate result	49
B) The Dow/DuPont Decision	50
1) Overview of the Decision	50
2) The Commission's legal basis for innovation concerns in merger control	52
(a) Legal basis in EC Merger Regulation	52
(b) The Commission's Horizontal Merger Guidelines and innovation	54
(c) The Commission refers to US Guidelines and EU Technology Transfer Guidelines	57
3) Theory of harm in <i>Dow/DuPont</i>	60
4) Underlying rationale	63

C) Classification and appraisal	65
1) Theory of harm and underlying rationale	65
2) Unilateral Effects Analysis	69
3) Protective purpose and time horizon	69
4) Innovation markets, innovation spaces and innovation competition	75
5) No product/pipeline analysis	79
6) Importance of specific industry features	80
7) Written evidence of reducing innovation efforts	82
8) Integration of the Commission's approach into the existing legal framework	83
D) Economic foundation	88
1) Annex 4 of the Dow/DuPont Decision	88
(a) Competition in innovation	90
(b) Product market competition	94
(c) Efficiencies: Focus on Appropriability	96
(d) Dynamic market features	98
2) The Federico / Langus / Valletti papers	99
3) Intermediate result	101
E) Remedies: Divestment of R&D organization	105
F) What is new in Dow/DuPont?	107
1) Preceding Innovation Cases	107
(a) Cases incorporating innovation competition aspects	108
(b) Intermediate result	117
2) Innovation Market Analysis	118
(a) Concept of the Innovation Market Analysis	118
(b) Intermediate result	120
(c) Critique on the Innovation Market approach	121
G) In need of a new theory?	125
1) The potential competition doctrine	125
2) The future markets concept	126
3) Innovation competition	127
H) Is the innovation competition approach valid?	129
1) Link between innovation and competition	130
(a) Standard for incorporating economic reasoning in competition law	131
(b) The Schumpeter/Arrow controversy	134
(c) Notion of appropriability	135

(d) Additional factors determining the relation between innovation and competition	136
(e) Intermediate result	138
2) Measuring innovation capacity	140
3) Differences between price and innovation	142
4) Presumption towards decreased innovation incentives: weighing of other factors	144
5) Efficiencies	146
(a) Efficiencies in the Horizontal Merger Guidelines	148
(b) Efficiency assessment in Dow/DuPont	150
(c) Appraisal	152
(1) Efficiencies are part of the underlying theory of harm: no burden of proof with the parties	152
(2) Efficiency criteria of the Horizontal Merger Guidelines are not fit for innovation competition	157
(d) Conclusion on efficiencies	160
6) General requirements of the SIEC test	161
7) Legal Certainty and the right to realize concentrations of undertakings	164
(a) Constitutional basis	166
(b) Application in Dow/DuPont	167
8) Appropriate remedies	170
I) Conclusion	171
III) Innovation Competition and the scope of its application in EU Competition Law	173
A) Restrictive Agreements according to Article 101 TFEU	173
1) Possible starting points for innovation competition concerns in Article 101 TFEU	175
(a) Innovation aspects in Article 101 TFEU	176
(b) Competition in innovation in Regulations and Guidelines on Article 101 TFEU	177
(c) Innovation Markets in U.S. Guidelines	183
(d) Differences between existing concepts and innovation competition approach in Dow/DuPont	185

2) Applicability of innovation competition in the scope of Article 101 TFEU	187
(a) R&D cooperation	187
(1) Negative effects on innovation incentives	188
(aa) Innovation incentives of the cooperating parties	188
(bb) Third party incentives	193
(cc) Economic findings on the interrelation between cooperation and innovation	195
(2) Reduced number of entities performing R&D	198
(3) No adjustment of Guidelines	200
(b) Technology transfer	202
3) Conclusion	205
B) Abuse of a dominant position according to Article 102 TFEU	207
1) Possible starting points for innovation competition concerns in Article 102 TFEU	208
(a) Legal basis for incorporating innovation concerns	208
(b) Factors determining market power	211
(c) Innovation-driven competitive pressure in German law	217
(1) Application in practice	218
(2) Appraisal	222
2) Incorporating innovation competition into Article 102 TFEU	224
(a) The rationale behind Dow/DuPont and Article 102 TFEU: assessment criteria	225
(b) Oligopolistic market structure	226
(c) SIEC test versus dominant position	227
(d) Dynamic aspects in Article 102 TFEU	229
3) Kinds of abusive behavior that interrelate with innovation capacity	230
(a) Definition of abusive behavior	231
(b) Kinds of abusive behavior impacting innovation incentives	232
(1) Refusal to supply	233
(2) Misuse of regulatory framework	235
(3) Discrimination	236
(4) Treatment of those and other kinds of conduct	238
4) Powers of the Commission with respect to important innovators	242
(a) Fines and procedural principles	243
(b) Other Commission powers	251
5) Conclusion	252

C) Allocation of the result in primary law	253
1) Function of Article 101 and 102 TFEU in the internal market	253
2) Development of the EC Merger Regulation	254
3) Interrelation between Article 101 and 102 TFEU and the EC Merger Regulation	255
4) Innovation competition and Article 101 and 102 TFEU	256
5) Conclusion	257
IV) Summary	259
A) Innovation Competition in <i>Dow/DuPont</i>	259
1) Rationale in <i>Dow/DuPont</i>	259
2) Protective purpose and time horizon	261
3) Innovation competition and innovation spaces	262
4) The approach in <i>Dow/DuPont</i> is novel and necessary	263
5) Under certain circumstances, a link between innovation and competition may be established to the requisite legal standard	264
6) Other factors impacting innovation must be taken into account	266
7) The treatment of efficiencies must be altered with respect to innovation	267
8) <i>Dow/DuPont</i> is anchored in primary law	270
B) Innovation Competition and the scope of its application in EU Competition Law	271
1) Restrictive agreements according to Article 101 TFEU	271
(a) Starting points for an assessment under Article 101 TFEU	271
(b) No application of the innovation competition approach in case of R&D cooperation	272
(c) No application of the innovation competition approach in cooperation settings in general	275
2) Abuse of a dominant position according to Article 102 TFEU	276
(a) Starting points for the assessment under Article 102 TFEU	276
(b) The notion of an “important innovator” developed in <i>Dow/DuPont</i> may be used to determine market dominance	276
(c) The importance of innovation capacity in abuse of dominance cases is restricted to certain kinds of abusive behavior	277

(d) The powers of the Commission may be restricted in settings involving important innovators	278
(e) The criteria set up in Dow/DuPont for determining an important innovator may be used in the context of abuse of dominance	279
3) Allocation of the result in primary law	280
Bibliography	281