

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	17
Introduction	21
Part I: Historical Development	27
Chapter I: The Tsarist Era 1850–1917	29
1. The Crimean War 1853–1856 – the opening salvo?	29
2. The First Geneva Convention 1864 – Russia, the sleeping giant	31
3. St Petersburg Declaration 1868 – closing Pandora’s box	33
3.1 Proceedings at the conference and the final declaration	34
3.2 Impact of the St Petersburg Declaration on IHL	36
3.3 Russia’s role – a pragmatic idealist?	39
4. The Brussels Conference 1874 – a stillborn phoenix	42
4.1 Thinking big – a comprehensive code of war	43
4.2 The aftermath of the failed convention	46
5. The Russo-Turkish War 1877–1878 – the crucible	47
6. The Hague Peace Conference of 1899 – the Parliament of Man	51
6.1 Proceedings at the Conference	56
6.2 Influence of the Conference on IHL	57
7. Analysing the bigger picture – why Russia?	61
8. The Russo-Japanese War 1904–1905 – a war waged by the books	65
9. The revision of the Geneva Convention 1906 – who is the better humanitarian?	67
10. The Second Hague Peace Conference of 1907 – the calm before the storm	68
11. The First World War 1914–1918 – the great seminal catastrophe	71
11.1 Chlorine gas – a horror made in Germany	74
11.2 Prisoners of war in Russia – lost in the taiga	78
12. Conclusion	81

Chapter II: The Soviet Era 1917–1991	82
1. Introduction	82
2. Soviet peculiarities – breaking with the past	85
2.1 “Socialist international law” – the fragmentation of international law	85
2.2 Political justifications – renaissance of the just war theory?	90
2.3 The Soviet Union and the Russian Empire – continuity or reset button?	94
2.4 Conclusion – IHL through a Soviet lens	102
3. The Second World War on the eastern front – obliteration of IHL	103
3.1 IHL violations by Nazi Germany on the eastern front	105
3.2 IHL violations by the Soviet Union on the eastern front	107
4. The Soviets at Nuremberg – third wheel or driving force?	111
4.1 Run-up to Nuremberg – trial or execution?	112
4.2 The work of the Nuremberg Tribunal	115
5. The Geneva Conventions of 1949 – the Soviet Union as “scum of the earth” or “great humanitarian?”	117
5.1 A Soviet boycott	118
5.2 Soviet contributions to the Conference	121
6. Overt military operations during the Cold War – the denial of IHL	128
6.1 From Berlin to Zhenbao	128
6.2 Afghanistan 1979–1989 – the Russian Vietnam	131
Conclusion Part I: Russia’s Long Way from the “Golden Age” to the “Grey Age”	136
Part II: Russia’s Contemporary Approach to IHL	139
Chapter I: IHL in International Diplomacy – A Lost Russian Art?	140
1. Advancing IHL treaty law – Russia, the eternal sceptic	140
1.1 The APMBC – resisting the regulation of anti-personnel mines	142
1.2 The CCM – resisting the regulation of “ <i>de facto</i> mines”	144
1.3 Nuclear weapons – reversing Martens	146
1.4 The Arms Trade Treaty – unchecked exports	148

1.5	Ongoing processes of regulation – no laws for LAWS?	149
1.6	Conclusion	151
2.	Advancing IHL compliance – “we are free like birds”	153
2.1	International criminal law – leaving the ICC	155
2.2	Other compliance mechanisms – three sleeping beauties	157
2.3	The ICRC – behind the veil of confidentiality	160
2.4	The ICRC-Swiss-led compliance initiative – good intentions, bad prospects	161
2.5	Conclusion	162
3.	Humanitarian aid – from Russia with love?	162
3.1	The legal framework of humanitarian relief – examining the care package	163
3.2	Russian humanitarian relief in Syria – aide sans frontières?	167
3.3	Russian humanitarian relief in Ukraine – Trojan aid?	169
4.	Conclusion	173
Chapter II: IHL Implementation in the Domestic Russian Legal System – A Difficult Marriage?		174
1.	The Russian Constitution of 1993	175
1.1	Art 15 – great expectations	175
1.1.1	Art 15(4)(i) – Russia’s gateway to international law	176
1.1.2	Art 15(4)(ii) – establishing a hierarchy	179
1.2	Art 17(1) – a heart for humanity?	180
1.3	Conclusion	182
2.	Other selected acts of implementation	184
2.1	Criminal law – Russian minimalism	184
2.2	Legislation concerning the armed forces – Russian abundance	190
2.3	Conclusion	192
3.	IHL before Russian courts	193
3.1	The <i>Chechnya Decision</i> – a wake-up call?	194
3.2	The Law on Cultural Objects – the beginning of a long silence	197
3.3	The Burial Law – thou shalt not mourn	199
3.4	War crime trials – living up to Nuremberg?	201
3.4.1	Criminal convictions for Russian wartime crimes – a handful of nothing	202

3.4.2 Ignoring Strasbourg – from silence to defiance	204
3.4.3 Critical assessment – ICL in Russia: a selective application	207
4. Conclusion	210
Chapter III: Evading IHL on the Battlefield – Denying the Existence of an Armed Conflict (“The Paintbrush”)	211
1. The threshold of application – the Achilles’ heel of IHL	212
2. Avoiding occupation – ceci n’est pas une occupation	213
2.1 Occupation under IHL – an elaborate framework	214
2.1.1 The case of Crimea – belligerent occupation or mending a “historical injustice”?	218
2.1.2 The events in Crimea in 2014 – arrival of the “little green men”	218
2.1.3 Classifying Crimea – Russia vs the rest of the world	220
2.3.1.1 The element of “substitution of effective control” in Crimea	222
2.3.1.2 The element of “against the will of the sovereign” in Crimea	223
2.1.4 Russian denial – what occupation?	229
2.2 Occupation by proxy – the cases of Transdniestria, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia	231
2.2.1 Russia’s influence in Transdniestria, South Ossetia, and Abkhazia	232
2.2.2 Direct and indirect occupation	236
2.2.2.1 The narrow approach – effective control (ICJ standard)	238
2.2.2.2 The broad approach – overall control (ICTY standard)	240
2.2.3 Russia’s approach – between loopholes and denial	245
2.3.2.1 Russia’s attitude towards South Ossetia & Abkhazia – brothers in arms	245
2.3.2.2 Russia’s attitude towards Transdniestria – brothers in denial	250
2.3 Conclusion	253
3. Denying the involvement of Russian soldiers – phantoms of war in Donbas	255
3.1 Donbas – a beautiful battleground	255
3.1.1 Chronology of a war – four phases	256

3.1.2	Pointillism of war – individual stories painting a bigger picture	259
3.2	The Russian position – denying the obvious	261
3.3	Applying the law to the facts – what type of conflict is the war in Donbas?	263
3.3.1	The framework of conflict classification	263
3.3.2	The war in Donbas as an international armed conflict	266
3.4	Conclusion	271
4.	Rebranding armed clashes – a war of words	272
4.1	The clash in the Kerch Strait (2018) – the art of euphemism	272
4.2	The Chechen Wars – Moscow’s fight against “banditism”	279
4.2.1	Descent into war – “I will crush you”	279
4.2.2	The correct classification of the Chechen Wars – freedom fighters or terrorists?	283
4.2.3	The Russian position – a fight against banditry	288
4.3.2.1	Russia’s approach to IHL in the First Chechen War (and its consequences)	288
4.3.2.2	Russia’s approach to IHL in the Second Chechen War (and its consequences)	292
4.3	Conclusion	296
Chapter IV: Evading IHL on the Battlefield – Outsourcing Warfare (“The Apprentice”)		298
1.	Wagner’s Valkyries – a new type of PMC?	300
1.1	Defining PMCs – the commodification of armed conflict	300
1.2	PMCs in Russia after 1991 – a late blossom	302
1.3	Slavonic Corpus and Wagner Group – a new type of shadow warriors?	304
1.3.1	Offensive missions	306
1.3.2	Under-regulation	311
1.3.3	Denial & deniability	315
1.4	Conclusion	322
2.	Cossacks – for faith, Tsar and fatherland	323
2.1	Historical context – born at the fringes of the Russian Empire	323
2.2	Developments in Russia after 1991 – a Cossack renaissance	325
2.3	Fighting Russian wars – “Cossacks have no borders”	327
2.4	An official order or the call of duty – who sent in the Cossacks?	330

3. South Ossetian Militias – experts for “dirty work”	334
3.1 The Russo-Georgian War – Georgia up in flames	335
3.2 Russia’s control over the SOM – equal allies?	336
4. The effects of outsourcing – flying below the radar of international law	339
4.1 Avoiding State responsibility and the impact on IHL	339
4.1.1 Why State responsibility matters	340
4.1.2 The framework of State responsibility	342
4.2.1.1 Article 4 ASRIWA	343
4.2.1.2 Article 8 ARSIWA	347
4.2.1.3 Article 5 ARSIWA	353
5. Conclusion	356
 Chapter V: Evading IHL on the Battlefield – Denying Facts (“The Sledgehammer”)	 358
1. Targeting “errors” – healthcare in danger	358
1.1 Russia’s war in Syria – a “Road to Damascus Experience”?	359
1.2 Protection of healthcare – firm rules, feeble respect	361
1.3 Targeting hospitals in Syria – “srabotalo”	363
1.4 Russia’s denial – fake news?	368
2. Cluster munitions – denying the obvious	371
2.1 The legality of cluster munitions – barbaric bomblets?	371
2.2 Russia’s use of cluster munitions in Syria and Georgia	373
3. The MH17 incident – “and then, bodies just fell from the sky”	376
3.1 The crash of MH17 – a tragic day in July	377
3.2 Finding responsibility – Russia’s barrage of alternative facts	378
4. Conclusion	381
 Part III: Contrasting the Past and the Present	 383
1. <i>O tempora, o mores</i> – contrasting Russia’s approach to IHL	384
2. Looking behind the obvious – why has Russia changed?	389
2.1 <i>O tempora, o leges</i> – IHL as a victim of its own success?	390
2.2 <i>O tempora, o bella</i> – IHL as a victim of “new wars”?	395
2.3 <i>Do ut des</i> – does IHL lack an incentive for compliance for Russia?	401

Final Conclusion	407
Bibliography	409