

# Table of Contents

|                                                                                  |           |                                                                                         |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Table of Contents . . . . .                                                      | vii       | 2.4 Units of Analysis . . . . .                                                         | 32        |
| List of Figures . . . . .                                                        | xi        | 2.4.1 Scientists in Germany . . . . .                                                   | 32        |
| List of Tables . . . . .                                                         | xiii      | 2.4.2 Non-university research . . . . .                                                 | 32        |
| Acronyms . . . . .                                                               | xv        | 2.4.3 Public basic science . . . . .                                                    | 33        |
| Preface . . . . .                                                                | xvii      | 2.4.4 Technologically relevant disciplines . . . . .                                    | 33        |
| Acknowledgements . . . . .                                                       | xix       | 2.5 Data Collection and Processing . . . . .                                            | 34        |
| <b>1 Introduction . . . . .</b>                                                  | <b>1</b>  | 2.5.1 Interview design . . . . .                                                        | 34        |
| 1.1 Patents in Regulatory Complexity . . . . .                                   | 3         | 2.5.2 Interview selection . . . . .                                                     | 34        |
| 1.2 Defining Public Basic Science . . . . .                                      | 5         | 2.5.3 Interview process . . . . .                                                       | 35        |
| 1.2.1 The linear model: An old but surviving approach . . . . .                  | 5         | 2.5.4 Transcription . . . . .                                                           | 35        |
| 1.2.2 The quadrant model . . . . .                                               | 5         | 2.5.5 Translation . . . . .                                                             | 35        |
| 1.2.3 The social system model . . . . .                                          | 7         | 2.6 Interview Analysis . . . . .                                                        | 36        |
| 1.2.4 Social norms in science . . . . .                                          | 8         | 2.6.1 Coding . . . . .                                                                  | 36        |
| 1.3 Shifts in Research Policy - The Rise of the Third Mission . . . . .          | 10        | 2.6.2 Interpretation . . . . .                                                          | 37        |
| 1.4 A Primer on Patents in Science . . . . .                                     | 12        | 2.7 Quality Criteria . . . . .                                                          | 39        |
| 1.4.1 Patents as regulatory instruments . . . . .                                | 12        | <b>3 Theory: Community Governance and Patenting in Science . . . . .</b>                | <b>41</b> |
| 1.4.2 Patentable basic science? . . . . .                                        | 12        | 3.1 Commons Governance as a Research Perspective . . . . .                              | 42        |
| 1.4.3 Academic patents as service inventions . . . . .                           | 12        | 3.1.1 Default neutrality towards markets, authority, and community management . . . . . | 43        |
| 1.4.4 Disclosure . . . . .                                                       | 13        | 3.1.2 Understanding institutional diversity and complexity . . . . .                    | 47        |
| 1.4.5 Exclusive rights and their exemptions . . . . .                            | 13        | 3.2 Analytical Tools of the Commons Perspective . . . . .                               | 48        |
| 1.4.6 Summary . . . . .                                                          | 14        | 3.2.1 The IAD framework . . . . .                                                       | 49        |
| 1.5 The Resulting Friction: Private Ownership and Basic Science . . . . .        | 15        | 3.2.2 Multiple sources of rule-making . . . . .                                         | 54        |
| 1.5.1 Theoretical concerns . . . . .                                             | 16        | 3.2.3 Multiple levels of rule-making . . . . .                                          | 56        |
| 1.5.2 Evidence . . . . .                                                         | 17        | 3.2.4 A Grammar of Institutions . . . . .                                               | 58        |
| 1.6 Research Question . . . . .                                                  | 18        | 3.2.5 Dissecting Rules-in-Use of the Patent System . . . . .                            | 59        |
| 1.7 Methodological Considerations . . . . .                                      | 20        | 3.2.6 Summary . . . . .                                                                 | 63        |
| 1.7.1 Context and data . . . . .                                                 | 21        | 3.3 Commons Governance as a Research Object . . . . .                                   | 64        |
| 1.7.2 Analysis of individual attitudes and strategies toward patenting . . . . . | 22        | 3.3.1 Commons - A disambiguation . . . . .                                              | 65        |
| 1.8 Thesis Structure . . . . .                                                   | 23        | 3.3.2 Common-property regimes: Legal variables of community governance . . . . .        | 66        |
| <b>2 Methodology . . . . .</b>                                                   | <b>25</b> | 3.3.3 Common-pool resources - Economic variables in community governance . . . . .      | 70        |
| 2.1 Research Design . . . . .                                                    | 26        | 3.4 Cultural Variables in Community Governance . . . . .                                | 73        |
| 2.1.1 Why a Case Study? . . . . .                                                | 27        | 3.4.1 "Culture" as a category of informal institutions . . . . .                        | 73        |
| 2.1.2 What is the "case?" . . . . .                                              | 28        | 3.4.2 Interplay of informal and formal institutions . . . . .                           | 74        |
| 2.1.3 Choice of case study type . . . . .                                        | 29        | 3.4.3 Summary . . . . .                                                                 | 77        |
| 2.2 Research Questions . . . . .                                                 | 30        |                                                                                         |           |
| 2.3 Prior Information and Theoretical Propositions: . . . . .                    | 31        |                                                                                         |           |

|                                                                                                |     |                                                                               |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.5 Community Governance as Self-Regulation . . . . .                                          | 79  | 4.4.5 Regulatory indetermination of<br>polycentric environments . . . . .     | 132 |
| 3.5.1 Main elements of commons gover-<br>nance . . . . .                                       | 79  | 4.5 Summary: Patents as a Proxy for the "Third<br>Mission" . . . . .          | 133 |
| 3.5.2 Openness as an important property<br>of commons governance . . . . .                     | 81  | 4.5.1 Embeddedness of patents in the<br>governance of science . . . . .       | 134 |
| 3.5.3 Summary . . . . .                                                                        | 82  | 4.5.2 Exogenous Third-Mission regula-<br>tions in the IAD framework . . . . . | 135 |
| 3.6 Peculiarities of Knowledge Commons . . . . .                                               | 83  | <b>5 Empirics: Patenting Motives in Basic Science</b> . . . . .               | 137 |
| 3.6.1 The interdependency of economic<br>variables and rules-in-use . . . . .                  | 83  | 5.1 Basic Science Defined by Basic Scientists . . . . .                       | 139 |
| 3.6.2 The need for knowledge to be created . . . . .                                           | 83  | 5.1.1 Institutional environment - Basic<br>science as a mission . . . . .     | 139 |
| 3.6.3 Specific types of governance failures . . . . .                                          | 85  | 5.1.2 Personal motives: Individual<br>quests for understanding . . . . .      | 140 |
| 3.6.4 Summary: Complexity in the gover-<br>nance of knowledge commons . . . . .                | 86  | 5.1.3 Research activities . . . . .                                           | 141 |
| 3.7 Understanding Science as a Commons . . . . .                                               | 88  | 5.1.4 Research results . . . . .                                              | 142 |
| 3.7.1 What makes commons "scientific"? . . . . .                                               | 89  | 5.1.5 Sources of innovation: Four dimen-<br>sions of basic research . . . . . | 144 |
| 3.7.2 What makes science a commons? . . . . .                                                  | 91  | 5.2 How are Scientists Affected by Existing<br>Patents? . . . . .             | 146 |
| 3.7.3 Nestedness of scientific commons . . . . .                                               | 95  | 5.2.1 Passive ignorance of patents . . . . .                                  | 146 |
| 3.7.4 Commons governance in science . . . . .                                                  | 96  | 5.2.2 Assessing patent content . . . . .                                      | 147 |
| 3.7.5 Summary: Science as a commons vs.<br>scientific commons . . . . .                        | 100 | 5.2.3 Active ignorance of patents . . . . .                                   | 148 |
| 3.8 Two Explanations for Overvaluations of<br>Anticommons in Science . . . . .                 | 101 | 5.2.4 Two sides of the ignore-patents norm . . . . .                          | 148 |
| 3.8.1 Instrumentalism I: Patent instru-<br>mentalism on research instruments . . . . .         | 102 | 5.3 Mental Models of Intellectual Property and<br>the Third Mission . . . . . | 150 |
| 3.8.2 Instrumentalism II: Exogenous<br>patents versus endogenous self-<br>governance . . . . . | 104 | 5.3.1 The traditional First Mission versus<br>the new Third Mission . . . . . | 151 |
| 3.8.3 Anticommons effects in institu-<br>tional complexity . . . . .                           | 106 | 5.3.2 Mental models of patents and intel-<br>lectual property . . . . .       | 154 |
| 3.9 Chapter Summary . . . . .                                                                  | 107 | 5.3.3 Mertonian norms: Ideals and reality . . . . .                           | 158 |
| <b>4 Policy Analysis: Patenting for the Third Mission</b> . . . . .                            | 109 | 5.3.4 Summary: Pragmatism about Mer-<br>tonian norms . . . . .                | 161 |
| 4.1 The Rise of the Third Mission . . . . .                                                    | 110 | 5.4 Resulting Motives not to Patent . . . . .                                 | 162 |
| 4.1.1 Historical perspective . . . . .                                                         | 110 | 5.4.1 Academic culture . . . . .                                              | 162 |
| 4.1.2 Conceptual perspective . . . . .                                                         | 112 | 5.4.2 Improving via sharing . . . . .                                         | 163 |
| 4.1.3 The regulatory perspective . . . . .                                                     | 116 | 5.4.3 Priority for science and cost-benefit<br>considerations . . . . .       | 163 |
| 4.1.4 Regulatory Overlaps for the Third<br>Mission . . . . .                                   | 118 | 5.5 Motives for Patenting . . . . .                                           | 164 |
| 4.2 Expectations and Mission Formulation . . . . .                                             | 119 | 5.5.1 Private income - or not? . . . . .                                      | 164 |
| 4.2.1 European level . . . . .                                                                 | 119 | 5.5.2 Protection for diffusion into appli-<br>cation . . . . .                | 166 |
| 4.2.2 National level . . . . .                                                                 | 120 | 5.5.3 Access to funding . . . . .                                             | 169 |
| 4.2.3 Organizational level . . . . .                                                           | 121 | 5.5.4 Patents used as a certificate and signal . . . . .                      | 170 |
| 4.3 Third Mission-Directed Resources . . . . .                                                 | 122 | 5.5.5 Individual signaling . . . . .                                          | 171 |
| 4.3.1 European level . . . . .                                                                 | 123 | 5.5.6 Organizational signaling . . . . .                                      | 174 |
| 4.3.2 National level . . . . .                                                                 | 124 | 5.5.7 Patenting motives: Conclusion . . . . .                                 | 177 |
| 4.3.3 Organizational level . . . . .                                                           | 125 | 5.6 Scientific Forms of Strategic Patenting . . . . .                         | 179 |
| 4.4 Mission-Directed Evaluation . . . . .                                                      | 126 |                                                                               |     |
| 4.4.1 European level . . . . .                                                                 | 126 |                                                                               |     |
| 4.4.2 National level . . . . .                                                                 | 127 |                                                                               |     |
| 4.4.3 Organizational level . . . . .                                                           | 128 |                                                                               |     |
| 4.4.4 Properties of polycentrism . . . . .                                                     | 130 |                                                                               |     |

## Table of Contents

|          |                                                                                      |            |                               |                                                                                                       |            |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 5.6.1    | Patenting motives between external incentives and intrinsic motivation . . . . .     | 179        | 6.4.6                         | Individual signaling . . . . .                                                                        | 224        |
| 5.6.2    | Patenting motives and self-determination theory in the IAD framework . . . . .       | 182        | 6.4.7                         | Complexity: Emerging patent functions as patterns of interaction . . . . .                            | 226        |
| 5.6.3    | Patenting strategies in science and industry . . . . .                               | 184        | 6.4.8                         | Evaluating new patent functions . . . . .                                                             | 227        |
| 5.7      | Chapter Summary . . . . .                                                            | 187        | 6.4.9                         | Summary . . . . .                                                                                     | 229        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Synthesis: Contextual Patent Functions . . . . .</b>                              | <b>189</b> | 6.5                           | Patents as Signals . . . . .                                                                          | 230        |
| 6.1      | Disambiguating Legitimation, Purpose, and Function of Patents . . . . .              | 190        | 6.5.1                         | Patent signals in legal and economic research . . . . .                                               | 230        |
| 6.1.1    | An instrumentalist view on patents . . . . .                                         | 190        | 6.5.2                         | Patent signals in the Triple Helix . . . . .                                                          | 232        |
| 6.1.2    | A look back . . . . .                                                                | 192        | 6.5.3                         | Summary and implications . . . . .                                                                    | 234        |
| 6.1.3    | Fencing a moving target: Distinguishing micro-functions and macro-purposes . . . . . | 195        | 6.6                           | Chapter Summary . . . . .                                                                             | 235        |
| 6.1.4    | Summary . . . . .                                                                    | 196        | 6.6.1                         | Contextual rules-in-use and functions of patenting . . . . .                                          | 236        |
| 6.2      | Functions as Contextual Action-Outcome Links . . . . .                               | 197        | 6.6.2                         | Symbolic patenting in science . . . . .                                                               | 237        |
| 6.2.1    | Shortcomings in the previous view . . . . .                                          | 197        | <b>7</b>                      | <b>Summary . . . . .</b>                                                                              | <b>239</b> |
| 6.2.2    | The analytical alternative: Contextual functions of patents . . . . .                | 201        | 7.1                           | Recapitulating the Argument . . . . .                                                                 | 240        |
| 6.2.3    | Summary . . . . .                                                                    | 207        | 7.1.1                         | Initial considerations . . . . .                                                                      | 241        |
| 6.3      | Contextual Efficacy of Patent Law . . . . .                                          | 208        | 7.1.2                         | Theory: Commons governance and the absence of anticommons effects . . . . .                           | 242        |
| 6.3.1    | Disclosure . . . . .                                                                 | 209        | 7.1.3                         | Policy analysis: Patents in polycentric regulation . . . . .                                          | 243        |
| 6.3.2    | Exclusivity . . . . .                                                                | 210        | 7.1.4                         | Empirics: Strategic patenting motives in science . . . . .                                            | 244        |
| 6.3.3    | Licensing . . . . .                                                                  | 211        | 7.1.5                         | Synthesis: From motives to functions – Signaling as a contextually emerging patent function . . . . . | 245        |
| 6.3.4    | Summary . . . . .                                                                    | 212        | 7.2                           | The Big Picture: Understanding Institutional Complexity of Patenting . . . . .                        | 246        |
| 6.4      | Contextually Emerging Patent Functions . . . . .                                     | 213        | 7.3                           | Implications for Existing Discussions . . . . .                                                       | 248        |
| 6.4.1    | Linking motives and contextual regulations . . . . .                                 | 214        | 7.3.1                         | Incentives and motives for academic patenting . . . . .                                               | 248        |
| 6.4.2    | “Traditional” functions . . . . .                                                    | 216        | 7.3.2                         | Acceptance and functional change of patent law . . . . .                                              | 248        |
| 6.4.3    | Funding . . . . .                                                                    | 218        | 7.3.3                         | Governing knowledge commons . . . . .                                                                 | 249        |
| 6.4.4    | Qualitative organizational signaling . . . . .                                       | 220        | 7.3.4                         | Exploring conceptual bridges . . . . .                                                                | 249        |
| 6.4.5    | Quantitative organizational signaling . . . . .                                      | 222        | <b>Bibliography . . . . .</b> | <b>251</b>                                                                                            |            |