

Contents

1	Introduction — 1
1.1	Tense and aspect in narrative texts — 2
1.2	The present study — 4
1.3	Structure of the present study — 6
2	Key concepts of language acquisition research — 8
2.1	Second language and third language — 8
2.2	Second language acquisition — 9
2.2.1	Empirical studies on acquisition sequences — 10
2.2.2	Processability Theory — 10
2.2.3	Functionally-oriented studies — 12
2.3	Variability of the learning process — 15
2.4	Third language acquisition — 18
2.5	The acquisition of Italian from TLA and SLA perspectives — 20
2.6	Summary — 22
3	Tense, aspect and grounding — 23
3.1	Tense and temporality — 25
3.1.1	Present tense — 29
3.1.2	Perfective past tenses — 32
3.1.3	Passato Prossimo — 34
3.1.4	Passato Remoto — 36
3.1.5	Trapassato Prossimo — 38
3.1.6	Imperfetto — 39
3.2	Aspectuality — 42
3.2.1	Grammatical aspect — 48
3.2.2	Perfective aspect — 48
3.2.3	Imperfective aspect — 52
3.2.4	Lexical aspect — 58
3.3	Discourse grounding — 61
3.4	Tense and aspect in German — 65
3.5	Summary — 68
4	The acquisition of tense and aspect in Romance languages — 70
4.1	Meaning-oriented studies — 71
4.2	Form-oriented studies — 73

4.2.1	The effect of lexical aspect on morphological marking of predicates — 74
4.2.2	The lexical aspect hypothesis — 76
4.2.3	The conjunct influence of lexical aspect and discourse grounding — 80
4.2.4	The distributional bias hypothesis — 81
4.2.5	Distribution of prototypical combinations in the interlanguage — 82
4.2.6	The default tense hypothesis — 83
4.3	A comprehensive model of the acquisition of temporal-aspectual distinctions — 85
4.4	Transfer of knowledge of tense and aspect — 86
4.5	Summary — 88
5	The acquisition of tense and aspect in Italian — 91
5.1	Mode of language learning: tutored versus untutored learners — 92
5.2	Lexical aspect as a triggering factor in tense/aspect acquisition — 96
5.3	The role of lexical aspect across acquisition stages — 101
5.4	The semantic value of past tense forms across acquisition stages — 103
5.5	The effect of L1 on tense/aspect acquisition — 105
5.6	Summary — 110
6	Methodology — 111
6.1	Research questions — 113
6.2	Participants — 114
6.3	Methods of data collection — 115
6.4	Methods of data analysis — 118
6.4.1	Coding for grounding — 121
6.4.2	Coding problematic cases — 124
6.4.3	Obligatory occasion analysis — 125
6.4.4	Frequency analysis — 129
6.4.5	Coding for lexical aspect — 130
6.4.6	The number of lexical aspectual categories — 131
6.4.7	Within-category and across-category analysis — 133
6.4.8	Operational tests to determine lexical aspect — 134
6.4.9	Representation of lexical aspect in the interlanguage — 136

6.4.10	Type frequency versus token frequency —	138
6.5	Summary —	139
7	Results of the obligatory occasion analysis —	141
7.1	Proficiency groups —	142
7.1.1	Proficiency groups and language classes —	143
7.1.2	Proficiency s and experience in language learning —	144
7.2	Distribution of past tense marking across proficiency groups —	147
7.2.1	Effect of text type —	149
7.2.2	The low proficiency group —	153
7.2.3	The intermediate proficiency group —	157
7.2.4	The upper-intermediate proficiency group —	161
7.2.5	The high proficiency group —	164
7.3	Summary —	166
8	Results of the frequency analysis —	168
8.1	The low proficiency narratives —	168
8.1.1	The relationship between the frequency of tense forms and text type —	170
8.1.2	Unsystematic signs of aspect marking —	173
8.2	The intermediate proficiency narratives —	176
8.3	The upper-intermediate proficiency narratives —	183
8.4	The high proficiency narratives —	190
8.5	Summary —	195
9	The effect of lexical aspect on the distribution of past tense morphology —	196
9.1	The low proficiency narratives —	198
9.2	The intermediate proficiency narratives —	203
9.3	The upper-intermediate proficiency narratives —	213
9.4	The high proficiency narratives —	221
9.5	Summary —	225
10	Discussion —	227
10.1	Proficiency levels in tense-aspect marking —	227
10.2	The low proficiency narratives —	231
10.3	The intermediate proficiency narratives —	235
10.3.1	The foreground of intermediate proficiency narratives —	236

10.3.2	The background of intermediate proficiency narratives —	236
10.3.3	The influence of lexical aspect —	237
10.3.4	Imperfective aspect as a scalar category —	238
10.4	The upper-intermediate proficiency narratives —	240
10.5	The high proficiency narratives —	243
10.6	Implications and further research —	245
10.6.1	The influence of the student's linguistic background —	246
10.6.2	The influence of the student's first language —	247
10.6.3	The influence of input —	248
10.7	Limitations —	249

Bibliography — 251

Index — 263