

Table of Contents

Foreword	11
A. Introduction	13
I. Background	13
II. Focus of the thesis	15
III. Structure and methodology	17
B. Big data and the digital economy	20
I. Big data and its importance for the digital economy	20
II. The digital (platform) economy and its characteristics	23
1. The term “online platform”	23
2. Characteristics of the digital platform economy	25
III. Personal data as consideration in the digital platform economy	29
1. Classification of contract typology	31
2. Data as subject of performance	36
3. Interim conclusion	40
C. Overview of the core legal framework	41
I. Article 102 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union	41
1. The establishment of dominance and the resulting “special responsibility”	42
2. The abuse of a dominant position	45
3. The possibility of an objective justification for abusive conduct	47
II. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)	48
1. The scope of application of the GDPR	49
a) The material scope	49
b) The territorial scope	50
c) The personal scope	51
2. The decisive cornerstones of the GDPR	52
a) The admissible grounds for processing personal data	53

b) The principles of purpose limitation and data minimization	54
c) The requirement of transparency	55
D. The relation between data protection and competition law	56
I. The evolving opinion on the relation between data protection and competition law	57
II. The similarities between data protection and competition law	58
1. The objectives of data protection and competition law	59
a) The objectives of European competition law	60
b) The objectives of the GDPR and data protection law in general	64
2. Other links between data protection and competition law	66
III. Interim conclusion	67
E. The <i>Facebook</i> case of the Bundeskartellamt	70
I. The investigation and decision by the German Bundeskartellamt	70
II. The court order of the Higher Regional Court Düsseldorf	74
III. The decision of the German Federal Court of Justice	80
F. The interplay between big data and data protection considerations and Art. 102 TFEU in order to regulate “platform power” – the Bundeskartellamt’s <i>Facebook</i> case in the context of European competition law	86
I. Big data and the General Data Protection Regulation as a normative yardstick for Art. 102 TFEU	87
1. Big data and data protection considerations as criteria for the establishment of dominance under Art. 102 TFEU	88
a) The extent of data collection and the level of data protection as a criterion for market power	89
b) Access to (big) data as a barrier to expansion	92
c) Interim conclusion	96

2. The GDPR as a normative yardstick for abusive conduct under Art. 102 TFEU	97
a) Exclusionary abuses in the light of the GDPR	97
aa) The essential facilities doctrine in a data-related context	98
bb) The General Data Protection Regulation as an indicator for infringements of the undertaking's "special responsibility"	101
b) Exploitative abuses in the light of the General Data Protection Regulation	105
aa) The influence of data protection considerations on the notion of abuse under Art. 102 (1) TFEU	106
bb) Excessive collection of data as a form of excessive pricing	111
cc) Unfair contractual terms and conditions in the light of the General Data Protection Regulation	113
c) Interim conclusion	115
II. Arguments for and against the approach of linking data protection considerations to competition law concerns	117
1. Arguments in favour of the approach	118
2. Arguments against the approach	119
3. Interim conclusion	121
G. Competence and expertise of competition authorities to enforce compliance with data protection law through competition law sanctions	122
I. Formal competence to sanction data-related behaviours via competition law	122
II. Lacking expertise with regard to data protection law	125
III. Interim conclusion	127
H. The immanence of data processing by online platforms – an extension of the so-called "Immanenztheorie" to Art. 102 TFEU	129
I. Background of the idea	129
II. Legal appraisal of the idea	132

Table of Contents

I. The classification of online platforms like <i>Facebook</i> as a natural monopoly sui generis and the problems arising from it	134
I. The parallels between natural monopolies and online platforms like <i>Facebook</i>	135
1. The term “natural monopoly”	136
2. The classification of <i>Facebook</i> as a natural monopoly	137
II. The problems resulting from natural monopolies in the digital (platform) economy	141
III. The regulatory approach to natural monopolies such as <i>Facebook</i> in the digital (platform) economy	144
1. Interoperability	145
2. Merger regulation	146
3. Transparency	150
4. Adjustment of the burden of proof	151
IV. Interim conclusion	152
J. Final conclusion and future prospects	153
Bibliography	159