

# Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	11
FOREWORD	15
PREFACE	17
INTRODUCTION	20
I – ANTITRUST GOALS AND COMPETITION	26
i.i. Three snapshots of the “Antitrust Goals” debate	26
i.i.i. Antitrust goals in the origins of antitrust	26
i.i.ii. A “paradox”, a “windy city” and a meaning of “competition”	36
i.i.iii. A contemporary debate	49
i.ii. Antitrust and competition in Brazilian legal discourse	61
i.ii.i. Is there an antitrust dogmatics? Three challenges	61
i.ii.ii. Goals and competition in Brazilian antitrust doctrine.	71
i.ii.iii. Goals and competition in CADE’s case law	84
i.iii. “External” sources	94
i.iii.i. Wealth maximization: from political philosophy to economic analysis	94
i.iii.ii. Scarcity: from economic analysis to law and economics	102
i.iii.iii. Competition: from law and economics to law and society	112
II – COMPETITION AS A SOCIAL FORM	122
ii.i. A concurrent discourse about competition	122
ii.i.i. Classics of political economy and pioneers of a sociology of competition	122
ii.i.ii. The 1940s: one “missing link” and two influential works	134
ii.i.iii. The last forty years: sociological approaches to economics	143

## *Table of Contents*

ii.ii. Competition in the Brazilian social thought	152
ii.ii.i. Since colonial times? monopolies and the “other side”	152
ii.ii.ii. The phenomenon of competition: a “myth” in the tropics?	160
ii.ii.iii. Social forms in Brazilian self-descriptions	168
ii.iii. Functional method and triadic competition	178
ii.iii.i. Causality, functional approach and systems theory	178
ii.iii.ii. From the environment’s structure to the multivalued function	186
ii.iii.iii. Triadic competition, indirect audience and the Brazilian mirror	198
III - BRINGING COMPETITION TO ANTITRUST	208
iii.i. Three cases: bread, hospital and taxes	208
iii.i.i. Collusion and triadic competition	208
iii.i.ii. Mergers and intermediates	217
iii.i.iii. Exclusionary conduct and the state-third	228
iii.ii. Theoretical implications	239
iii.ii.i. For antitrust: protecting competitive behavior beyond psychology	239
iii.ii.ii. For legal doctrine: producing socially adequate differences	249
iii.ii.iii. For legal sociology: the laughing third in nobody’s land	261
FINAL REMARKS	269
REFERENCES	279