

## Contents

**Foreword of the series editors** v

**Foreword** vii

**Preface** ix

### **Section A Physical models from ancient times to the 1880s** 1

#### **1 Models in civil engineering from ancient times to the Industrial Revolution** 3

*Dirk Bühler*

- 1.1 Introduction 3
- 1.2 Engineering models in classical times 4
- 1.3 The Master Builders of the Renaissance and their engineering models 5
  - 1.3.1 Phillippo Brunelleschi and Florence cathedral 5
  - 1.3.2 Leonardo da Vinci 6
  - 1.3.3 Andrea Palladio 7
  - 1.3.4 Domenico Fontana and moving the Vatican obelisk 7
  - 1.3.5 The Fleischbrücke: a case study in technology transfer 9
- 1.4 The first collections of engineering models 11
  - 1.4.1 Elias Holl and the Augsburg model chamber 12
  - 1.4.2 Caspar Walter and hydraulic engineering in Augsburg 15
- 1.5 Models in the Age of Enlightenment 19
  - 1.5.1 Cabinets of curiosities 19
  - 1.5.2 The models of Hans Ulrich Grubenmann 20
  - 1.5.3 The models of John Smeaton 22
- 1.6 Final remarks 26
- References 26

#### **2 Block models of the masonry arch and vault** 31

*Santiago Huerta*

- 2.1 The beginnings of arch construction 31
- 2.2 The use of block models from 1400 to 1700 32
  - 2.2.1 Leonardo da Vinci 34

2.2.2	Robert Hooke and Christopher Wren	37
2.3	Block models in eighteenth- and nineteenth-century France	38
2.3.1	Henri Gautier, 1717	39
2.3.2	Augustin Danyzy, 1732	40
2.3.3	François-Michel Lecreulx, 1774	41
2.3.4	Louis-Charles Boistard, 1797	43
2.3.5	Émiland-Marie Gauthey, 1798	45
2.3.6	Jean-Baptiste Rondelet, 1797-1813	47
2.3.7	Louis Vicat, 1832	50
2.3.8	Édouard-Henri-François Méry, 1828 and 1840	50
2.4	Block models in nineteenth-century Britain	53
2.4.1	John Robison, 1801	53
2.4.2	Thomas Young, 1807-1824	55
2.4.3	William Bland, 1836-1839	58
2.4.4	Henry Moseley, 1833-1837	59
2.4.5	William Henry Barlow, 1846	60
2.4.6	Henry Charles Fleeming Jenkin, 1876	62
2.5	Block models in the late nineteenth and twentieth centuries	64
2.5.1	Modelling the elastic behaviour of masonry arches	64
2.5.2	Alfred Pippard, 1936-1938	67
2.5.3	Epilogue – the ‘plastic’ theory of masonry structures	70
	References	71

### **3 The catenary and the line of thrust as a means for shaping arches and vaults 79**

*Rainer Graefe*

3.1	Introduction	79
3.1.1	Robert Hooke’s theory	79
3.1.2	Early arch forms	81
3.1.3	Circular and spherical shapes	81
3.1.4	The special shape of the catenary	83
3.2	The early use of the catenary in construction	84
3.2.1	Hooke and Wren – theory and construction practice	84
3.2.2	Hidden or on view?	86
3.2.3	Poleni’s hanging experiment for St. Peter’s Basilica, Rome	89
3.2.4	Kulibin’s Bridge over the River Neva	91
3.2.5	Silberschlag’s hanging models	92
3.2.6	Rondelet’s dome for Sainte Geneviève	93
3.3	Catenary models in the early nineteenth century	95
3.3.1	Models of upright catenary arches	95
3.3.2	Rejection of the catenary as an arch form	96
3.3.3	Hanging models and the design of arch bridges	98
3.4	Hanging models for architectural designs	99
3.4.1	Heinrich Hübsch	99
3.4.2	Carl-Anton Henschel	101
3.4.3	The catenary architecture of Wilhelm Tappe	104
3.4.4	Vaulted stairs	106

- 3.4.5 Aesthetics of the catenary 106
- 3.5 Hanging models become three-dimensional 108
- 3.5.1 Three-dimensional net models by Karl Mohrmann 109
- 3.5.2 The Reichstag Project by Fritz Gösling 109
- 3.5.3 The legendary model of Colònia Güell built by Antoni Gaudí 113
- 3.6 Epilogue 118
- References 120

#### **4 Leonhard Euler and the model tests for a 300-metre timber arch bridge in St. Petersburg 127**

*Andreas Kahlow*

- 4.1 Timber bridges and material experiments in the eighteenth century 127
  - 4.1.1 Timber bridges in the mid-eighteenth century 127
  - 4.1.2 Experimental testing of material properties 129
- 4.2 Proposals for a bridge across the River Neva in St Petersburg 131
  - 4.2.1 The first design proposals by Ivan Kulibin 131
  - 4.2.2 The bridge design competition of 1771 137
  - 4.2.3 Kulibin's experimental model from 1776 138
- 4.3 Euler's 'Simple rule' for assessing the strength of bridges 140
- 4.4 Competition between rival designs for the Neva Bridge 143
  - 4.4.1 The models of Kulibin and de Ribas 143
  - 4.4.2 The Academy is required to judge between the designs 145
- 4.5 Further work by Euler 146
  - 4.5.1 Euler's work on column buckling of 1776 146
  - 4.5.2 Definition of the modulus of elasticity 148
  - 4.5.3 Calculation of the modulus of elasticity  $h$  from the data of Musschenbroek's buckling tests 149
- 4.6 The practical solution to the academic question: Kulibin's experiment 150
- 4.7 Final remarks 151
  - Abbreviations and citation methods 153
  - References 154

#### **5 The use of models in early nineteenth-century British suspension bridge design 161**

*Denis Smith*

- 5.1 Suspension bridges in the early nineteenth century 161
- 5.2 The proposed suspension bridge at Runcorn 162
- 5.3 The proposed suspension bridge at Montrose 166
- 5.4 The Menai suspension bridge – chain geometry model 167
- 5.5 Wood as a material for suspension chains 167
- 5.6 James Dredge and the taper chain controversy 170
  - 5.6.1 The Dredge and Clive correspondence 177
  - 5.6.2 The Ballee Khal bridge – Calcutta 179

5.7	Final remarks	182
	Acknowledgements	183
	References	183

## 6 Models used during the design of the Conway and Britannia tubular bridges 187

*Bill Addis*

6.1	The Chester to Holyhead railway	187
6.2	Bridge engineering around 1840	188
6.3	The first set of model tests – Fairbairn in Millwall	188
6.4	The second set of model tests – Hodgkinson in Manchester	192
6.5	The third series of tests, on the large model – Fairbairn in Manchester	193
6.6	Discussion of <i>similarity</i>	198
6.7	Final remarks	199
	References	201

## Section B Physical models used in structural design, 1890s-1930s 205

### 7 The use of models to inform the structural design of dams, 1890s-1930s 207

*Mike Chrimes*

7.1	Introduction – the British experimental approach in the nineteenth century	207
7.2	Masonry dam design before 1900	207
7.3	The Aswan Dam	210
7.3.1	The genesis of the First Aswan Dam scheme	210
7.3.2	Raising the Aswan dam and the stability controversy	211
7.4	Responses to Baker's call for the use of models for the Aswan dam	215
7.4.1	The introduction of India-rubber models	215
7.4.2	The mathematicians respond	215
7.5	The ICE debate in January 1908	216
7.5.1	Wilson and Gore's studies with mechanical models	217
7.5.2	Ottley and Brightmore's studies with Plasticine models	222
7.5.3	Further contributions to the debate	224
7.6	After Wilson and Gore	225
7.6.1	The use of physical models for designing dams between the Wars	225
7.6.2	Physical and numerical models – post-war developments	226
7.7	Conclusions	228
	Further reading	228
	Acknowledgements	228
	References	228

<b>8</b>	<b>Models used during the design of the Boulder Dam</b>	<b>233</b>
	<i>Bill Addis</i>	
8.1	The US Bureau of Reclamation dams: 1925-1940	233
8.2	Preliminary model studies	233
8.2.1	Stevenson Creek Experimental Dam	233
8.2.2	Gibson Dam	236
8.3	Boulder Dam – structural model studies	236
8.3.1	The 1:240 scale plaster-Celite model	236
8.3.2	The 1:240 scale, 2-D plaster-Celite cantilever model	242
8.3.3	The 1:120 scale, 2-D plaster-Celite arch model	245
8.3.4	The 1:180 scale 3-D rubber-litharge model	251
8.4	Boulder Dam – hydraulic model studies	255
8.4.1	Model studies for design of the spillways	255
8.4.2	Model studies for design of the penstocks and intake tower	258
8.4.3	Model studies for design of the outlet works	260
8.5	Final remarks	263
	Acknowledgements	266
	References	266
<b>9</b>	<b>The role of models in the early development of Zeiss-Dywidag shells</b>	<b>269</b>
	<i>Roland May</i>	
9.1	Zeiss-Dywidag shells: an absence of empiricism?	269
9.2	The Jena models: domes	270
9.3	The Jena models: cylindrical shells	274
9.4	Basic research in Biebrich	278
9.5	Models of large-scale buildings	282
9.6	The ‘white temple’ of Biebrich	289
9.7	Outlook and conclusion	292
	References	294
<b>10</b>	<b>Model testing of structures in pre-war Italy: the School of Arturo Danusso</b>	<b>299</b>
	<i>Mario Alberto Chiorino and Gabriele Neri</i>	
10.1	The beginning of model testing in Italy	299
10.2	The <i>Laboratorio Prove Modelli e Costruzioni</i> at the Polytechnic of Milan	300
10.2.1	Arturo Danusso and Guido Oberti	300
10.2.2	Models for concrete dam design in the 1930s	304
10.3	The encounter between Arturo Danusso and Pier Luigi Nervi	306
10.4	Model analysis and structural intuition in the work of Pier Luigi Nervi	308
10.5	Further experimental model studies by Nervi and Oberti	310
10.5.1	The monumental arch for the 1942 Universal Exhibition in Rome	310
10.5.2	Pavilion for the 1947 Milan Trade Fair	312
10.5.3	Civic Centre for Tucumán, Argentina	314

10.6	Final remarks	316
	Further reading	316
	References	317
<b>11</b>	<b>Eduardo Torroja and his use of models up to 1936</b>	<b>321</b>
	<i>Joaquín Antuña</i>	
11.1	Introduction	321
11.2	Tests on full-scale models	324
11.2.1	The <i>Hospital Clínico</i> in the University City in Madrid	324
11.2.2	Courtyard roof at the <i>Escuela elemental de trabajo</i> (Elementary Technical School), Madrid	326
11.2.3	Roof of the grandstands of the Zarzuela Hippodrome, Madrid	327
11.3	Tests on equivalent reduced-size models	328
11.3.1	The roofs of operating theatres at the <i>Hospital Clínico</i> in the University City in Madrid	329
11.3.2	The market hall in Algeciras, Spain	330
11.3.3	The <i>Frontón Recoletos</i> , Madrid	333
11.3.3.1	Description of the model	335
11.3.3.2	Description of the test, application of loads	336
11.4	Final remarks	340
	Further reading	341
	References	341
<b>12</b>	<b>Photoelastic stress analysis</b>	<b>343</b>
	<i>Bill Addis</i>	
12.1	The principles of photoelastic stress analysis	343
12.1.1	Photoelasticity	343
12.1.2	The basic photoelastic image	345
12.1.3	Analysing the results of a photoelastic model test	345
12.1.4	Photoelastic materials	346
12.2	History of photoelastic stress analysis	348
12.2.1	Birefringence, or double refraction	348
12.2.2	The beginnings of photoelastic analysis in construction	349
12.2.3	The growth of photoelastic stress analysis after 1930	352
12.3	Technical developments in photoelastic stress analysis	354
12.3.1	Three-dimensional model analysis – stress freezing	354
12.3.2	Three-dimensional model analysis – scattered light method	355
12.3.3	The photoelastic interferometer	355
12.3.4	Photoelastic analysis using birefringent coatings	356
12.4	Some case studies	356
12.4.1	Studies of the Vierendeel girder (1936)	356
12.4.2	Olefall Dam (1956-1959)	357
12.4.3	3D photoelastic study for a strong road base, using stress-freezing (1960s)	359
12.5	Conclusion	361
	Acknowledgements	362
	References	362

## Section C Physical models used in structural design, 1940s to 1980s 367

### 13 Structural modelling technique 369

*Bernard Espion and Bill Addis*

- 13.1 Introduction 369
- 13.2 Dimensionless numbers and similitude 370
- 13.2.1 The beginning – fluid dynamics in the nineteenth century 370
- 13.2.2 Dimensionless numbers for structural model testing 372
- 13.3 Experimental stress analysis using measurement models 374
- 13.3.1 Manuals on experimental stress analysis using measurement models 374
- 13.3.2 Structural model-testing procedure 375
- 13.4 The measurement of strain 376
- 13.4.1 Extensometry 376
- 13.4.2 Mechanical strain measurement 380
- 13.4.3 Acoustic strain gauges 384
- 13.4.4 Electrical-resistance strain gauges 387
- 13.4.5 The bonded electrical-resistance strain gauge 390
- 13.4.6 Some miscellaneous measuring techniques 395
- 13.5 The Beggs Deformeter 397
- 13.6 Concluding remarks 405
- References 405

### 14 Physical modelling at the University of Stuttgart 415

*Christiane Weber*

- 14.1 The Materials Testing Institute at the Technical University of Stuttgart 415
- 14.1.1 Model-testing of bridges for the *Reichsautobahn* 416
- 14.1.2 Model tests for a dome proposed for the new main station in Munich 417
- 14.2 Model testing after the Second World War 420
- 14.2.1 The *Institut für Spannungsoptik und Modellmessungen* (Institute for Photoelasticity and Model Measurement) at the Faculty of Construction 421
- 14.2.2 Model tests for the roof of the Alster swimming baths, Hamburg 422
- 14.3 The *Institut für Leichte Flächentragwerke*, University of Stuttgart 425
- 14.3.1 Form-finding models with soap films and soap bubbles 425
- 14.3.2 The IL Pavilion, University of Stuttgart 426
- 14.3.3 The German pavilion at Montreal. 427
- 14.3.4 The cablenet roofs for the Munich Olympic Games 431
- 14.3.5 Hanging models 434
- 14.3.6 The sports hall in Jeddah 435
- 14.4 The decline of physical model testing in Stuttgart 435
- References 436

- 15. Model testing of structures in post-war Italy. The activity of ISMES, 1951-1974 441**  
*Mario Alberto Chiorino and Gabriele Neri*
- 15.1 *Istituto Sperimentale Modelli e Strutture (ISMES) 441*
- 15.1.1 The founding of ISMES 441
- 15.1.2 The facilities and fields of research at ISMES 442
- 15.2 ISMES' activity 1951-1961 445
- 15.2.1 Large dams 445
- 15.2.2 High-rise buildings 449
- 15.2.3 *I modelli nella tecnica* (1955): an important conference for structural modelling in Italy 454
- 15.3 1964-1974. Changes for ISMES: new guidance, new horizons 457
- 15.4 Nervi's projects at ISMES 461
- 15.5 Other structures tested at ISMES 464
- 15.6 From physical to virtual models 468
- 15.7 Concluding remarks 470
- Further reading 471
- References 471
- 16. Eduardo Torroja and his use of models from 1939 477**  
*Joaquín Atuña*
- 16.1 Introduction 477
- 16.2 The Central Laboratory for Testing Construction Materials (LCEMC) 477
- 16.2.1 The new organization and staff 478
- 16.2.2 The objectives of the new laboratory 478
- 16.2.3 Types of tests performed 479
- 16.2.4 Works carried out 480
- 16.3 Photoelastic stress analysis 480
- 16.3.1 Three-dimensional problems: grillages 480
- 16.3.2 Three-dimensional problems: stresses in solid materials 481
- 16.4 Tests on reduced-scale physical models 481
- 16.4.1 Initial investigations 482
- 16.4.2 Innovation in shell structures 483
- 16.4.3 The roof of the church of Saints Felix and Régula, Zurich 485
- 16.4.4 An experimental shell roof 487
- 16.5 Model studies for concrete dams 489
- 16.6 Reduced-scale roof models. 491
- 16.6.1 The Haas shell roof for a factory in Nadam Havenwerke, Delft 491
- 16.6.2 The Labour University of Tarragona 493
- 16.6.3 Club Táchira, Caracas, Venezuela 494
- 16.6.4 The roof of Bacardi's offices in Havana 498
- 16.6.5 The Church of La Paz, Barcelona 500
- 16.6.6 The grandstand canopy for the Madrid Canódromo (dog track) 502
- 16.6.7 Reflections on model testing by Carlos Benito 503
- 16.7 Final remarks 505



Further reading 506  
References 507

- 17 Scale models for structural testing at the Cement and Concrete Association, UK: 1951-1973 511**  
*Edwin Trout*
- 17.1 Introduction 511
- 17.2 The Morice years (1951-1957): establishing a reputation for expertise 511
- 17.2.1 Shell roofs (1951-1953) 512
- 17.2.2 Prestressed road bridges (1953-1956) 513
- 17.2.3 Clifton Bridge, Nottingham (1954-1955) 517
- 17.2.4 1957 519
- 17.3 The Rowe years I (1958-1966): model testing on a cost repayment basis 520
- 17.3.1 Shell roofs (1958-1961) 521
- 17.3.2 Symposium on Models for Structural Design (1959) 526
- 17.3.3 Bridges and multi-span structures (1959-1962) 526
- 17.3.4 Commonwealth projects overseas (1961-1962) 527
- 17.3.5 Metropolitan Cathedral at Liverpool (1961-1964) 529
- 17.3.6 Cumberland Basin scheme, Bristol (1962) 531
- 17.3.7 The Manchester Skyway Bridge / Mancunian Way (1963-1964) 534
- 17.3.8 Meeting on *Model Testing*, 1964 535
- 17.3.9 Elevated roads (1964-1966) 536
- 17.3.10 CEBG cooling tower (1965) 538
- 17.4 The Rowe Years II (1966-1973): applying and reporting research 539
- 17.4.1 Viaducts (1967-1970) 539
- 17.4.2 Three-year research programme supported by CIRIA (1967-1970) 541
- 17.4.3 Model techniques (1969-1973) 542
- 17.5 Final remarks 543
- Appendix 544
- References 546
- 18 Heinz Hossdorf: his contribution to the development of physical model testing 551**  
*Pepa Cassinello*
- 18.1 Introduction 551
- 18.2 Tests using physical scale models 552
- 18.3 The construction of scale models 553
- 18.3.1 Models made with wood 553
- 18.3.2 Models made with micro-concrete 554
- 18.3.3 Models made with acrylic or epoxy resin 556
- 18.3.4 Models made with aluminium 560
- 18.3.5 A model made with steel and a polyester membrane 561
- 18.4 Evolution of his experimental laboratory 563

18.4.1	New equipment	563
18.4.2	Computers and the Hybrid Test	564
18.4.3	Hybrid tests with acrylic models	564
18.5	Final remarks	566
	Acknowledgements	567
	References	567
<b>19</b>	<b>Soap-film and soap-bubble models</b>	<b>569</b>
	<i>Berthold Burkhardt</i>	
19.1	Some historical notes	569
19.2	Manufacture of soap films and bubbles	570
19.3	Creating soap film surfaces	574
19.3.1	The soap film as a minimal surface area	574
19.3.2	Support of surfaces	575
19.4	Other forms of film and bubble	577
19.4.1	Minimal net surfaces	577
19.5	Air-inflated structures – the pneus	578
19.6	Bubbles with free edges	579
19.7	Soap bubbles with nets	580
19.8	Using soap films in the design of structures	580
19.9	Concluding remarks	582
	References	584
<b>20</b>	<b>The model as a concept: the origins of the design methods of Sergio Musmeci</b>	<b>587</b>
	<i>Lukas Ingold</i>	
20.1	The interdependence of form and method	587
20.2	The quest for the form	588
20.2.1	Scarcity as potential	588
20.2.2	Continuity of force and form	589
20.2.3	Between economic construction and material efficiency	592
20.3	The physical model as instrument	593
20.3.1	Visualizing mathematics: the soap-film model	594
20.3.2	Measuring the geometry: the rubber-membrane model	595
20.3.3	Gauging stresses and strains: the methacrylate model	597
20.3.4	Analysing the material behaviour: the reinforced micro-concrete model	598
20.4	The development of the method	599
20.4.1	Genealogy of conceptions	600
20.4.2	Emergence of a motif	601
20.5	The origin of the method	604
20.5.1	Form-finding in a history of ideas	604
20.5.2	Between theory and empiricism	606
20.6	The scientification of the design	607
	Acknowledgements	608
	References	608

<b>21</b>	<b>Heinz Isler and his use of physical models</b>	<b>613</b>
	<i>John Chilton</i>	
21.1	Introduction	613
21.2	Modelling techniques	614
21.2.1	The freely-shaped hill	614
21.2.2	Membrane under pressure	614
21.2.3	Hanging cloth reversed	615
21.2.4	Expansion forms	618
21.2.5	Modelling rules and need for precision	618
21.3	The application of physical models in Isler's design process	618
21.3.1	Exploratory models	619
21.3.2	Form-finding models	622
21.3.3	Structural verification/validation models	625
21.3.4	Is enlargement of models allowed?	628
21.4	Isler's use of models in teaching student engineers and architects	629
21.5	Dissemination of Isler's modelling techniques to engineers and architects	630
21.6	Isler's contribution to the use of models in structural design	632
	References	633
 <b>22</b>	 <b>Models for the design development, engineering and construction of the Multihalle for the 1975 Bundesgartenschau in Mannheim</b>	 <b>639</b>
	<i>Ian Liddell</i>	
22.1	Introduction	639
22.2	Early gridshells	639
22.3	Initial design for the Mannheim shells	640
22.3.1	The hanging-chain model	641
22.3.2	Initial engineering work	644
22.4	Wind loads	644
22.4.1	Wind pressure and scale modelling	644
22.4.2	Wind-tunnel tests	645
22.4.3	Determination of pressures.	647
22.5	Structural model testing: the Essen model	648
22.6	Predicting failure loads from model tests	650
22.6.1	Scale factors	650
22.6.2	Prediction of collapse loads	651
22.7	Structural model testing: the Multihalle model	652
22.7.1	The Perspex model	652
22.7.2	Testing of the timber joint details	654
22.7.3	Comparison with computer results	654
22.7.4	Modelling the installation on site	656
22.8	Concluding remarks	657
	References	658

## Section D Physical models used in non-structural engineering disciplines 661

- 23 The historical use of physical model testing in free-surface hydraulic engineering 663**
  - Bill Addis*
  - 23.1 Introduction 663
  - 23.2 The nineteenth-century pioneers 665
    - 23.2.1 Louis J. Fargue 665
    - 23.2.2 Osborne Reynolds 666
    - 23.2.3 Vernon Harcourt 670
  - 23.3 The first hydraulics laboratories 1900-1930 672
    - 23.3.1 Hubert Engels 672
    - 23.3.2 Theodore Rehbock 674
    - 23.3.3 Model testing in the 1920s 675
    - 23.3.4 Hydraulics Research Laboratory, Poona, India, 1916 683
  - 23.4 Hydraulic modelling in the USA in the 1930s 684
    - 23.4.1 Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research, 1920- 684
    - 23.4.2 The U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station, 1929-1998 685
    - 23.4.3 The Beach Erosion Board, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1930-1963 688
    - 23.4.4 Current Hydraulic Laboratory Research Reports, 1933-1942 689
  - 23.5 Hydraulic modelling in other countries in the 1930s 689
    - 23.5.1 The Severn Estuary barrage 689
    - 23.5.2 An underwater breakwater for the port of Leixões, Portugal 692
  - 23.6 Some post-war developments in free-surface water modelling 693
    - 23.6.1 The BEB and WES post-war 693
    - 23.6.2 Some developments in Europe 700
    - 23.6.3 The starry sky 701
  - 23.7 Conclusion 704
  - Acknowledgements 705
  - References 705
- 24 The historical use of physical model testing in wind engineering 711**
  - Bill Addis*
  - 24.1 The scientific study of wind 711
    - 24.1.1 The force of the wind 711
    - 24.1.2 Early wind tunnels 713
  - 24.2 The first measurement of wind-pressure loads on model buildings 716
    - 24.2.1 William Charles Kernot, 1893 716
    - 24.2.2 Johann Irminger, 1894 718
    - 24.2.3 National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, UK, 1903-08 720
  - 24.3 Making visible the dynamic behaviour of fluids 722
    - 24.3.1 Schlieren photography 722

24.3.2	Visualisation of flow lines	723
24.4	Wind-tunnel model studies in the 1920s and 1930s	725
24.4.1	Model studies in Germany	726
24.4.2	Model studies in Denmark	728
24.4.3	Model studies in the USA	728
24.5	The Tacoma Narrows Bridge collapse, November 1940	734
24.5.1	The static model used for the design of the bridge	734
24.5.2	Dynamic model studies undertaken before the collapse	734
24.5.3	Model studies begun immediately after the collapse	736
24.5.4	Studies using the full aerodynamic model of the original bridge, at 1:50 scale	738
24.5.5	Studies using a 1:50 scale model for the design of the new Tacoma Narrows Bridge	741
24.5.6	The legacy of the Tacoma Narrows bridge collapse	742
24.6	The boundary-layer wind tunnel	743
24.6.1	Martin Jensen	743
24.6.2	Alan Davenport	743
24.7	Final remarks	746
	References	746

## **25 The historical use of physical model testing in earthquake engineering 753**

*Bill Addis*

25.1	Early shaking tables	753
25.1.1	Seismology and seismographs in the nineteenth century	753
25.1.2	The Milne-Omori shaking table c.1890	754
25.1.3	F.J. Rogers at Stanford University, 1908	755
25.2	Shaking tables in the 1930s	756
25.2.1	Lydik Jacobsen at Stanford University	756
25.2.2	Nahago Mononobe in Tokyo	759
25.2.3	Arthur Ruge at MIT	760
25.3	Post-war developments in shaking tables	761
25.3.1	More, bigger, better	761
25.3.2	Shaking tables with six degrees of freedom	762
25.4	Final remarks	763
	References	763

## **26 The historical use of models in the acoustic design of buildings 767**

*Raf Orłowski*

26.1	Early twentieth century	767
26.1.1	Model studies using the sound-pulse method	767
26.1.2	Model studies using the ripple-tank method	770
26.1.3	Three-dimensional model studies using light rays	772
26.1.4	Three-dimensional model studies using sound	776
26.2	Model testing in the 1960s and 1970s	778

26.2.1	Developing new modelling techniques	778
26.2.2	Sydney Opera House	779
26.3	Model studies at one-eighth scale in the late-twentieth century	781
26.3.1	Music studios and auditoria	781
26.3.2	The Olivier Auditorium, National Theatre, London	782
26.3.3	The Barbican Concert Hall, London	783
26.3.4	Assessment of model studies at one-eighth scale	784
26.4	Model studies at one-fiftieth scale	784
26.4.1	Glyndebourne Opera House and some concert halls	785
26.4.2	Factory buildings	786
26.4.3	Underground railway stations	788
26.4.4	Corporate and Government Buildings	789
26.5	Physical modelling of acoustics – the first hundred years	791
	References	791
 27	<b>Geotechnical centrifuge models – a history of their role in pre-construction design</b>	 793
	<i>William H. Craig</i>	
27.1	Introduction	793
27.2	Historical review	794
27.2.1	The beginnings of centrifuge testing of physical models	794
27.2.2	Early use of physical modelling in geology	798
27.2.3	The growth of centrifuge modelling in geotechnical engineering	799
27.3	Physical model testing for site-specific prototypes	800
27.3.1	Excavations	800
27.3.2	Dams and embankments	801
27.3.3	Offshore and marine structures	803
27.3.4	Transmission line pylon foundation	807
27.3.5	Netherlands national security – coastal defence	807
27.4	Centrifuge model testing for more-general geotechnical problems	808
27.4.1	Pipeline interactions	808
27.4.2	Simulating construction processes	810
27.4.3	Control and testing modes	811
27.4.4	Visual observations	812
27.5	Concluding remarks	812
	References	814
	<b>Section E Physical modelling in the twenty-first century</b>	 819
 28	<b>Physical models as powerful weapons in structural design</b>	 821
	<i>Mamoru Kawaguchi</i>	
28.1	Introduction	821
28.2	Aesthetic models	821

28.3	Mechanism models	824
28.4	'Touch and feel' models	825
28.5	Structural behaviour models	827
28.5.1	Full-size structural models	827
28.5.2	Reduced-scale structural models	828
28.6	Concluding remarks	829
	References	829
<b>29</b>	<b>Physical modelling of structures for contemporary building design</b>	<b>831</b>
	<i>Bruce Martin</i>	
29.1	Introduction	831
29.2	The canopy – tensegrity then ferrocement	831
29.3	Column heads with bearings, springs and dampers	838
29.4	Seismic base isolation	841
29.5	The wind-tunnel model	843
29.6	The flexible mast	843
29.7	The future use of physical models in structural design	843
	Acknowledgements	844
	References	845
<b>30</b>	<b>Models in the design of complex masonry structures</b>	<b>847</b>
	<i>David Wendland</i>	
30.1	Introduction	847
30.2	Modelling traditional Iranian vaults	853
30.3	Experimental construction of a free-form shell structure in masonry	855
30.4	Reconstruction of the vault in the Chapel of Dresden Castle: a masonry structure with complex geometry	865
30.5	Conclusion	870
	Acknowledgements	871
	References	872
<b>31</b>	<b>Physical modelling of free surface water – current practice</b>	<b>875</b>
	<i>James Sutherland</i>	
31.1	Introduction	875
31.2	Physical model testing	876
31.2.1	Wave overtopping of structures	876
31.2.2	Breakwater stability	877
31.2.3	Loads on structures	877
31.2.4	Motion of ships and other floating structures	879
31.2.5	Scour around structures	881
31.2.6	Other model types	883
31.3	Combined use of physical and digital models	883
31.3.1	Model nesting	884

31.3.2	Use of a numerical model to design a physical model	884
31.3.3	Physical model of one component of a system	885
31.3.4	Model training and calibration	885
31.4	Conclusion	885
	Acknowledgements	885
	References	886
<b>32</b>	<b>Boundary layer wind tunnel model testing – current practice</b>	<b>889</b>
	<i>Francesco Dorigatti</i>	
32.1	Introduction	889
32.2	Recent developments in test facilities	889
32.2.1	Principles of boundary layer wind tunnel modelling	889
32.2.2	Modern boundary layer wind tunnels	891
32.2.3	Novel test facilities	893
32.3	Recent developments in measuring techniques	894
32.3.1	Velocity measurements	895
32.3.1.1	Hot-wire and hot-film anemometers (HWA, HFA)	896
32.3.1.2	Multi-hole pressure probes	896
32.3.1.3	Irwin probes and surface pressure sensors	897
32.3.1.4	Non-intrusive measurements	897
32.3.2	Pressure measurements	898
32.3.3	Measurements of overall forces and moments	900
32.3.4	Measurements of gas dispersion	901
32.4	Wind-tunnel tests on buildings and urban environments	902
32.4.1	Wind-induced pressures on building envelopes	902
32.4.2	Overall wind-induced responses of buildings	904
32.4.2.1	Structural wind loading and wind-induced motion	904
32.4.2.2	Rigid-model tests	907
32.4.2.3	Aeroelastic model tests	909
32.4.2.4	A case study: 432 Park Avenue, New York	912
32.4.3	Pedestrian level winds in urban environments	914
32.4.3.1	Urban wind flows in proximity to the ground	914
32.4.3.2	Lawson criteria for pedestrian safety and comfort	914
32.4.3.3	Mitigation measurements	915
32.4.3.4	Pedestrian-level wind tests	916
32.5	Wind-tunnel tests on bridges	919
32.5.1	Principles of bridge aerodynamics	919
32.5.2	Full-aeroelastic bridge model tests	920
32.5.3	Sectional bridge model tests	923
32.5.4	Other wind-tunnel tests on bridges	926
32.6	Wind-tunnel tests on other structures	929
32.6.1	Long-span roofs	929
32.6.2	Building appendages and superstructures	930
32.6.3	Plumes and pollutant dispersion in urban environments	930
32.7	The future of the BLWT	931
	References	932



<b>33</b>	<b>Model testing using shake tables – current practice</b>	<b>941</b>
	<i>Amarnath Kasalanati</i>	
33.1	Introduction	941
33.2	The need for physical testing	942
33.3	Key components of a shake table	943
33.3.1	Mechanical aspects of the shake table	944
33.3.2	Hydraulic system	945
33.3.3	Control systems of a shake table	946
33.3.4	Shake table performance limitations	947
33.4	Notable modern shake tables in the USA	949
33.4.1	The University of California Berkeley shake table	949
33.4.2	The NEES shake tables in the USA	951
33.5	Shake tables in Japan	952
33.5.1	E-Defense shake table	952
33.6	Shake tables in Europe and Asia	954
33.6.1	LNEC 3D Earthquake Simulator, Lisbon	954
33.6.2	Dynamic Testing Laboratory at IZIIS, Skopje	954
33.6.3	EQUALS Laboratory, University of Bristol	954
33.6.4	Multi-Function Shake Table (MFST) Array, Tongji University, Shanghai	955
33.7	Some notable projects tested with shake tables	955
33.7.1	Testing of concrete-dam models at UC Berkeley	955
33.7.2	Testing of models of historic buildings, at IZIIS, Macedonia	957
33.7.3	Testing of a curved bridge at University of Nevada, Reno	957
33.7.4	Testing of a wind turbine at UC San Diego	959
33.7.5	Testing of an isolated five-storey building at E-Defense	959
33.8	Concluding remarks	960
	Acknowledgements	961
	References	961
 <b>34</b>	 <b>Geotechnical centrifuge modelling – current practice</b>	 <b>965</b>
	<i>David White</i>	
34.1	The role of the geotechnical centrifuge	965
34.2	State-of-the-art geotechnical centrifuge facilities	966
34.2.1	Centrifuge machines	966
34.2.2	Modelling technologies	968
34.3	Complex ground improvement – the Rion-Antirion Bridge	970
34.4	Offshore oil and gas platforms – the Yolla and Maari projects	973
34.5	Sub-sea pipelines – modelling of ‘whole life’ system behaviour	976
34.6	Concluding remarks – Why use geotechnical centrifuge modelling?	979
	References	980
 <b>35</b>	 <b>The use of physical models in acoustic design – current practice</b>	 <b>985</b>
	<i>Raf Orlowski</i>	
35.1	Introduction	985

35.2	Elisabeth Murdoch Hall, Melbourne	985
35.3	Concert hall, Krakow Congress Centre	986
35.4	An acoustic scale model on wheels	987
35.5	The ongoing popularity of acoustic models at scale factors of 1:10 or similar	988
35.6	Final remarks	989
	References	990
<b>36</b>	<b>Water-bath modelling – small-scale simulation of natural ventilation flows</b>	<b>991</b>
	<i>Owen Connick</i>	
36.1	Introduction	991
36.2	Historical perspective	991
36.3	Fundamentals and theory – developing the technique	992
36.4	Water-bath modelling	993
36.4.1	Point sources of buoyancy	994
36.4.2	Distributed heat loads	994
36.4.3	Wind and buoyancy	994
36.4.4	Current and future work	995
36.5	Some applications of water-bath modelling in design	995
36.5.1	Breathing Space, Rotherham	995
36.5.2	Aldwyck Housing Group HQ, Houghton Regis, UK	997
36.5.3	Bloomberg European HQ, London	998
36.6	Experimental techniques and flow visualisation	1002
36.6.1	Dye attenuation	1002
36.6.2	Schlieren imaging	1002
36.6.3	PIV, PTV and LIF	1002
36.7	Concluding remarks	1002
	References	1003
<b>37</b>	<b>The use of biological models for building engineering design</b>	<b>1005</b>
	<i>Jan Knippers</i>	
37.1	Historical background	1005
37.2	A new way of thinking in the twenty-first century	1008
37.3	The Elytra Filament Pavilion	1009
37.4	Plant movements as concept generators for adaptive building systems	1011
37.5	Concluding remarks	1014
	References	1015
<b>38</b>	<b>Flying a 100-metre long Jumbo Koinobori</b>	<b>1017</b>
	<i>Mamoru Kawaguchi</i>	
38.1	Introduction	1017
38.2	Model theory and dimensional analysis	1019

38.3	Other technical issues	1020
38.4	Launching the Jumbo Koinobori	1022
38.5	Concluding remarks	1024
	References	1024
<b>39</b>	<b>Epilogue: A future for models from the past</b>	<b>1025</b>
	<i>Dirk Bühler and Christiane Weber</i>	
39.1	Introduction	1025
39.2	Historical study of engineering models	1026
39.2.1	Museum collections	1026
39.2.2	Exhibitions	1031
39.2.3	Conferences	1032
39.3	Some surviving models from the twentieth century	1032
39.3.1	Models for designing concrete shells	1033
39.3.2	Frei Otto models	1035
39.3.3	Miscellaneous structural models	1036
39.3.4	Replica structural models	1038
39.3.5	Models from non-structural engineering disciplines	1039
39.4	A plan for the future	1041
	Acknowledgements	1042
	References	1042

## Appendices 1047

<b>Appendix A.1</b>	Extract from Vitruvius (c.30-15 BC)	1049
<b>Appendix A.2</b>	Extract from Galileo (1638)	1051
<b>Appendix A.3</b>	Leonhard Euler (1766) A simple rule to determine the strength of a bridge or similar structure, on the basis of the known strength of a model	1053
<b>Appendix A.4</b>	Extract from report: Telford's design for new London Bridge (1801)	1061
<b>Appendix A.5</b>	Experimental Models, <i>The Builder</i> (1846)	1071
<b>Appendix A.6</b>	On model experiments, <i>The Civil Engineer and Architects Journal</i> (1847)	1075
<b>Appendix A.7</b>	Osborne Reynolds (1888) Extract from paper: On certain laws relating to the regime of rivers and estuaries	1081

**Author biographies** 1085

**About the series editors** 1093

**About this series** 1095

**Index** 1097