List of Abbro	List of Abbreviations				
Introduction			25		
I. Genesi	I. Genesis of the Study				
	II. Methodology, scope and objective of the Study				
III. Outlin		• •	26 27		
		he scope of the Regulation: opening up for	_,		
	_	are (Part 1)	28		
		on between main and secondary proceedings			
	rt 2)	on between main and secondary proceedings	28		
2.1		al cooperation: from soft law to innovative hard			
2.1	law	ar cooperation. Irom soft law to innovative hard	29		
2.2		etic proceedings: from practice to regulation	29		
		ompanies: contractual flexibility versus			
			30		
-	procedural overregulation (Part 3) IV. Distribution of responsibilities				
IV. Distric	ution of	responsionities	31		
Part 1: Scop	e of app	lication	33		
I. The sc	ope of th	ne Regulation	33		
	gal fram		33		
1.1	-	European approach to business failure and			
	insolv		33		
1.2		solvency and hybrid proceedings	36		
		Article 1(1) EIR	39		
		Proceedings 'based on laws relating to			
		insolvency for the purpose of rescue,			
		adjustment of debt, reorganisation or			
		liquidation'	40		
	1.2.3	'Public'	42		
		'Collective'	43		
		Which entail some kind of 'interference' upon			
	1.2.0	the individual rights of the debtor and/or its			
		creditors	4 4		



			1.2.6	and which may leave the debtor in	
				possession	45
		1.3	Territo	orial scope	46
	2.	Eval	luation	•	47
		2.1	Legal	issues	47
			2.1.1	The title of the EIR: is it still up-to-date?	47
				The notion of insolvency (recital 17 EIR)	47
				Scope of secondary proceedings	50
			2.1.4	The COMI presumption for pre-insolvency	
				proceedings	51
		2.2	Practi	cal problems	52
			2.2.1	Pre-insolvency and hybrid proceedings before	
				a 'judgment opening insolvency proceedings'	
				is rendered	52
			2.2.2	Article 34, 2 nd s. EIR	55
			2.2.3	The territorial scope	56
				recommendations	61
II.				ip between Article 1(1) of the Regulation (EU)	
				and Annex A	64
	1.		al fram		64
				ramework under the EIR 2000	64
		1.2		roposals to amend the EIR 2000	66
		1.3		ramework under the EIR	67
				As to the nature of Annex A	67
				and as to the amendment of Annex A	68
	2.		luation		68
		2.1	Legal		68
				The underlying policy	68
				The role of Article 1(1) EIR	69
				Amendments to Annex A	70
				A tentative alternative interpretation	71
		2.2		cal problems	72
			2.2.1	The shortcomings of ordinary legislative	
				procedure	72
			2.2.2	How to deal with the difficulty to amend	
				Annex A	73
				Two problematic cases	73
	_			The 'duty' to notify new national procedures	74
	3.	The	ses and	l recommendations	75

III.	Th	e boi	ındary l	between the European Insolvency Regulation		
				ls I ^{bis} Regulation	77	
	1.	Leg	al frame	ework	77	
		1.1	Introd	uction	77	
		1.2	Obstac	cles to the dovetailing	79	
			1.2.1	Pre-insolvency proceedings and hybrid		
				proceedings	79	
			1.2.2	Annex A EIR	81	
			1.2.3	The notion of 'insolvency-related' actions	82	
		1.3	The El	IR 2015	84	
	2.	Eva	luation		85	
		2.1	Legal	issues	85	
			2.1.1	Setting-up the relationship between Brussels		
				Ibis and the EIR	85	
			2.1.2	Loopholes	87	
			2.1.3	Overlaps	91	
		2.2		cal problems	91	
			2.2.1	Circumvention of the scope of the EIR by		
				putting insolvency rules in general company		
				law	91	
				Recital 16 and insolvency-related actions	92	
				recommendations	92	
IV.				ated proceedings	94 94	
	1. Legal framework					
	2.	Eva		legal issues and practical problems	96	
		2.1		otion of 'insolvency-related' actions	96	
				l 16 EIR and insolvency-related actions	99	
				aps between the EIR and Brussels Ibis	100	
		2.4		ency-related actions and secondary		
			procee	•	101	
		2.5		ency-related actions against third state		
			defend		102	
	3.	The	ses and	recommendations	103	
Part 2	2: (Coop	eration	between main and secondary proceedings	106	
I.	Ins	trum	ents to	avoid or postpone secondary proceedings	106	
			al frame		106	
			Introd		106	

	1.2	The u	ındertakiı	ng ('synthetic proceedings')	107
		1.2.1	Procedu	aral function and conflict of laws	
			mechan	ism	107
		1.2.2	Scope		110
			1.2.2.1	Local assets and liabilities (scope	
				ratione materiae)	110
			1.2.2.2	Personal scope	112
				Law referred to by an undertaking and	
				third parties' rights in rem	112
		1.2.3	Proposa	and formal requirements	114
		1.2.4	Approv	al	115
		1.2.5			115
			1.2.5.1	Direct effects of the undertaking as to	
				the estate and the applicable law	115
			1.2.5.2	Effects on the opening of secondary	
				proceedings	116
			1.2.5.3	Removal of local assets	116
		1.2.6	Procedu	ıral safeguards	117
				Remedies	117
			1.2.6.2	Liability of an insolvency practitioner	
				under Article 36(10) EIR	120
			1.2.6.3	Information of creditors and	
				publication	121
				oceedings	121
2.	Eva	luation			122
	2.1	Legal			123
				36 EIR as a non-mandatory rule?	123
		2.1.2		d and concerted secondary proceedings	
				ternative option	124
		2.1.3		al of an undertaking	125
			2.1.3.1	Approval by the known local	
				creditors	125
			2.1.3.2	Voidability and replacement of an	
				approved undertaking	126
			2.1.3.3	Approval by the creditors of the main	
				proceedings?	128
			2.1.3.4		
				opening of secondary proceedings	129

		2.1.4	Undertal	king and secondary proceedings	129
			2.1.4.1	The start of time limit to request the	
				opening of secondary proceedings	129
			2.1.4.2	The court's criterion to reject the	
				opening of secondary proceedings,	
				Article 38(2) EIR	131
		2.1.5	Undertal	king and corporate group insolvencies	132
	2.2	Practi	cal proble	ems	132
		2.2.1	Criteria 1	to be taken into account by an	
				cy practitioner when giving an	
			undertak	ing	132
		2.2.2	Identific	ation and information of local	
			creditors	/ publication	132
3.	The	ses and	recomme		134
	3.1	Scope	of under	takings	134
	3.2	Givin	g of an ur	ndertaking	134
	3.3	Asses	sing the a	dequacy and efficacy of Article 36	
		EIR			135
	3.4	Identi	fying and	informing (local) creditors	136
		3.4.1	Creditors	s should be informed of	136
		3.4.2	Means o	f communication	136
		3.4.3	Creditors	s' information on the (dis-)approval of	
			the unde	rtaking	136
	3.5	The st	art of tim	e limit to request the opening of	
		secon	dary proc	eedings (Article 37(2) EIR)	137
	3.6	Temp	orary stay	of the opening of secondary	
		procee	edings		137
	3.7	Imple	menting F	Regulation	137
Co	opera	ation, C	Communic	cation, Coordination	139
1.	Intro	oductio	n		139
2.	Leg	al fram	ework		141
3.	Rec	ommen	dations		142
	3.1	To the	Europea	n Commission	143
		3.1.1	Introduc	ing and explaining the rules	144
		3.1.2	Raising a	awareness and promoting the use of	
			soft law	instruments	145
		3.1.3	Spreadin	g the knowledge about case law	147
	3.2	To the	national	lawmaker	147
		3.2.1	Taking s	tock	148

II.

		3.2.2 Removing obstacles, paving the way3.2.3 Valuable clarifications; pro-cooperation	149
	3.3	orientations To the notional interpretor and outborities applying	151
	3.3	To the national interpreter and authorities applying the law	152
	3.4	To the academia	152
III. Pro			156
		oduction	156
2.		al and economic framework	157
2.	2.1	'Agreements or protocols': scope of the analysis	157
	2.2	Cooperation 'not incompatible with the rules	157
	2.2	applicable to each of the proceedings' – legal basis	
		for the conclusion of agreements or protocols	158
	2.3	Content of an insolvency protocol: derogation from	150
		the coordination rules of the EIR?	160
	2.4	Insolvency protocols and protection of local	100
		creditors' interests	162
	2.5	Protocols and Article 36 undertakings: comparative	102
		advantages and disadvantages	163
3.	Rec	ommendations and guidelines	167
	3.1	Circumstances supporting the use of insolvency	
		agreements or protocols	169
	3.2	Negotiations	170
	3.3	Authorization and parties to an insolvency agreement	
		or protocol	171
	3.4	Language of the insolvency agreement or protocol	172
	3.5	Terminology and interpretative rules	173
	3.6	Determining the purpose of the insolvency agreement	
		or protocol	174
	3.7	Issues to be addressed in insolvency agreements or	
		protocols under the EIR	175
		3.7.1 Communication	176
		3.7.2 Preservation of the debtor's assets	177
		3.7.3 Notification of the debtor's creditors	177
		3.7.4 Lodgement of the creditors' claims by	
		insolvency practitioners	177
		3.7.5 Verification of the debtor's liabilities	178
		3.7.6 Administration of the insolvency estate	178

		3.7.7 Preventing conflict of powers among	
		insolvency practitioners	179
		3.7.8 Distribution of the proceeds	179
		3.7.9 Conflict-of-laws issues	180
		3.7.10 Costs of the proceedings	181
	3.8	Legal effects and effectiveness of insolvency	
		agreements or protocols	182
	3.9	Flexibility of insolvency agreements or protocols	182
		Safeguards	183
		Dispute resolution clauses	183
Part 3:	Insolv	vencies of groups of companies	185
I. In	trodu	etion	185
II. Ju	risdic	tion with respect to insolvencies of groups of	
co	mpan	ies	187
1.	Dete	ermining the COMI of a member of a group of	
	com	panies	187
	1.1	Legal framework	187
	1.2	Recommendations and guidelines	188
		1.2.1 Recommendations	188
		1.2.2 Guidelines	192
2.	CO	MI migration	193
	2.1	Legal framework	193
	2.2	Evaluation	194
	2.3	Recommendations and guidelines	195
		2.3.1 Recommendations	195
		2.3.2 Guideline	197
3.	The	definition of 'group of companies' in Article 2 EIR	197
		Legal framework	197
	3.2	Evaluation	199
	3.3	Recommendations and guidelines	200
		3.3.1 Recommendations	200
		3.3.2 Guidelines	203
III. Co	ordin	ation between insolvency proceedings relating to	
		nembers	205
_	-	al framework	205
	_	luation	207

3.		ommendations and guidelines	208
	3.1	Recommendations	208
		Guidelines	212
		v group coordination proceedings	214
	_	al framework	214
2.	Eva	luation	217
3.	Rec	ommendations and guidelines	218
	3.1	Recommendations	218
	3.2	Guidelines	221
V. Co	nflic	t of laws	223
1.	Lega	al framework	223
2.	Rec	ommendations and guidelines	224
	2.1	Recommendations	224
	2.2	Guideline	226
Annex: E	xper	t Contributions	227
Annex I:	Int	terpreting and amending Annex A to Regulation	
	84	8/2015	228
	1.	Insolvency proceedings which fall within the scope	
		Annex A of Regulation 848/2015	228
	2.	Italian insolvency proceedings which fall within the	
		scope of Regulation 848/2015	229
	3.	Italian proceedings which may be included or	
		qualified as a sub-category of insolvency	
		proceedings listed in Annex A	233
	4.	Does 'convenzione di moratoria' fall within the	
		scope of insolvency proceedings as defined in Article	
		1(1) Regulation 848/2015?	235
	5.	Effects of a 'convenzione di moratoria' on foreign	
		dissenting banks and financial institutions	237
Annex II:	Th	e system of insolvency registration in the EU	
		solvency Regulation (Recast)	239
		Introduction	239
	2.	Aim of interconnected insolvency registers	241
		Part of existing effort in building EU-wide	
		interconnection of national insolvency registers	242
	4.	Establishment of insolvency registers	243
		Mandatory information	244

	6.	Consumers	247
		Legal effect of information in the registers	248
	8.		249
	9.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		interconnection	250
	10.	. Conclusion	252
Annex III:		bening statement on the coordination and cooperation	
		main and secondary proceedings	253
		Key features of the new law	253
		Are secondary proceedings useful?	254
		The undertaking (Articles 36 ff EIR)	255
	4.	The new law on cooperation and communication	
	••	(Articles 41 ff EIR)	257
Annex IV:	Fre	om 'prisoner's dilemma' to reluctance to use judicial	20,
		cretion: the enemies of cooperation in European	
		oss-border cases – the situation of Italy, and beyond	259
	1.	Introduction	259
		Article 31 EIR 2000, and its application in Italy	261
	3.	Taking lessons from the Illochroma and Eurofood	201
	٠.	cases: legal uncertainty produces 'prisoner's	
		dilemmas'	266
	4.	Taking lessons from reluctance to conclude	200
	•	agreements and protocols: accepting that these forms	
		of cooperation require courts and insolvency	
		practitioners to make a choice between alternative	
		rulings and activities	269
	5.	Explaining Articles 41-44 EIR within legal reasoning	272
	•	5.1 Improvements aimed at specifying the logic of	
		the duty of cooperation and information	274
		5.2 Improvements aimed at specifying the limits of	
		the duty of cooperation and information in	
		terms of consistency with the system as a whole	276
	6.	Concluding remarks: from cooperating 'in the	2,0
	٠.	shadow of soft-law' to cooperating 'in the shadow of	
		hard-law'. Corollaries	276
	7.	Coda: Spontaneous comments on the relevant	2,0
	•	sections of the 'Annotated Guidelines'	279
		7.1 Article 36 EIR	279
		7.2 Articles 41-44 EIR	282
			-02

		7.3	Protocols	283
Anne	x V: Oı	ening	g statement on the new rules for the insolvency of	
	gr	oups	of companies	284
Anne	x VI: In	solve	ncy of corporate groups under the recast	
	In	solve	ncy Regulation: progress or reason for concern?	290
	1.	Intro	oduction	290
	2.	The	European Insolvency Regulation (2000): no	
		prov	visions for groups	291
	3.	The	practice since 2002: group centralizations	291
	4.	The	case of Interedil (2011): adoption of central	
		adm	ninistration as a key connecting factor	293
	5.	The	parliament proposals (2011): centralization as	
		the	primary solution	295
	6.	The	recast Insolvency Regulation (2015): a shift to	
		coo	peration and coordination	296
	7.	Prog	gress or concern?	297
	8.	Con	clusion and a way forward	299
Biblio	ography			301
1.	CJEU c	ase-la	aw	301
2.	Nationa	l case	e-law	301
3.	Literatu	re		304
4.	Legal te	exts		318
5.	Other			319