Contents

Acknowledgements	11
Preface by Graham Priest	13
0. Introduction	17
0.1 Overview	17
0.2 Lexical indications	20
1. An empty world is better than nothing	23
1.1 Breaking Carnap's ban: Priest's, Voltolini's and	
Oliver and Smiley's accounts for the phrase 'nothingness'	23
1.1.1 Carnap on Heidegger's use of 'nothing'	23
1.1.2 Priest: nothing and nothing	26
1.1.3 Voltolini and the vindication of Heidegger's	
nothingness	27
1.1.4 Oliver and Smiley: nothing and zilch	30
1.1.5 Remarks	33
1.2 Nothing and the empty set	36
1.2.1 The (metaphysical) question of the empty set:	
a brief overview	36
1.2.2 Nothing and the empty set according to Dubois	38
1.2.3 Priest: nothing and the empty fusion	39
1.2.4 Remarks	40
1.3 Nothing and the empty world	41
1.3.1 Possible worlds: a brief overview	41
1.3.2 Empty world and metaphysical nihilism	47
1.4 Why an empty world is better than nothing	51
2. Metaphysical nihilism and anti-nihilism	63
2.1 The subtraction argument by Baldwin	
and its alternative versions	63
2.1.1 The subtraction argument by Raldwin	63



2.1.2 The subtraction argument* by Rodriguez-Pereyra	66
2.1.3 Paseau's objection on premise (A3*)	68
2.1.4 Efird-Stoneham's version	69
2.2 Against metaphysical nihilism	70
2.2.1 The argument against strong metaphysical nihilism	
by Lowe	70
2.2.2 The argument against weak metaphysical nihilism	
by Lowe	72
2.2.3 Van Inwagen's arguments against	
metaphysical nihilism	73
2.2.4 Heil and McDaniel against the empty possible world	76
2.2.5 Fuhrmann against the subtraction argument	
and Nef's argument against the empty world	80
2.2.6 Goldschmidt on the subtraction argument	81
2.2.7 Cameron against Efird-Stoneham's	
subtraction argument	83
2.2.8 Remarks	84
3. Two arguments for metaphysical nihilism	93
3. Two arguments for metaphysical nihilism 3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism	93 93
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism	93
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development	
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism	93
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism3.1.1 Premises and development3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse.	93 93
 3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 	93 93 95
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism3.1.1 Premises and development3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse.	93 93 95 98
 3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 3.1.4 Replies to objections 	93 93 95 98 101
 3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 3.1.4 Replies to objections 3.1.5 The "idealistic" strategy 3.1.6 Omnis determinatio est negatio 	93 93 95 98 101 107
 3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 3.1.4 Replies to objections 3.1.5 The "idealistic" strategy 	93 93 95 98 101 107 109
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 3.1.4 Replies to objections 3.1.5 The "idealistic" strategy 3.1.6 Omnis determinatio est negatio 3.1.7 Objections against the meontological argument	93 93 95 98 101 107 109 113
 3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 3.1.4 Replies to objections 3.1.5 The "idealistic" strategy 3.1.6 Omnis determinatio est negatio 3.1.7 Objections against the meontological argument 3.2 The "elenctic argument" for metaphysical nihilism 	93 93 95 98 101 107 109 113 120
3.1 The "meontological argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.1.1 Premises and development 3.1.2 Absolutely unrestricted quantification and the all-inclusive domain of discourse. 3.1.3 Objections to generality absolutism 3.1.4 Replies to objections 3.1.5 The "idealistic" strategy 3.1.6 Omnis determinatio est negatio 3.1.7 Objections against the meontological argument 3.2 The "elenctic argument" for metaphysical nihilism 3.2.1 Premises and development	93 93 95 98 101 107 109 113 120 120

4. Empty world, metaphysical nihilism and	131
4.1the accounts of possible worlds	131
4.2 truthmakers	144
4.2.1 The puzzle of negative truths	144
4.2.2 The puzzle of negative truth for metaphysical nihilism	146
4.3 Appendix: From creation out of nothing	
to original nothingness	155
5. Is the empty world useful for metaphysical	
anti-nihilists? Two relevant cases across	
analytic and continental metaphysics	159
5.1 The opening of Hegel's Logic and the empty world:	
from being to nothing without becoming	160
5.1.1 Being, nothing, becoming and determinate	
being in Hegel's Science of Logic	160
5.1.2 The empty world at work: approching	
Hegel's opening of <i>Logic</i> by means of possible worlds	164
5.1.3 General objections	172
5.2 The puzzle of nothingness in Emanuele Severino's	
ontology and the empty world	178
5.2.1 The <i>aporia</i> of nothingness	
and its solution according to Severino	178
5.2.2 Objections to Severino's solution and replies	185
5.2.3 Another way for spelling out the aporia of nothingness	188
5.2.4 The empty world at work: approaching	
Severino's question on nothingness by means	
of possible worlds	193
Bibliography	201
Index	211