

Table of Content

Abstract	9
Part I. Introduction	11
Part II. Standards-Setting and Competition Policy	15
A. The Standards-Setting Process	15
i. Economic Benefits of Formal Standardisation	15
ii. Formal Standardisation and its Superior Efficiency	18
iii. Anticompetitive Risks Prior-Adoption of a Standard	20
B. Theories of Post-Adoption Harm	22
C. Responses to Hold-Up – SSOs Self-Regulation and the Voluntary FRAND Commitment	25
D. Hold-Up or Hold-Out?	27
Part III. Standards-Setting and Antitrust Enforcement in the US	31
A. The Nature of the FRAND Commitment	31
B. Injunctive Relief Post-eBay	33
C. Exclusion Orders and the International Trade Commission	35
D. Antitrust Enforcement by the DOJ and the FTC	37
Part IV. Standards-Setting and EU Competition Law	41
A. Case-Law in Member States – The Orange Book Standard	41
B. Enforcement Action by the Commission	44
C. Huawei V. ZTE	46

Part V. Patent Assertion Entities and Privateers: Moving Beyond the FRAND Commitment	49
A. Patent Assertion Entities and Privateering: Costs and Efficiencies	49
i. The PAE and Privateer or Hybrid-PAE Business Model	49
ii. Implications of PAE Activities for Social Welfare and Efficiency	53
B. PAEs and Privateers in the Context of Cooperative Standards-Setting	55
C. PAEs and Opportunistic Assertion of SEPs: A Competition Law Problem?	58
D. Enforcing EU Competition Law against PAEs and Privateers: Moving Beyond the FRAND Commitment	62
i. Legal Formalism in the Enforcement of EU Competition Law in the Context of Coordinated Standards-Setting	62
ii. An Effects-Based Approach to Opportunism with SEPs: Anticompetitive Foreclosure and Article 102 TFEU	65
iii. Privateering Arrangements and Article 101 TFEU	68
Part VI. Conclusion	71
Bibliography	73