

Content

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Objective of the Study	2
1.2	Procedure of the Study.....	4
2	Security Measures and Their Perception in Critical Infrastructure Context.....	5
2.1	Security and Its Research Players.....	5
2.2	The Vulnerability of Public Transportation.....	7
2.3	Effects of Security Measures: Risk Management Systems.....	11
2.4	Security as Part of Customers' Confidence and Satisfaction.....	14
2.5	Security Perception.....	16
2.6	Non-/Acceptance of Security Measures.....	18
2.7	Summary of the Literature.....	22
3	Research Concepts	25
3.1	Research Assumptions and Hypotheses.....	25
3.2	Conceptual Frame of Security Perception and Security Measure Acceptance.....	26
3.3	End-User Requirements.....	30
3.4	Limitations.....	31
4	Acceptance of Security Measures	33
4.1	Concept of the Survey	34
4.1.1	Development of Methodology for Data Acquisition and Analysis.....	36
4.1.2	Structure of Survey and Execution.....	37

4.2 Step 1: Database of Security Measures.....	39
4.3 Step 2: Translation Process of Security Measures into Interference Criteria.....	40
4.3.1 Consequence Categories as Part of Customer Perception	41
4.3.2 Translation into Interference Criteria	41
4.4 Step 3: Survey—Data Analysis	44
4.4.1 Online Survey Results	45
4.4.1.1 Analysis of Respondents' Security Perceptions— Online Survey.....	46
4.4.1.2 Acceptance of Interference Criteria Caused by Security Measures	52
4.4.1.3 Ranking of Acceptance of Negative Impact Caused by Security Measures	58
4.4.2 Field Survey Results.....	61
4.4.2.1 Analysis of Respondents' Security Perception— Field Survey	63
4.4.2.2 Acceptance of Interference Criteria Caused by Security Measures	73
4.4.2.3 Ranking of Acceptance of Negative Impact Caused by Security Measures	79
4.4.3 Comparison of Online Survey versus Field Survey.....	83
4.4.4 Further Expert Interviews and Panel Discussion.....	91
4.4.4.1 Expert Validation of Soft Interference Criteria— Travel and Preparation Time.....	91
4.4.4.2 Customer Panel Discussion of Soft Interference Criterion—Information	95
4.4.5 Interference Ranking and Discussion of Survey Results.....	99
4.5 Step 4: Acceptance Matrix of Security Measures Re-translation Process.....	103
4.6 Summary and Results of the Acceptance Analysis.....	112

5 Application—Integration of the Data into Risk Management Systems..... 115

5.1 Multi Criteria Decision Analysis in Risk Management 116

5.1.1 Criterion 1: Technical/Objective Effectiveness of Preventive Security Measures 121

5.1.2 Criterion 2: Costs of Security Measures..... 124

5.1.3 Criterion 3: Acceptance of Security Measures 127

5.2 Example Scenario for Application..... 128

5.3 Data Aggregation for Decision Criteria..... 129

5.4 Decision Making Process and Sensitivity Analysis..... 142

5.5 Summary and Results of the MCDA for End-users’ Risk Management 145

6 Synthesis..... 151

6.1 Discussion of the Methodology 152

6.1.1 Verification of Results..... 152

6.1.2 Pros and Cons of an Acceptance Indicator Based on Interference Criteria..... 157

6.2 Comparison to Other Social/Technical Studies 158

6.3 Reflections on Theory/Future Research Needs..... 163

7 Conclusion 167

References..... 171

Annex A—List of Security Measures in Public Transportation System.... 181

Annex B—Questionnaires of Survey..... 193

Annex C—Process Modeling—Results Expert Workshop..... 203

Annex D—Data Sheet and Report MCDA Software 209