

Table of Contents

Abbreviations	13
List of Figures	17
List of Graphs	19
List of Tables	21
1. Interest groups success – many open questions	23
1.1. Literature on interest groups and interest group systems	27
1.1.1. Defining interest groups	28
1.1.2. Interest group systems	29
1.2. Interest group systems and the process of policy making	33
1.3. Expected variance in iron and steel interests across countries	34
1.4. Research design and findings	35
1.5. Contributions of the analysis	35
1.6. Plan of the book	37
2. Interest group systems and special interest policies	39
2.1. Iron and steel policies – a definition and its empirical variance	39
2.1.1. From resources policies in general to economic policies	39
2.1.2. From economic policies to the focus on iron and steel policies	41
2.1.3. Who is behind iron and steel?	43
2.2. Defining special interest policies for iron and steel industries	44
2.2.1. Policies that contain benefits for firms	44
2.2.2. Characteristics of special interest policies	45
2.2.3. A special interest policy for the iron and steel industry	47

2.2.4.	Special interest policy disaggregation into policy instruments	49
2.2.5.	Policy outputs and outcomes	50
2.3.	How interest groups impact policies	51
2.3.1.	Policies create winners and losers – two assumptions	52
2.3.2.	Influencing policymakers under perfect and imperfect information	53
2.3.3.	Information improving mechanisms: interest group coordination and competition	57
2.3.4.	Empirical implications	60
2.3.4.1.	Comparative implications: Four empirical states of the world	60
2.3.4.2.	Empirical implications for a competitive interest group system	63
2.3.4.3.	Empirical implications for a coordinated interest group system	65
2.3.5.	Observable special interest policies- always the product of lobbying?	67
2.3.6.	Special interest policies and structural business power	68
2.3.7.	Access points, niches and salience	69
2.4.	Summary and theoretical expectations	71
3.	Research Design- the layout of the analysis	73
3.1.	The universe of cases	73
3.1.1.	The cases for the analysis of iron and steel policies	73
3.1.2.	The subset of cases for the extension of the analysis	76
3.2.	Period of observation - the price boom and beyond	77
3.3.	Testing the empirical implications – the empirical strategy	80
3.4.	FsQCA, case studies and panel regression	81
3.5.	Summary	84
4.	Comparing interest group systems across countries	85
4.1.	Translating the argument and theoretical expectations into „fsQCA"	85
4.2.	Method, period of observation and calibration of conditions	87
4.2.1.	Method and period of observation	87
4.2.2.	Calibration of the conditions	89

4.2.3.	The outcome set: special interest steel policies	91
4.2.4.	Interest group systems	93
4.2.4.1.	Interest group coordination	94
4.2.4.2.	Interest group competition	96
4.2.5.	Competitiveness of the country	98
4.2.6.	Specialization on the iron and steel industries	100
4.3.	Fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis – method and data	103
4.4.	Conditions for special interest steel policies	104
4.4.1.	Necessary conditions for (no) special interest steel policies	105
4.4.2.	Sufficient conditions for the outcome „Special interest steel policies”	105
4.4.3.	Sufficient conditions for the outcome „No special interest steel policy”	109
4.4.4.	Discussion	110
5.	Case studies: Comparing Belgium and Germany	115
5.1.	Characteristics of a coordinated interest group system	115
5.2.	Case selection of coordinated interest group systems	118
5.2.1.	Puzzling cases and comparisons	119
5.2.2.	Case selection	121
5.2.2.1.	The most deviant case- Germany	122
5.2.2.2.	The most similar case to Germany- Belgium	124
5.2.3.	Data collection for the cases	127
5.2.4.	Interviews and data collection process	128
5.3.	Analyzing the confirming case – Belgium	130
5.3.1.	Classifying Belgian iron and steel policies	131
5.3.2.	Policies in Belgium – including regional policies	134
5.3.2.1.	Policies concerning resource efficiency	136
5.3.2.2.	Policies for securing supply	138
5.3.3.	Interest group systems on the federal and regional level	139
5.3.4.	The Belgian case – how did the truthfulness mechanism work	144
5.3.4.1.	Step 1: Policy demands and encompassingness of the umbrella organizations	145
5.3.4.2.	Step 2: Truthfulness	147
5.3.4.3.	Step 3: Policy Choice	149

5.3.5.	Resource supply – not an issue for the Belgian policymakers?	150
5.3.6.	Alternative explanations for the absence of a special iron and steel policy	152
5.3.7.	Discussion	154
5.4.	Germany- an example of skewed coordination	156
5.4.1.	The German Raw Materials Strategy as a special interest steel policy	157
5.4.2.	Summing up the policy goals	161
5.4.3.	The German system of interest group coordination	163
5.4.4.	Interest groups at work- a timeline for the German Raw Materials Strategy	164
5.4.5.	The German case- how the truthfulness mechanism did not work	167
5.4.5.1.	Step 1: Encompassingness of the umbrella organization	168
5.4.5.2.	Step 2: Truthfulness: Analyzing the content of the Raw Materials Strategy	173
5.4.5.3.	Step 2: Truthfulness: Alternative policy preferences and relation to other interest groups	178
5.4.5.4.	Step 3: Policy Choices – institutional entrenchment and pull factors from policymakers	182
5.4.6.	Alternative explanations for the German Raw Material Strategy	184
5.4.7.	Discussion	185
5.5.	Comparing Belgium and Germany	187
6.	A broader analysis - state aid in the European Union	193
6.1.	The variety of state aid in the European Union	194
6.2.	Interest group systems and state aid - the argument applied	196
6.3.	Operationalization and method	198
6.3.1.	Operationalization	199
6.3.1.1.	Data on state aid	199
6.3.1.2.	Data on interest groups	200
6.3.1.3.	Control variables	204
6.3.2.	Method	206
6.4.	The impact of interest group systems on state aid	210
6.5.	Discussion	216

7. Conclusion	219
7.1. The argument	219
7.2. Research strategy and findings	221
7.3. Implications for political science research	226
7.4. Policy implications	227
7.5. If you are not at the table you are on the menu	229
8. References	231