Contents

| Franceso | to F. Calemi |
|-----------|--|
| Introduc | tion —— 1 |
| Matthew | Tugby |
| Mirage F | Realism Revisited —— 13 |
| 1 | Introduction —— 13 |
| 2 | The one over many problem —— 14 |
| 3 | Devitt's charge of mirage realism —— 15 |
| 4 | The one over many problem and Armstrong's world of states of affairs —— 17 |
| 5 | Instantiation as partial identity —— 22 |
| 6 | The one over many problem and the Platonic view of |
| | universals —— 24 |
| Franceso | co F. Calemi |
| Ostrich I | Nominalism or Ostrich Platonism? —— 31 |
| 1 | What is it like to be an ostrich? —— 31 |
| 2 | The argument from gross facts —— 31 |
| 3 | The harlot argument —— 36 |
| 4 | The truthmaker argument —— 39 |
| 5 | Sketch for a Platonic theory of predication —— 42 |
| 6 | Concluding remarks —— 47 |
| Peter va | n Inwagen |
| In Defen | se of Transcendent Universals —— 51 |
| 1 | Armstrong's ontological method —— 51 |
| 2 | Armstrong's primary critique of transcendent realism —— 56 |
| 3 | A reply to the primary critique —— 60 |
| 4 | "How can distinct particulars have the same properties?" —— 64 |
| 5 | Arguments, not explanations —— 68 |
| Peter Si | mons |
| Armstro | ng and Tropes —— 71 |
| 1 | Universals and tropes —— 72 |
| 2 | Tropes and substances —— 73 |
| 3 | Armstrong's objections to trope nominalism — 74 |



| 4 | Answers to the objections —— 76 |
|-----------|---|
| 5 | Some advantages of trope nominalism —— 78 |
| 6 | Remaining problems for the trope nominalist —— 80 |
| 7 | Conclusion —— 82 |
| Anna-So | fia Maurin |
| Tropes: | For and Against —— 85 |
| 1 | Introduction —— 85 |
| 2 | Tropes and the one over many —— 86 |
| 3 | Armstrong on what is the 'best' version of the trope view — 88 |
| 4 | Armstrong on why there are no tropes — 92 |
| 5 | Piling, swapping, and 'Hochberg's argument' — 93 |
| 6 | Laws of nature and resemblance —— 96 |
| William I | F. Vallicella |
| Facts: A | Essay in Aporetics —— 105 |
| 1 | Introduction —— 105 |
| 2 | Facts as contingently existing concreta —— 106 |
| 3 | The truth-maker argument for facts —— 108 |
| 4 | Butchvarov's objections to realism about facts —— 110 |
| 4.1 | An argument from imperceivability —— 110 |
| 4.2 | An argument from impossibility of reference —— 112 |
| 4.3 | The Paradox of the Horse and the Paradox of Snow —— 113 |
| 5 | Problems with the concretist conception of facts —— 115 |
| 5.1 | The collision of the compositional and necessitarian models —— 115 |
| 5.2 | Problems with the compositionalist model —— 118 |
| 5.3 | Necessitarianism and the collapse of Armstrong's fact |
| | ontology —— 120 |
| 6 | Facts as abstract objects: Reinhardt Grossmann —— 126 |
| 6.1 | The localization argument against concrete facts —— 127 |
| 6.2 | The 'bare particular' objection to abstract facts —— 128 |
| 7 | Concluding aporetic postscript —— 129 |
| Javier Cu | umpa |
| | ng's Hidden Substantialism 133 |
| 1 | Introduction: Is Factualism a Truth of Armstrong's Ontology? —— 133 |
| 2 | States of affairs and the problem of universals —— 134 |
| 3 | States of affairs and the problem of truth —— 135 |
| 4 | The categorial clash between factualism and the victory of |
| • | particularity — 136 |
| | • |

| 5 | Concluding remarks: the ontological consequences of the clash —— 138 |
|---------|--|
| Kristie | Miller |
| Persist | ing Particulars and their Properties —— 139 |
| 1 | Introduction —— 139 |
| 2 | Transdurantism —— 141 |
| 3 | Objects as property bundles —— 142 |
| 4 | Objects as substrata with properties —— 149 |
| 5 | Location relations —— 153 |
| 6 | Explanation and identity —— 157 |
| Stephe | n Mumford |
| Armstr | ong on Dispositions and Laws of Nature —— 161 |
| 1 | Dispositions, ontologically speaking —— 161 |
| 2 | Was Armstrong's account sufficiently realist? —— 162 |
| 3 | Powers, actualism and degrees of being —— 164 |
| 4 | Potency and act —— 167 |
| 5 | Laws to the rescue? —— 168 |
| 6 | Tendencies —— 170 |
| 7 | Conclusion —— 173 |
| Andrea | Borghini |
| Recom | bination for Combinatorialists —— 177 |
| 1 | Introduction —— 177 |
| 2 | Constituents of states of affairs —— 179 |
| 3 | Recombination for combinatorialists —— 182 |
| 4 | Rebutting the trickle-down objection —— 186 |
| 5 | Rebutting the objection from alien possibilities —— 188 |
| 6 | Conclusions —— 190 |
| Michel | e Paolini Paoletti |
| Who's | Afraid of Non-Existent Manifestations? —— 193 |
| 1 | Introduction —— 193 |
| 2 | The argument —— 194 |
| 3 | Armstrong vs. powers —— 197 |
| 4 | Getting rid of non-existent manifestations —— 200 |
| 5 | Some miscellaneous concerns about Meinongianism —— 204 |

| Tuomas E. Armstrong | Tahko gon Truthmaking and Realism —— 207 | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Introduction —— 207 | |
| 2 | Truthmaking and realism —— 209 | |
| 3 | Truthmaking as ontologically neutral —— 211 | |
| 4 | Realism can stand on its own —— 214 | |
| D. H. Mello | | |
| From Trans | slations to Truthmakers —— 219 | |
| 1 | Translations —— 219 | |
| 2 | Truth conditions —— 220 | |
| 3 | Dispositions —— 222 | |
| 4 | Truthmakers —— 223 | |
| 5 | Functionalism —— 225 | |
| 6 | Physicalism —— 227 | |
| 7 | Beliefs and desires —— 228 | |
| Francesco | | |
| Armstrong | 3's Supervenience and Ontological Dependence —— 233 | |
| 1 | Introduction —— 233 | |
| 2 | The basics of Armstrong's ontology —— 235 | |
| 3 | The no distinctness proposal —— 236 | |
| 4 | Supervenience and ontological dependence — 238 | |
| 5 | Supervenience as neither sufficient nor necessary for ontological dependence —— 239 | |
| | • | |
| 6 | Cases of asymmetric supervenience — 241 | |
| 7 | Cases of symmetric supervenience —— 244 | |
| 8 | Instantiation and Bradley's regress —— 247 | |
| Paolo Valo | | |
| Naturalisr | n as a Background Metaphysics —— 253 | |
| 1 | Understanding Armstrong's naturalistic position —— 254 | |
| 2 | The under-determination of the thesis —— 255 | |
| 3 | The negative content —— 255 | |
| 4 | The positive content —— 256 | |
| 5 | Is the a priori back? —— 257 | |
| Index —— 261 | | |