

Contents

Introduction	vii
1 Acknowledgments	vii
2 Introduction	viii
3 List of frequently used symbols	x
1 The Frameworks	1
1.1 NPs as generalized quantifiers	1
1.1.1 NPs as sets of sets	3
1.1.2 Classification of determiners in the generalized quantifiers framework	5
1.1.3 Monotonicity	6
1.2 Formal framework	8
1.2.1 Language of plurality	8
1.2.2 Maximalization of different NPs	12
1.2.3 Scopal and non-scopal NPs	15
1.2.3.1 Cumulativity, collectivity and distributivity	16
1.2.4 Negation in LoP	18
1.2.4.1 Verbal negation	19
1.2.5 Quantifiers and negation	21
1.3 Summary	22
1.4 Appendix	23
1.4.1 The Language of Events and Plurality	23
1.4.2 Syntax of the Language of Events and Plurality	23
1.4.3 Semantics of the Language of Events and Plurality	25
2 The nature of negative noun phrases	29
2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 The puzzle	30
2.3 Type shifting	31
2.4 Two Theories of Type Shifting between Arguments and Predicates	33
2.4.1 Partee's Type Shifting Triangle	33
2.4.2 The Adjectival Theory of Indefinites	35
2.4.3 Partee's pragmatic restriction on type shifting	36
2.5 Linking Syntax and Semantics of Type Shifting	37
2.5.1 Choice functions	40
2.5.2 Czech n-words	41
2.6 Prediction I: predicative positions	42

2.7	Prediction II: collectivity	43
2.7.1	Groups	44
2.7.2	Coordination of n-words	48
2.7.3	Negative NPs in Czech and English and their formalization in LoP	49
2.7.3.1	English negative NPs in LoP	51
2.7.3.2	Czech negative NPs in LoP	53
2.8	Prediction III: intensional predicates	54
2.9	Open questions	56
2.10	Summary	58
3	Negation and aspect	59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Time and aspect	60
3.2.1	Modification of time intervals	62
3.2.1.1	Event time modification	62
3.2.1.2	Utterance time modification	62
3.2.1.3	Reference time modification	62
3.2.2	Negation and time modification	63
3.2.3	Downward entailing predicates	65
3.3	<i>Dokud</i> and <i>until</i>	72
3.4	The one <i>until</i> vs. two <i>until</i> approaches	74
3.5	<i>Dokud</i>	76
3.6	Proposal	79
3.6.1	<i>Dokud</i> in embedded atelic sentences	79
3.6.2	<i>Dokud</i> in embedded telic sentences	82
3.7	<i>Dokud</i> and negative concord	85
3.8	Conclusion	86
4	Negation and the scope of quantifiers	88
4.1	Data from the corpus	89
4.1.1	SV linearization	89
4.1.2	VS linearization	92
4.2	Events and partitives	93
4.3	Proposal	96
4.4	Why $\neg > \forall$?	96
4.4.1	*O	98
4.5	$\forall > \neg$	101
4.5.1	Independent evidence for the presupposition of homogeneity	104
4.6	Information structure and the scope of operators	106
4.7	Summary	107
5	On negative manner and degree questions	109
5.1	The data – landscape	110
5.1.1	Tenseless wh-questions	110

Contents

5.1.2	VP-adverbs	111
5.1.3	Negatives and other affective operators	112
5.1.4	Presuppositional islands	113
5.1.5	Extraposition islands	113
5.1.6	Comparative islands	114
5.1.7	Interim summary	114
5.2	The data – zooming into negative manner and degree questions	114
5.3	Intervention effects	117
5.3.1	Consequences of the reduction of weak islands to the intervention effects	121
5.4	Aspect and weak islands	123
5.4.1	Semantics of questions	124
5.4.2	Exhaustivity and negative degree and manner questions	127
5.4.3	Perfective aspect as an exhaustive marker	128
5.4.3.1	Non-exhaustive operator answer3	128
5.4.3.2	Telicity and exhaustivity	129
5.4.3.3	Perfective aspect forces exhaustivity	133
5.5	Summary	136
Summary		138
Bibliography		139