

# CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTORY REFLECTIONS ON PHILOSOPHY .....	9
1. What is required in order to define and qualify philosophy? .....	9
2. Critical caution is imperative .....	12
3. Consensus as a predicate of science. ....	13
II. THE DISPUTE OVER THE SCIENTIFIC NATURE OF PHILOSOPHY .....	19
1. Various opinions on the discord among philosophers. ....	19
2. Can philosophy be downgraded on the grounds of discord? .....	23
3. A summary of the “consensus philosophers” .....	30
III. SCIENTISM .....	33
1. Exposition of scientism .....	33
2. Dispute over the first premise of the scientific syllogism .....	34
3. Refuting scientism .....	37
IV. THE TRANSITION TO NOETICS .....	43
1. Summarizing the introductory reflections on philosophy .....	43
2. The “truth” and its situation today .....	44
V. LOGICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL COMPLICATIONS .....	55
1. Definition of noetics. ....	55
2. Circular situation .....	57
3. The reason for the logical fragility .....	59
4. Methodological benefit .....	60
VI. VARIOUS REJECTIONS OF NOETICS .....	63
1. The conventions of modern logicians. ....	64
2. Programmatic dogmatism of the self-evident principles of knowledge .....	70
VII. SELECTING A METHOD .....	77
1. The requirements of critical thinking. ....	77
2. The psychologization of the theory of knowledge .....	80
3. Husserl’s transcendental epoché .....	85
4. Universal methodical doubt .....	88
VIII. REFUTING UNIVERSAL SCEPTICISM .....	91
1. Benevolent attitude to the opponent .....	91
2. Analyzing the sceptical position .....	92

3. The immediate lesson taken from the contradiction of the antithesis .....	95
4. Verification of the logical law .....	96
IX. THE METALANGUAGE TRICK .....	101
1. Russell's dismissal of self-inclusive judgments .....	102
2. Metalanguage forcibly applied to noetics. ....	105
3. The attempted negation of the principle of contradiction .....	109
4. Hegel. ....	111
X. DEMONSTRATION IN THE WHIRLWIND OF CIRCLES .....	115
1. A serious objection all too readily accepted. ....	115
2. The problem of a concrete identification of the logical circle ...	117
3. The claims of critical thought and methodical circle .....	122
XI. SOLVING THE METHODICAL CIRCLE OBJECTION .....	125
1. Conflict with the critical imperative – dogmatization – indemonstrability .....	125
2. The notion of “doubt” .....	128
3. The collapse of the opponent's argument .....	131
XII. FINALIZING THE DEMONSTRATION OF TRUTH .....	137
1. Regression .....	138
2. Review of the demonstration procedure .....	144
3. Demonstration of truth – with the apodictic conclusion .....	146
XIII. FINALIZING THE METHOD .....	151
1. Looking back .....	151
2. Text-book standard .....	152
3. Discussion with Jaroslav Beneš. ....	154
4. Distinction between methodological and real doubt .....	156
5. Beneš, Thomists, and Aristotle .....	158
XIV. TRUTH IN JUDGMENT .....	163
1. What follows the demonstration of truth? .....	163
2. The way truth is realised in human thought .....	166
3. The error of the exteriorization approach .....	170
4. The concept of interiorization .....	172
XV. TRUTH VALUE IN CATEGORICAL JUDGEMENTS .....	177
1. Sousedík's restriction of correspondence. ....	178

2. Necessity and the binary character of the truth value.....	183
3. Aristotle's theory of judgments on future events.....	185
4. Maritain elaborating on Aristotle.....	188
<b>XVI. THE PROBLEM OF UNIVERSALS.....</b>	<b>191</b>
1. The problem of truth as reflected by Analytical Philosophers... ..	192
2. Objectivity of universals.....	196
3. Sophisms of the Modern Nominalists.....	199
<b>XVII. DESCARTES AND THE RATIONALIST.....</b>	<b>205</b>
1. The Transition to Modern Philosophy.....	205
2. The ambivalence of Descartes' starting point.....	209
3. The reason for Descartes' initial mistake.....	213
4. Vital realism in retreat.....	215
5. A remark on solipsism.....	217
6. Rationalists.....	219
<b>XVIII. JOHN LOCKE AND THE EMPIRICISTS.....</b>	<b>225</b>
1. A brief comparison of Empiricism and Rationalism.....	225
2. John Locke's explication of knowledge.....	227
3. The noetic evaluation of Locke's account.....	229
4. David Hume.....	235
5. Final comparison.....	237
<b>XIX. IMMANUEL KANT.....</b>	<b>239</b>
1. Between Rationalism and Empiricism.....	239
2. An exposition of Kant's starting point.....	241
3. Noetic criticism of Kant's Critique.....	246
4. Background of Kant's (and Locke's) failure.....	252
<b>XX. THE PROMINENT HEIRS OF AGNOSTICISM I.....</b>	<b>257</b>
1. Nothing new after Kant.....	257
2. Noetics rehabilitates Metaphysics.....	258
3. In the tradition of Empiricism.....	259
4. Peregrin's belated "synthesis".....	264
<b>XXI. THE PROMINENT HEIRS OF AGNOSTICISM II.....</b>	<b>277</b>
1. The impact and importance of Agnosticism.....	277
2. The bridges between truth and error.....	279
3. Jaspers, Scruton, etc.....	286

XXII. THE HELPLESS HEIRS OF DEEP SCEPTICISM I .....	291
1. The Myth of Transcendentalism .....	291
2. The apparent criticality of Husserl's transcendentalism.....	295
3. The twilight evidence of Peter Wust .....	301
4. Heidegger a tutti quanti .....	303
XXIII. THE HEIRS OF DEEP SCEPTICISM II .....	307
1. Fallibilism .....	307
2. Postmodernity.....	314
XXIV. NOETICS ON ITS OWN AND AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF PHILOSOPHY .....	325
1. Any benefit? .....	325
2. What is it good for? .....	329
3. The crisis of truth in broader philosophical context .....	333