

Table of Contents

Introduction	21
A. Defining Copyright	22
B. Defining Copyright Enforcement	23
C. The Problem: When Copyright and Technology Clash	24
I. Ordinary Users Easily Become Infringers	25
II. Changed Concept of Ownership	27
III. Do Consumers Understand the System and Vice Versa?	29
D. The Research Topic: Copyright Enforcement on the Internet	30
E. Macrolevel Approaches to Fight Online Copyright Infringements	32
I. Unilateral or Multilateral Enforcement: Strengthening Enforcement within and outside of National Borders	33
II. Multilateral: Proposal for New Trade Agreement ACTA	34
III. United States: SOPA and PIPA – Initiatives to Strengthen Enforcement Globally	37
IV. EU: IPRED2	40
V. Copyright Enforcement in the EU: No Uniform Approach in Member States	42
F. Limits of the Research	43
G. Terminology	44
H. Sources	45
I. Outline of the Research	45
First Chapter: Legal and Technological Background	49
A. The Status Quo – or How Did We Get to Where We Are Today?	49
I. Copyright in a Digitalised World	49
1. A Short History of Copyright and Copyright Enforcement in the Offline World	49
2. Copyright Challenges in an Online Environment	56
a) Technological Progress	56

b) Failure of Technological Protection Measures	58
c) Lack of Understanding Intellectual Property Rights	60
d) User-Generated Content and a Participatory Internet	65
e) Necessity to Reform Copyright Law?	68
3. Scope of Online Copyright Infringement	68
a) Difficulties in Determining the Scope of Online Copyright Infringements	69
b) The Impact of Illegal Downloads on Sales Figures	74
II. The History of File-Sharing: From Peer-to-Peer Technology to Sharehosting and Streaming	77
1. Peer-to-Peer File-sharing	77
2. File Hosting Services	82
3. USENET	83
4. Streaming	84
5. File-Sharing as of Today	85
III. Existing Model Regimes for Online Intermediary Liability	87
1. Early Responses to File-Sharing: Legal Action against the Innovators	87
2. The Development of Limited Liability	98
a) The Leading Model Regimes Relating to Intellectual Property	99
aa) The US Digital Millennium Copyright Act	99
bb) The E-Commerce Directive 2000/31/EC	105
cc) The US and EU Regimes: Similarities and Deficits	110
b) The Necessity to Go Beyond Notice and Take down	113
B. The Technological and Legal Challenges of Online Copyright Enforcement	115
I. The Technological Challenges	116
1. Technological Context	116
a) The Evolution of the Internet in a Nutshell	116
b) IP Address Allocation	119
c) Domain Name System	119
2. The Difficulties to Identify an Infringer That Result from Technology as such	120
a) "On the Internet, Nobody Knows You're a Dog"	121
b) Dynamic IP Addresses	121
c) Anonymous Web Browsing	124

3. The Difficulties to Delete or Block Access	126
4. The Role of Technology in the Assessment of Interferences with Fundamental Rights	128
II. The Legal Challenges: The Fundamental Rights at Stake	129
1. Sources of Fundamental Rights	130
a) International Level: The International Bill of Human Rights	131
aa) The Universal Declaration of Human Rights	132
bb) The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights	132
b) European Union Level: The European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union	133
aa) The European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	134
bb) Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union	136
cc) The Relationship between the Convention and the Charter	137
2. The Fundamental Rights of User at Stake	141
a) Freedom of Expression in the Form of Freedom to Seek, Impart and Receive Information	141
aa) Freedom of Expression in General	141
bb) The Right to Receive and Seek Information	145
cc) The Right to Impart Information	148
dd) The Right to Internet Access	149
ee) Justification of Interference	152
b) Privacy Rights	156
aa) Privacy Rights in General	157
bb) Right to Respect for Family and Private Life	159
cc) Right to the Confidentiality of Communications	163
dd) Right to Protection of Personal Data	165
ee) Justification of Interference	168
c) Procedural Rights	171
aa) Right to a Fair Trial	171
bb) Presumption of Innocence and Right of Defence	175

cc) Principles of Legality and Proportionality of Criminal Offences and Penalties	177
dd) Ne Bis in Idem Rule	180
3. The Fundamental Rights of Internet Service Providers at Stake	181
a) Freedom to Conduct Business	181
b) Freedom of Expression	184
4. Fundamental Rights of the Intellectual Property Rights Owners	184
a) Right to Intellectual Property	185
b) Right to an Effective Remedy	190
5. In Brief: The Balancing of Fundamental Rights	191
 Second Chapter: User-Targeted Responses	 195
A. The Peer-to-Peer File-Sharer as the Addressee of Enforcement Measures	195
I. The Idea of Enforcement in Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing Networks	195
II. Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing: Scope, Specific Functioning and Consequences	197
1. Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing Networks in General	198
2. The Third Generation of Peer-to-Peer File Sharing Networks	202
3. Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing in Numbers	206
4. The Copyright-Infringing Act	207
a) Uploading Regularly Goes Along with Downloading	208
b) Parts of a Protected Work Enjoy Protection	209
c) Non-Applicability of the Private Copying Exception	214
5. Explaining the Demand for Enforcement Schemes Focussing on Peer-to-Peer Networks	216
III. Technological Challenges in Detecting and Identifying File-Sharers	217
1. In General: Collecting Digital Evidence	218
2. The Necessary Evidence	222
3. The Identifiable	222
a) The Copyright Infringement	223
b) The IP Address	225

4. The Identifier	228
a) How Data Is Harvested	228
b) Reliability of Data Harvesting/Evidence	231
aa) Manipulation of File-Sharing Clients	232
bb) Mistimed and Mismatched Logs	234
cc) Misreporting by Trackers	235
dd) Problems Belonging to the Sphere of the Subscriber: Unsecured Computers and Wi-Fi Connections	235
c) Evasion of Detection: Migration to (More) Secure Peer-to-Peer Networks	236
aa) Anonymous Peer-to-Peer Networks	236
bb) Friends-to-Friends Networks	238
cc) VPN Clients, Proxies and TOR	239
d) The Burden of Proof	240
IV. Resume	242
B. National Enforcement Measures Against Users	243
I. Legal Framework under European Union Law	243
1. Copyright Law Providing for Remedies against and Sanctions of Individual End-Users	244
a) IPR Enforcement Directive 2004/48/EC	245
b) Information Society Directive 2001/29/EC	248
c) EU Telecoms Reform Package	251
d) Rome II Regulation	254
2. Data Protection Law and Information Rights	255
a) Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC	255
b) E-Privacy Directive 2002/58/EC	258
c) Data Retention Directive 2006/24/EC	260
aa) The Scope of Application of the Data Retention Directive	261
bb) The Declaration of the Invalidity of the Data Retention Directive: Joined cases C-293/12 and C-594/12 Digital Rights Ireland and Seitlinger and Others	263
3. Liability Exemption for Access Providers under the E- Commerce Directive 2000/31	266
4. Relevant Jurisprudence of the CJEU	268
a) Disclosure of Traffic Data: C-275/06 Promusicae	268

b) Disclosure of Traffic Data to Third Parties: C-557/07 LSG-Gesellschaft zur Wahrnehmung von Leistungsschutzrechten	270
c) Legitimacy of National Disclosure Legislation : C-461/10 Bonnier Audio v Perfect Communication Sweden	272
d) Prohibition of General Monitoring Duty: C-70/10 Scarlet Extended v SABAM	275
e) Practical Impact of the Decisions	276
II. Copyright Enforcement in Member States through Criminal Sanctions: Graduated Response Schemes	277
1. France: the Graduated Response Scheme of the HADOPI Laws	279
a) Copyright Enforcement in France in General	279
aa) The Origin of Copyright in France	279
bb) The Private Copying Exception	281
cc) Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights upon Initiative of the Rights-Holder	283
b) Setting the Framework for a Graduated Response Scheme	284
aa) Loi Relative au Droit d'Auteur et aux Droits Voisins dans la Société de l'Information – Loi DADVSI	285
bb) Loi Favorisant la Diffusion et la Protection de la Création sur Internet – Loi HADOPI I	287
cc) Loi Relative à la Protection Pénale de la Propriété Littéraire et Artistique sur Internet – Loi HADOPI II	294
dd) Summary	297
c) Outline and Functioning of the Current Graduated Response Scheme	297
aa) The Missions and Powers of HADOPI	298
bb) How the Graduated Response Scheme Works	299
(1) Gathering of Information of Infringements	299
(2) The Warning Procedure	303
(3) Consequences: Referral to the Prosecutor's Office and Criminal Proceedings	306
d) The Status quo	312
aa) The Graduated Response Scheme in Numbers	312

bb) The Unsatisfying Results of HADOPI	315
e) A Sustainable Model Regime? The Order of 8 July 2013 and the Lescure Rapport	319
2. UK: The Graduated Response Scheme of the DEA 2010	323
a) Copyright Enforcement in the UK in General	324
aa) The Origins of Copyright in the UK	324
bb) The Fair Dealing Exception	325
cc) Enforcement of IP Rights upon Initiative of the Rights-Holder	325
b) Paving the Way for a Future Graduated Response Scheme	327
aa) Digital Britain Report	328
bb) Digital Economy Act	329
cc) The Regulatory Codes that Accompany the Digital Economy Act	333
(1) Online Infringement of Copyright Initial Obligations Code	333
(2) Online Infringement of Copyright (Initial Obligations) (Sharing of Costs) Order	336
(3) Technical Obligations Code	336
dd) Summary	337
c) Outline and Functioning of the Graduated Response Scheme	337
aa) The Role of the Ofcom	338
bb) How the Graduated Response Scheme (should) Work	338
(1) Gathering of Information of Infringements	339
(2) The Warnings: Applicant, Content and Proceedings	341
(3) Consequences	345
d) The Status Quo	347
e) The DEA's Future in Limbo	351
3. Graduated Response Schemes: Concerns Relating to Technology and Fundamental Rights	356
a) Dealing with Technological Matters	356
aa) The Identifiable	357
(1) Determination of a Copyright Infringement	357

(2) Fact that IP Address Identification Does Not Necessarily Identify the Actual Infringer	358
bb) The Identifier	361
(1) Concerns in Relation to Reliability of Data	361
(2) Responses to Evasion of Detection	362
cc) Enforcement of Internet Suspension or Restriction	362
dd) No Prescribed Standard for Securing Internet Access	363
b) Compliance of the Graduated Response Schemes With Secondary EU Law	364
aa) Compliance of Graduated Response Schemes with Secondary EU Law in General	364
bb) Compliance of the Data Processing with Secondary EU Law	369
(1) Questions of Applicability of Data Protection Law: IP Address as Personal Data	369
(2) Processing of Data	379
(3) Disclosure of Personal Data	385
(4) Processing of Sensitive Data	386
cc) Compliance of Internet Suspension or Restriction Orders with Secondary EU Law	387
dd) Compliance of Cost-Sharing with Secondary EU Law	389
c) Conformity with the Fundamental Rights of Internet Users	391
aa) Sanctions Interfering with a User's Right to Freedom to Expression in the Form of Freedom to Seek, Impart and Receive Information	391
(1) Interference	393
(2) Justification of Interference	395
bb) Collecting and Processing of Communications and Personal Data Interfering with Privacy Rights	399
(1) Interference with the Right to Respect for Family and Private Life	399

(2) Interference with the Right to the Confidentiality of Communications	401
(3) Interference with the Right to the Protection of Personal Data	403
(4) Justification	404
(5) In Specific: Data Retention Requirements for Access Providers	409
cc) Interferences with Procedural Rights	410
(1) Right to a Fair Trial	411
(2) Presumption of Innocence	414
(3) Principles of Legality and Proportionality of Criminal Offences and Penalties	415
d) Conformity with the Fundamental Rights of Internet Service Providers	416
aa) Freedom to Conduct Business of Traditional Access Providers	416
bb) Freedom to Conduct Business of Providers of Free Wi-Fi Services	418
cc) Freedom to Conduct Business of Commercial Wi-Fi Operators	420
dd) The UK Graduated Response Scheme Violates the Rights of Access Providers	420
e) Achieving a Fair Balance of the Contravening Interests	421
III. Copyright Enforcement in Member States Through Private Litigation	425
1. Germany: Abmahnungen	427
a) Legal Framework for Private Copyright Enforcement in Germany	429
aa) Liability for Copyright Infringements	429
(1) Direct, Contributory and Vicarious Liability	430
(2) Störerhaftung: Liability as a Disturber	432
(3) Application of the Liability Concepts to the Subscriber of an IP Address	435
bb) Remedies for Copyright Infringement	441
(1) Damages	442
(2) Cease and Desist	443
cc) Information Rights	445
dd) The Abmahnung	446

b)	Outline and Functioning of Pre-Litigation Settlement	448
aa)	Gathering of Information on Infringements	448
bb)	Disclosure Orders	449
cc)	Pre-Litigation Correspondence: Request to Cease and Desist and Claim for Damages	451
c)	The Status Quo: How Abmahnungen (almost) Became a Profitable Tool for Rights-holders	455
aa)	Information Requests Are not Thoroughly Assessed	456
bb)	A Narrow Interpretation of “Non-Complex” Cases	460
cc)	Optimisation of Pre-Litigation Correspondence	460
dd)	False Allegations – The Redtube.com Case	463
d)	Steps Taken to Prevent that “Piracy Turns into Profit”	464
2.	UK: Out of Court Settlement by Pre-Litigation Letters: A fundamentally Different Approach?	468
a)	In Brief: Legal Framework for Private Copyright Enforcement in the UK	469
aa)	The Service of Disclosure Orders in the UK – Right to Information and Norwich Pharmacal orders	469
bb)	Remedies for Copyright Infringement in the UK	474
b)	Lessons Learned from Germany: The Phenomenon of Cease and Desist Letters in the UK and Speculative Invoicing	476
aa)	Introducing the Antipiracy Industry in the UK	476
bb)	The History of Speculative Invoicing in the UK	478
cc)	Putting the Rule to the Test: Piracy Cannot Be Turned into Profit	486
(1)	Golden Eye v Telefonica UK: Facts of the Case	486
(2)	The Conditions for Granting Norwich Pharmacal Relief in Relation to Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing Cases and Speculative Invoicing	489

(3) Establishment of Genuine Intent to Seek Redress for Arguable Wrongs	490
(4) Disclosure Order Must be Necessary to Pursue Redress	492
(5) Proportionality of a Disclosure Order	492
(6) Control of the litigation	495
dd) Summary	495
ee) The UK Application Departs from the German Approach	497
3. Pre-Litigation Letters: Concerns Relating to Technology and Fundamental Rights	498
a) Dealing with Technological Matters	499
aa) IP Address Subscribers Must Not be Equalled with Actual Infringers	500
bb) Obligations to Secure a Wi-Fi Connection under Private Law	501
cc) Concerns in Relation to Reliability of Data	502
b) Compliance of Information Disclosure with Secondary EU Law	503
c) Conformity with the Fundamental Rights of Internet Users	506
aa) Collecting of Personal Data Interfering with Privacy Rights	506
bb) Disclosure of Personal Data Interfering with Privacy Rights	507
cc) Right to Fair Trial of Alleged Infringers	512
d) Achieving a Fair Balance of the Contravening Interests	513
 Third Chapter: Content- or Intermediary-Targeted Responses	 517
A. Online Intermediaries as the Addressees of Enforcement Measures	517
I. The Idea of Enforcement via Online Intermediaries	517
II. The Services and Activities of Online Intermediaries that Are Targeted: Scope, Specific Functioning and Consequences	524
1. File-Hosting Services, Indexing Sites and Link Directories	525

2. Streaming	528
3. File Hosting and Streaming Websites in Numbers	532
4. The Copyright-Infringing Act	535
a) The Legality of the Provision of File Hosting Services	535
b) The Illegality of Making Available Links to the General Public	536
c) The (Il)Legality of Unauthorised Streaming	536
d) The (Il)Legality of Consumption of Streams	540
5. The Reasons for Targeting these Services	543
III. Technological Challenges	546
1. Filtering and Blocking Techniques	546
a) Internet Protocol Address Blocking	547
b) Domain Name System Name Blocking	548
c) Uniform Resource Locator Site Blocking	551
d) Deep Packet Inspection-Based Blocking	552
e) Hybrid Systems – The Example of CleanFeed	553
2. Circumvention Tools	556
a) Circumvention Tools available to Website Operators	557
aa) Change of IP Address and/or Additional Domain Names	557
bb) Proxy Services, Anonymising Services and Virtual Private Networking	558
cc) Mirroring	559
b) Circumvention Tools available to Users	559
aa) Encryption	560
bb) Proxy Services, Anonymising Services and Virtual Private Networking	560
c) The Efficiency of Blocking	562
IV. Resume	563
B. Enforcement Measures Directed at Intermediaries	565
I. EU Legal Framework	566
1. Liability of Intermediaries in General: The E-Commerce Directive 2000/31	567
2. Copyright Law Providing for Injunctions	569
a) Information Society Directive 2001/29/EC	570
b) IPR Enforcement Directive 2004/48/EC	571

c) Data Protection Framework: E-Privacy Directive 2002/58	574
3. Relevant Jurisprudence of the CJEU	574
a) Injunctions in General: Case C-324/09 L'Oréal v eBay	575
b) Injunctions against Access Providers: Case C-70/10 Scarlet Extended v SABAM	581
c) Injunctions against Host Providers: Case C-360/10 SABAM v Netlog	583
d) Injunctions Specifying the Means of Access Blocking: Case C-314/12 UPC Telekabel Wien v Constantin Film Verleih	585
e) Summary of the Case Law of the CJEU	589
4. Jurisprudence of the ECtHR	592
a) Access Blocking: Yildirim v Turkey	592
b) Criminal Liability of Intermediaries: Neij and Sunde Kolmisoppi v Sweden	594
c) Civil Liability of Intermediaries: Delfi v Estonia	597
d) Summary of the Case-Law of the ECtHR	600
II. Enforcement upon Initiative of Administrative Authorities	602
1. France: No Competence of Administrative Authorities to Order Access Blocking to Illegal Materials	602
2. Germany: Regional Initiatives and Legislative Attempts to Provide for Access Blocking Have Limited Success	606
a) "Düsseldorfer Sperrverfügungen"	606
b) The Fate of the "Zugangerschwerungsgesetz"	611
c) No Positive Future for Access Blocking by Administrative Authorities in Germany	614
3. UK: The Fate of the Website Blocking Provisions of the DEA 2010	616
a) Legal Framework foreseen in the DEA 2010	616
b) Sections 17 and 18 of the DEA 2010: Unnecessary?	617
4. Resume: No Blocking of Copyright Infringing Content to Be Ordered by Administrative Authorities	620

III. Enforcement upon Initiative of the Rights-holders via Access Providers	621
1. UK: Injunctions to Block Access against Access Providers	622
a) The Legal Framework: Section 97A CDPA 1988	622
b) Setting the Precedent: Twentieth Century Fox v BT	622
aa) Excursus: From NewzBin1 (Twentieth Century Fox v NewzBin) to NewzBin2 (Twentieth Century Fox v BT)	623
bb) The Injunctions against BT in NewzBin2	628
c) Applying the Precedent	631
d) Injunctions under Section 97A CDPA 1988: A Pyrrhic Victory for Copyright Owners	635
2. Germany: No Injunctions against Access Providers	639
3. Access Blocking by Access Providers: Concerns relating to Technology and Fundamental Rights	643
a) Technological Concerns in Relation to Access Blocking	643
b) Compliance with Secondary EU Law	646
c) Conformity with Fundamental Rights of Internet Users	647
aa) Interference with Users' Rights to Seek, Receive and Impart Information	647
bb) Interference with the Users' Privacy Rights	653
d) Conformity with Fundamental Rights of Access Providers	654
e) Striking a Fair Balance between the Contravening Interests	657
IV. Enforcement upon Initiative of the Rights-Holders via Host Providers	664
1. Germany: Obligations of Host Providers to Prevent Similar Infringements	665
a) The Legal Framework	665
aa) Telemediengesetz	666
bb) Störerhaftung	667
cc) The Scope of Monitoring Duties of Host Providers	670
b) Liability of File-Hosting Services in General	676

c) Notice and Stay-Down: Scope of Specific Monitoring Duties of File-Hosting Services	678
d) Extensive Monitoring in Practice	684
2. France	688
a) Legal Framework: Loi pour la confiance dans l'économie numérique	688
b) Scope of Any Blocking Obligation: Take down and Stay Down?	692
c) Limits of Notice and Take down and the Shift to Content Blocking by Search Engines	696
3. Host Provider Obligations: Concerns Relating to Technology and Fundamental Rights	700
a) Dealing with Technological Matters: The Difficulties to Identify Similar or Identical Infringements	700
b) Compliance with Secondary EU Law	702
c) Conformity with Fundamental Rights of Host Providers	705
aa) Freedom to Pursue Business and Property Rights	705
bb) Right to Impart Information Created by Third Parties	708
d) Extensive Monitoring and a Fair Balance of Rights	709
 General Conclusions	 719
A. Problems Encountered in Copyright Enforcement	720
I. Copyright Enforcement on the Internet is a Struggle to Find the Right Target	720
II. Copyright Enforcement on the Internet Puts Disproportionate Weight on Intellectual Property Rights	722
III. Copyright Enforcement Discourages Innovation and Creativity	725
IV. Copyright Enforcement on the Internet Targets End-Users Rather than Commercial Infringers	726
V. No Evidence of Effectiveness of Current Initiatives	728
VI. Access Blocking is Playing Cat and Mouse	729

B. Prospects	730
I. The German Cease and Desist Letter Regime: Not so Bad as it May Seem	730
II. Search Engines as Law Enforcement Officers: Limiting Visibility of Illegal Materials	732
III. A Promising Alternative: Follow the Money	733
IV. In Parallel: Protecting Copyright and Users	737
1. In Specific: Remove Obstacles to the Provision of Cross-Border Digital Content Services	738
2. In Specific: Education of Users and Promotion of Legal Offers	741
V. Exciting Time with Pitfalls	744
 List of References	 747
 Table of Cases	 789