

# Contents

**Part I German and Brazilian Constitutional Cultures:  
Constitutional Adjudication and Activism**

<b>1</b>	<b>An Approach to Decision-Making</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	The Crucifix Case	4
1.3	The Cannabis Case	11
1.4	The Ellwanger Case	19
1.5	Final Words	29
<b>2</b>	<b>Balancing Within the Context of German Constitutionalism:</b>	
	<b>The <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i>'s Shift to Activism</b>	<b>31</b>
2.1	Introduction	31
2.2	Balancing Within the Triadic Framework of the Principle of Proportionality: A Brief Introduction	39
2.3	The Bundesverfassungsgericht in the Postwar Crisis: The New Representative of the Legal and Social Order	48
2.4	The Bundesverfassungsgericht's Shift to Activism: From Subjective Rights to Objective Principles and the Consequences in Judicial Review	57
2.5	The Constitutional Scholarship Reaction Against the Bundesverfassungsgericht's Shift to Politics and the Irrationalism of Balancing	68
2.6	Final Words	80
<b>3</b>	<b>Balancing Within the Context of Brazilian Constitutionalism:</b>	
	<b>The <i>Supremo Tribunal Federal</i>'s Shift to Activism</b>	<b>83</b>
3.1	Introduction	83
3.2	The Supremo Tribunal Federal in the Democratization Process: the Federal Constitution of 1988 and the Opening to Activism	88

3.3 Balancing in the Decisions of the Supremo Tribunal Federal: The Quest for Rationality in Decision-Making .....	109
3.4 Final Words .....	130

## Part II The Debate on the Rationality of Balancing

<b>4 The Aim to Rationalize Balancing Within the Context of Constitutional Courts' Activism .....</b>	<b>135</b>
4.1 Introduction: The Quest for a Systematization and Rationalization of Balancing .....	135
4.2 Robert Alexy's Special Case Thesis ( <i>Sonderfallthese</i> ) .....	139
4.3 The Quest for the Rationality of Balancing: The Core of Robert Alexy's Theory of Constitutional Rights .....	143
4.4 Final Words .....	157
<b>5 When <i>Différance</i> Comes to Light: Balancing Within the Context of Deconstruction .....</b>	<b>161</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	161
5.2 <i>Différance</i> and the Political-Legal Realm of Deconstruction .....	166
5.2.1 Jacques Derrida and <i>Différance</i> .....	166
5.2.2 <i>Différance</i> and Constitutional Democracy: The Democracy to Come .....	174
5.2.3 The to Come in the Negotiation Between Constitutionalism and Democracy .....	182
5.2.4 <i>Différance</i> Within the Context of Decision-Making: The Negotiation Between Law and Justice and the First Insight into Legitimacy .....	186
5.3 Balancing Within the Context of <i>Différance</i> .....	194
5.3.1 Introduction .....	194
5.3.2 Balancing and the Logos of Correctness-Rationality .....	196
5.3.3 Balancing and the Logos of Legitimacy .....	218
5.4 Final Words .....	239
<b>6 When Procedures Towards Mutual Understanding Come to Light: Balancing Within the Context of Proceduralism .....</b>	<b>243</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	243
6.2 The Claim to Coherence in Robert Alexy's View: When Rights Lapse into General Practical Discourse .....	246
6.3 The Post-Metaphysical Response to Balancing as an Indispensable Instrument for Coherence: The Coherence and the Single Right Answer Within Democratic Procedures of Opinion – and Will Formation .....	250
6.3.1 Introduction .....	250

6.3.2	Klaus Günther's View: Coherence Through the Distinction Between Discourses of Justification and Discourses of Application .....	251
6.3.3	Ronald Dworkin's View: Integrity in Legal Reasoning and the Claim to the Single Right Answer as a Response to Coherence .....	265
6.3.4	Jürgen Habermas's View: Between Facts and Norms Within Democratic Procedures of Opinion – and Will Formation .....	279
6.4	The Metaphysics of Balancing from the Perspective of the Proceduralist Account .....	305
6.4.1	Introduction .....	305
6.4.2	The First Outcome: The Construction of an Axiological Content in the Structure of Principles .....	310
6.4.3	The Second and Third Outcomes: The Confusion between Discourses of Justification and Discourses of Application and the Loss of Protection of Minorities .....	315
6.4.4	The Fourth Outcome: The Relativization and Misunderstanding of the "Single Right Answer" .....	320
6.4.5	The Final Analysis: The Problem of Rationality in Alexy's Thinking .....	322
6.5	Final Words .....	326

### Part III The Concept of Limited Rationality

7	<b>Between <i>Différance</i> and Intersubjectivity: The Concept of Limited Rationality in Constitutional Democracy</b> .....	333
7.1	Introduction .....	333
7.2	When Proceduralism and Deconstruction Are Placed Side by Side: The First Insight into the Limits of Reason .....	336
7.3	The Quest for Justice: A Dialogue Between Symmetry and Asymmetry? .....	342
7.3.1	Introduction .....	342
7.3.2	Is Really the Quest for Consensus Incompatible with Asymmetry? A Look Into Chantal Mouffe's "Agonist Model of Democracy" .....	345
7.3.3	The Internal Dialects Between Modern Equality and Individuality: The Symmetry and Asymmetry in Christoph Menke's Account .....	352
7.3.4	The Resolution as a Non-Resolution: The "Irresolvable But Productive Tension" Between <i>Différance</i> and Intersubjectivity in the Quest for Justice .....	358
7.4	Final Words .....	362

**8 Between *Différance* and Intersubjectivity: The Concept of Limited Rationality in the Realm of Constitutional Adjudication** ..... 365

8.1 Introduction ..... 365

8.2 The Concept of Limited Rationality in the Realm of Legal Adjudication: Intersubjectivity and *Différance* in a Complementary Fashion ..... 367

8.3 The Concept of Limited Rationality In German and Brazilian Constitutional Realities ..... 373

8.4 When the Concept of Limited Rationality Meets Constitutional Cases ..... 385

8.4.1 Introduction ..... 385

8.4.2 The Crucifix Case ..... 386

8.4.3 The Cannabis Case ..... 392

8.4.4 The Ellwanger Case ..... 398

8.5 Final Words ..... 404

**Conclusion** ..... 407

**Bibliography** ..... 413