## **Contents**

Int	roduct	tion	xii
	The A	Aristotelian Foundation of Argumentation Theory	xix
		Knowledge and Opinion	XX
		Theory and Praxis	XX
		Analytics and Dialectics	xxi
		Topics and Rhetoric	xxi
		Types of Dialogue and Ways of Addressing	XX
	The l	Formation and Deformation of Aristotelian Theory	
	in the	e Philosophical Tradition	xxvi
		On the Concepts of Knowledge and Truth	xxvi
		On the Concepts of Probability and Subjectivity	xxvii
		On Argumentation and Rhetoric	XXX
	Argumentation Theory Under Construction		
		First New Constructions	xxxi
		Some Highlights of Contemporary Argumentation Theory	xlii
	Sketo	ch of the Revised Foundation of the Concept of Argument	li
1	Kno	wledge	
	1.1	Sketch of the Pragmatic Concept of Theory	
	1.2	Action: Praxis	1
	1.3	Theory: The Pragmatic Concept of Theory	10
	1.4	The "Substance" That Theories Are Made of	23
		1.4.1 Concepts	2.
		1.4.2 Propositions	28
		1.4.3 Rules	3
	1.5	Theory Types	32
		1.5.1 Formal Theory	32
		1.5.2 Technical Theory	3.
		1.5.2 Social (Cultural Cultura Forming) Theory	2.

DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BIBLIOTHER x Contents

	1.6	Theory as Knowledge	36		
	1.7	Other Types of Theoretical Bases for Arguments	48		
	1.8	Access to Knowledge: Teaching and Research	51		
2	Rese	earch	55		
	2.1	The Concept of Research	55		
	2.2	The Limit of Orientation as the Place of Research:			
		Question, Problem, and Quaestio	60		
		2.2.1 Are These Problems Occasions for Research?	63		
	2.3	New Theory That Supports New Actions	66		
	2.4	The Thetic Construction	73		
	2.5	It's a Small World	75		
		2.5.1 Assessment of the Entire Construction	76		
		2.5.2 Assessment of the Geographical Thesis	77		
	2.6	The Genesis of Thetic Theory: The Research Project	81		
	2.7	Thetic Theory in Dialogue	85		
3	Subjectivity9				
	3.1	The Question of the Subjective Conditions of Insight	93		
	3.2	Subjectivity as a System	98		
		3.2.1 Self-Reference, Self-Relation, Self-Transparency	98		
		3.2.2 Habitus and Way of Perceiving	103		
	3.3	The Theoretical Level: Orientation System	107		
	3.4	Dynamics of Subjectivity	115		
	3.5	Distance and Commitment in Thetic Speech	120		
4	Basi	c Operations	127		
	4.1	Theory Minimalism, Concept of Argument	127		
		4.1.1 Two Kinds of Validity Claims	132		
	4.2	Asserting: The Thesis	134		
	4.3	Justifying: Reasons and Justifications	142		
	4.4	Criticizing: Objection and Refutation	153		
	4.5	Interaction of the Basic Operations			
		in the Thetic System	162		
	4.6	The Completeness of the Triad of Basic Operations	165		
		4.6.1 The Supposedly Enormous Diversity			
		of Argumentative Operations	166		
		4.6.2 The False Alternative between			
		Justifying and Criticizing	169		
5	Fran	ne Structures	175		
	5.1	A Further Basic Structure	175		
	5.2	Frames as Focus and Interface	177		
		5.2.1 Focusing	177		
		5.2.2 The Interface of Subject and Object	179		
		5.2.3 The Theory-Practice Interface	181		

Contents xi

	5.3	Latency of the Frame	182
		5.3.1 Frame and Aspect	182
		5.3.2 Way of Seeing and Perspective	184
	5.4	The Subjective Constitution of the World,	
		the Worldly Constitution of the Subject	180
	5.5	Frame Differences, Frame Change, Frame Sublation	189
	5.6	What Does It Mean to Work?	19:
	5.7	Emergence and Treatment of Frame Structures	
		in the Practice of Argumentation	20
		5.7.1 How Do Frame Differences Emerge	
		and Take Effect?	20
		5.7.2 Some Strategies for Handling Heterogeneity	20
	5.8	A King on His Way to the Republic	20
		5.8.1 The Problem	20
		5.8.2 The Events from Mid-1791 Until the Death	
		of the King in January 1793	21
		5.8.3 Four Arguments	21
,	D!-1	_	22
6		ogue Events	23 23
	6.1	The System of Moves and Successive Moves	23
	6.2	Four Dimensions	23
		6.2.1 Material Dimension and Subjective Dimension	23
	( )	6.2.2 Procedural Dimension and Structural Dimension	23 24
	6.3	Linear and Retroflexive Argumentation	24
	6.4	Discussion of Pros and Cons	25
		6.4.1 Integration of the Process-Outcome Relationship	
		6.4.2 Integration of the Subject-Matter Relationship	25
7	Valie	dity	26
	7.1	The Intermediate Realm of the Thetic: Preliminary	
		Sketch of the Concept of Thetic Validity	26
	7.2	The Motivational Side of Validity:	
		Communication of Insights	27
	7.3	The Criterial Side of Validity: The Absence	
		of Open Objections	27
	7.4	The Three Stages of Assessing Validity	28
	7.5	The Open Forum of Arguments: Trust as the Pragmatic	
		Function of Validity	28
	7.6	Probable Validity?	29
	7.7	The Concept of Validity as a Thesis	29
		7.7.1 Confronting Universalism	29
		7.7.2 Confronting Relativism	30
		7.7.3 The Relationship to Pluralism	31

xii Contents

8	Argu	ıment Analysis	31:	
	8.1	What Is Argument Analysis?	315	
	8.2	The Problem of Interpretation	317	
		8.2.1 Guide to Argument Analysis	321	
	8.3	Analysis of the Hüppe–Merkel Debate		
		About the Embryo's Right to Life	324	
		8.3.1 Round 1: Main Features of the Positions and Their		
		Possible Roots in the Participants' Biographies	326	
		8.3.2 Round 2: Is it Permissible to Kill Embryos		
		or, Rather, Human Beings to Help Other		
		Human Beings?	331	
		8.3.3 Round 3: Is the Early Embryo		
		a Human Being or Not?	336	
		8.3.4 Round 4: The Issue of Abortion	345	
		8.3.5 Round 5: The Limits of Using Embryos	347	
		8.3.6 Concluding Assessment of the Discussion	358	
9	Reflexivity			
	9.1	The Problem of Constitution	361 361	
	9.2	The Status of Argumentation Theory:	501	
	<u>.</u>	Descriptive or Normative?	367	
	9.3	What Is Reflection?	369	
	9.4	Object- and Meta-level	373	
	9.5	Rational Argumentation	377	
	9.6	Natural, Scientific, and Philosophical Argumentation	384	
	9.7	Normal and Radical Study of Argumentation	389	
4.0		, ,		
10		assubjectivity	393	
	10.1	What Is Reason in Argumentation?	393	
	10.2	The Dynamics of Thetic Theory	403	
	10.3	The Recognition of the Other	407	
	10.4	The Transcendent Core of Secular Reason	412	
Ref	erence	es	421	
Ind	ev		437	