

Contents

List of abbreviations — XV

Introduction

1	Introduction: from quantifying nouns to binominal quantifiers — 3
1.1	Aim 1: Towards a constructional network model of the development of BQs — 5
1.2	Aim 2: Towards a cognitive-functional model of the organization of BQs — 6
1.3	Aim 3: Towards a reassessment of persistence and analogy — 7

Part 1 Preliminaries

2	Framework, state of the art and methodology — 11
2.1	Description of the framework — 11
2.1.1	Definition of five interrelated key-concepts — 12
2.1.1.1	Iconicity — 13
2.1.1.2	Construal — 14
2.1.1.3	Schematicity — 15
2.1.1.4	Subjectivity — 17
2.1.1.5	Construction — 21
2.1.2	Towards a cognitive-functional model of binominal quantifiers — 24
2.1.2.1	Nouns and noun phrases — 24
2.1.2.2	Quantification and quantifiers in noun phrases — 26
2.1.2.3	A cognitive-functional model of binominal quantifiers — 27
2.2	State of the art — 33
2.2.1	Description of QNs in GDLE (Bosque 1999) and in NGLE (RAE 2009) — 34
2.2.2	Synchronic case-studies — 37
2.2.3	Diachronic case-studies — 40
2.2.4	Identification of the head of the construction — 42
2.2.5	Gradient boundaries between partitive, pseudopartitive and appositional binominals — 47
2.2.5.1	Partitive and pseudopartitive constructions — 48
2.2.5.2	Pseudopartitive and appositional constructions — 51
2.3	Methodology — 53

3	Binominal quantifiers as a locus of grammaticalization — 58
3.1	Grammaticalization and grammaticalization theory — 58
3.1.1	Definition — 58
3.1.2	Characteristics — 60
3.1.3	Conditions — 61
3.1.4	Desemanticization and deategorialization — 62
3.1.5	Mechanisms — 64
3.1.6	Parameters — 65
3.1.7	Discussion — 68
3.2	Lexical and functional uses — 69
3.2.1	Head uses of binominal quantifiers — 71
3.2.2	Quantifier uses of binominal quantifiers — 74
3.2.3	Two-way specifier uses of binominal quantifiers — 79
3.2.4	Ambivalent uses — 83
3.2.5	Concluding remarks — 86
3.3	The grammaticalization of binominal quantifier constructions — 89
3.3.1	The underlying conceptual motivation of GR in BQs — 89
3.3.2	The Spanish BQ as a locus of GR: towards the case-studies — 92
3.3.3	Concluding remarks — 96

Part 2 Diachronic case-studies – towards a constructional network model

4	The development of <i>montón de</i>, a typical locus of grammaticalization — 101
4.1	Methodological background — 103
4.2	Encyclopaedic information on <i>montón</i> — 104
4.3	A diachronic corpus analysis of <i>montón</i> — 106
4.3.1	Medieval Spanish: the emergence of quantifying pragmatic inferences — 107
4.3.2	Classical Spanish: the emergence of the specifier use — 115
4.3.2.1	Head uses of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 116
4.3.2.2	Quantifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 119
4.3.2.3	Specifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 124
4.3.2.4	The combinatorial pattern of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 127
4.3.3	Modern Spanish: partial continuation and gradual extension — 131

4.3.3.1	Head uses of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish — 134
4.3.3.2	Quantifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish — 138
4.3.3.3	Specifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish — 140
4.3.3.4	The combinatorial pattern of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish — 143
4.3.4	Present-Day Spanish: advanced grammaticalization stage — 145
4.3.4.1	Head uses of <i>montón de</i> in Present-Day Spanish — 146
4.3.4.2	Quantifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Present-Day Spanish — 151
4.3.4.3	Specifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Present-Day Spanish — 156
4.3.4.4	Conventionalization of the grammaticalized uses — 159
4.3.5	Intermediary conclusion — 162
4.4	Conclusions — 167
5	The role of conceptual persistence and analogy in the development of BQs — 173
5.1	Methodological background — 175
5.2	The development of binominal quantifiers — 178
5.2.1	Outline of the history of <i>pila de</i> — 180
5.2.2	Outline of the history of <i>aluvión de</i> — 184
5.2.3	Outline of the history of <i>letanía de</i> — 188
5.2.4	Outline of the history of <i>(h)atajo de</i> — 192
5.2.5	Outline of the history of <i>barbaridad de</i> — 198
5.2.6	Outline of the history of <i>mar de</i> — 202
5.2.7	Concluding remarks — 219
5.3	The role of persistence and analogy — 225
5.3.1	<i>Persistence and analogy</i> in the extension towards new N1s — 226
5.3.2	<i>Persistence and analogy</i> in the extension towards new N2s — 228
5.3.3	Concluding remarks — 240
5.4	Conclusion — 244
6	Theoretical reflection: a constructional network model of the development of BQs — 247
6.1	The challenges for the diachronic account of BQs — 249
6.1.1	The complex frequency pattern — 249
6.1.2	The formal and semantic persistence — 253
6.1.3	The exceptional role of <i>(un) montón de N2</i> — 259
6.2	The explanatory scope of existing accounts — 264
6.3	The constructional network model revisited — 269
6.3.1	Revisiting the analogical model(s) — 271
6.3.2	The explanatory scope of the redefined analogical model — 274
6.3.3	Revisiting the macro-constructional level — 277

6.3.3.1	Binominal quantity and quality assessment as comparison construals — 277
6.3.3.2	Co-extensiveness as the motivation of the comparison construal — 281
6.3.4	The explanatory scope of the revisited macro-constructional level — 284
6.4	The grammaticalization of the BQ-construction — 291
6.4.1	BQs as the locus of grammaticalization — 291
6.4.2	GR of the binominal quantifier macro-construction — 296
6.5	Conclusion — 298

Part 3 Synchronic case-studies – towards a constructional network model

7	QN-related schematization and N2-profiling — 303
7.1	Methodological background — 305
7.2	Quantification construal in BQs — 307
7.2.1	Construal difference in the minimal pair <i>un alud / aluvión de N2</i> — 312
7.2.1.1	Lexicographical accounts — 312
7.2.1.2	The conceptual image of <i>alud</i> and <i>aluvión de</i> — 313
7.2.1.3	The conceptual images broken down into conceptual facets — 316
7.2.1.4	Differences in the image schemas of <i>alud de</i> and <i>aluvión de</i> — 331
7.2.1.5	Context and combinatorial pattern of <i>alud de</i> and <i>aluvión de</i> — 333
7.2.1.6	Concluding remarks — 338
7.2.2	Construal difference in the minimal pairs <i>una pila/un montón de N2</i> and <i>un montón/mogollón de N2</i> — 339
7.2.2.1	Lexicographical accounts — 340
7.2.2.2	Conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>pila de N2</i> — 342
7.2.2.3	Conceptual image of (<i>un</i>) <i>montón de N2</i> — 347
7.2.2.4	Conceptual image of (<i>un</i>) <i>mogollón de N2</i> — 359
7.2.3	Outline of the conceptual images of the remaining QNs — 368
7.2.3.1	Conceptual image of (<i>un</i>) <i>racimo de N2</i> — 369
7.2.3.1.1	Lexicographical accounts — 369
7.2.3.1.2	The conceptual image of (<i>un</i>) <i>racimo de N2</i> — 369
7.2.3.2	Conceptual image of (<i>un</i>) <i>hatajo de N2</i> — 374
7.2.3.2.1	Lexicographical accounts — 374
7.2.3.2.2	The conceptual image of (<i>un</i>) <i>hatajo de N2</i> — 374

7.2.3.3	Conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>letanía de N2</i> — 381
7.2.3.1	Lexicographical accounts — 381
7.2.3.2	The conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>letanía de N2</i> — 381
7.2.3.4	Conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>barbaridad de N2</i> — 387
7.2.3.4.1	Lexicographical accounts — 387
7.2.3.4.2	The conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>barbaridad de N2</i> — 388
7.2.4	The contribution of the plural morpheme — 392
7.3	Conclusion — 397
8	The motivated nature of the QN-related co-selection patterns — 400
8.1	Morphosyntactic description of the BQ in the literature — 401
8.1.1	Collective or distributive reading — 404
8.1.2	Pluralization of N1 — 406
8.1.3	Suffixation of N1 — 408
8.1.4	Determiner pattern of N1 and N2 — 408
8.1.5	Adjectival modification to N1 and N2 — 411
8.1.6	(Lexical) restriction on N2 — 412
8.1.7	Verb agreement — 413
8.1.7.1	Traditional account of the verb agreement of collective nouns — 413
8.1.7.2	Studies on verb agreement of (pseudo-)partitive constructions — 416
8.1.8	Topicalization and extraposition of the prepositional phrase — 421
8.1.9	The scope of quantification by BQs — 422
8.1.10	Conclusion — 425
8.2	Morphosyntactic variation of BQs in the corpus data — 426
8.2.1	Fluctuation in the N1-determiner pattern — 426
8.2.2	Fluctuation in the premodification pattern — 432
8.2.3	Fluctuation in the N2-pattern — 435
8.2.4	Fluctuation as to verb agreement — 443
8.2.4.1	Methodological note — 445
8.2.4.2	Explanatory scope of the existing accounts — 446
8.2.4.3	Towards the case-study — 449
8.2.4.3.1	Definiteness of N1 — 450
8.2.4.3.2	Distance between the subject and the verb — 451
8.2.4.3.3	Position of the subject with respect to the verb — 452
8.2.4.3.4	Partitive versus pseudopartitive constructions — 453
8.2.4.3.5	Verb agreement in relative clauses — 455
8.2.4.3.6	Type of verb and contextual cues — 456

8.2.4.3.7	Function of N2 — 459
8.2.4.3.8	Concluding remarks — 460
8.2.4.4	CIP as a complementary factor in verb agreement — 461
8.2.4.5	<i>Agreement hierarchy of BQ-constructions</i> — 463
8.3	Conclusion — 465
9	Theoretical reflection: the notion of CIP revisited — 468
9.1	The notion of CIP revisited — 468
9.1.1	CIP as a gradual phenomenon — 468
9.1.2	CIP as an unpredictable phenomenon — 475
9.1.3	CIP as two-way discourse phenomenon — 477
9.2	Theoretical implications for the notion of analogy — 482
9.2.1	The concept of analogy in a nutshell — 483
9.2.2	The notion of analogy revisited — 485
9.2.2.1	The usage-based model of analogy (De Smet 2013) — 485
9.2.2.2	Discourse level as an additional motivation for analogy — 488
9.3	Conclusion — 490
Part 4 Conclusions	
10	Conclusion — 495
10.1	Concluding remarks — 495
10.2	Further research — 498
References	503