

Contents

List of abbreviations — XV

Introduction

- 1 Introduction: from quantifying nouns to binominal quantifiers — 3**
 - 1.1 Aim 1: Towards a constructional network model of the development of BQs — 5
 - 1.2 Aim 2: Towards a cognitive-functional model of the organization of BQs — 6
 - 1.3 Aim 3: Towards a reassessment of persistence and analogy — 7

Part 1 Preliminaries

- 2 Framework, state of the art and methodology — 11**
 - 2.1 Description of the framework — 11
 - 2.1.1 Definition of five interrelated key-concepts — 12
 - 2.1.1.1 Iconicity — 13
 - 2.1.1.2 Construal — 14
 - 2.1.1.3 Schematicity — 15
 - 2.1.1.4 Subjectivity — 17
 - 2.1.1.5 Construction — 21
 - 2.1.2 Towards a cognitive-functional model of binominal quantifiers — 24
 - 2.1.2.1 Nouns and noun phrases — 24
 - 2.1.2.2 Quantification and quantifiers in noun phrases — 26
 - 2.1.2.3 A cognitive-functional model of binominal quantifiers — 27
 - 2.2 State of the art — 33
 - 2.2.1 Description of QNs in GDLE (Bosque 1999) and in NGLE (RAE 2009) — 34
 - 2.2.2 Synchronic case-studies — 37
 - 2.2.3 Diachronic case-studies — 40
 - 2.2.4 Identification of the head of the construction — 42
 - 2.2.5 Gradient boundaries between partitive, pseudopartitive and appositional binominals — 47
 - 2.2.5.1 Partitive and pseudopartitive constructions — 48
 - 2.2.5.2 Pseudopartitive and appositional constructions — 51
 - 2.3 Methodology — 53

3	Binominal quantifiers as a locus of grammaticalization — 58
3.1	Grammaticalization and grammaticalization theory — 58
3.1.1	Definition — 58
3.1.2	Characteristics — 60
3.1.3	Conditions — 61
3.1.4	Desemanticization and decategorialization — 62
3.1.5	Mechanisms — 64
3.1.6	Parameters — 65
3.1.7	Discussion — 68
3.2	Lexical and functional uses — 69
3.2.1	Head uses of binominal quantifiers — 71
3.2.2	Quantifier uses of binominal quantifiers — 74
3.2.3	Two-way specifier uses of binominal quantifiers — 79
3.2.4	Ambivalent uses — 83
3.2.5	Concluding remarks — 86
3.3	The grammaticalization of binominal quantifier constructions — 89
3.3.1	The underlying conceptual motivation of GR in BQs — 89
3.3.2	The Spanish BQ as a locus of GR: towards the case-studies — 92
3.3.3	Concluding remarks — 96

Part 2 Diachronic case-studies – towards a constructional network model

4	The development of <i>montón de</i>, a typical locus of grammaticalization — 101
4.1	Methodological background — 103
4.2	Encyclopaedic information on <i>montón</i> — 104
4.3	A diachronic corpus analysis of <i>montón</i> — 106
4.3.1	Medieval Spanish: the emergence of quantifying pragmatic inferences — 107
4.3.2	Classical Spanish: the emergence of the specifier use — 115
4.3.2.1	Head uses of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 116
4.3.2.2	Quantifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 119
4.3.2.3	Specifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 124
4.3.2.4	The combinatorial pattern of <i>montón de</i> in Classical Spanish — 127
4.3.3	Modern Spanish: partial continuation and gradual extension — 131

4.3.3.1	Head uses of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish —	134
4.3.3.2	Quantifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish —	138
4.3.3.3	Specifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish —	140
4.3.3.4	The combinatorial pattern of <i>montón de</i> in Modern Spanish —	143
4.3.4	Present-Day Spanish: advanced grammaticalization stage —	145
4.3.4.1	Head uses of <i>montón de</i> in Present-Day Spanish —	146
4.3.4.2	Quantifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Present-Day Spanish —	151
4.3.4.3	Specifying uses of <i>montón de</i> in Present-Day Spanish —	156
4.3.4.4	Conventionalization of the grammaticalized uses —	159
4.3.5	Intermediary conclusion —	162
4.4	Conclusions —	167
5	The role of conceptual persistence and analogy in the development of BQs —	173
5.1	Methodological background —	175
5.2	The development of binominal quantifiers —	178
5.2.1	Outline of the history of <i>pila de</i> —	180
5.2.2	Outline of the history of <i>aluvión de</i> —	184
5.2.3	Outline of the history of <i>letanía de</i> —	188
5.2.4	Outline of the history of <i>(h)atajo de</i> —	192
5.2.5	Outline of the history of <i>barbaridad de</i> —	198
5.2.6	Outline of the history of <i>mar de</i> —	202
5.2.7	Concluding remarks —	219
5.3	The role of persistence and analogy —	225
5.3.1	<i>Persistence</i> and <i>analogy</i> in the extension towards new N1s —	226
5.3.2	<i>Persistence</i> and <i>analogy</i> in the extension towards new N2s —	228
5.3.3	Concluding remarks —	240
5.4	Conclusion —	244
6	Theoretical reflection: a constructional network model of the development of BQs —	247
6.1	The challenges for the diachronic account of BQs —	249
6.1.1	The complex frequency pattern —	249
6.1.2	The formal and semantic persistence —	253
6.1.3	The exceptional role of <i>(un) montón de</i> N2 —	259
6.2	The explanatory scope of existing accounts —	264
6.3	The constructional network model revisited —	269
6.3.1	Revisiting the analogical model(s) —	271
6.3.2	The explanatory scope of the redefined analogical model —	274
6.3.3	Revisiting the macro-constructional level —	277

- 6.3.3.1 Binominal quantity and quality assessment as comparison construals — **277**
- 6.3.3.2 Co-extensiveness as the motivation of the comparison construal — **281**
- 6.3.4 The explanatory scope of the revisited macro-constructual level — **284**
- 6.4 The grammaticalization of the BQ-construction — **291**
- 6.4.1 BQs as the locus of grammaticalization — **291**
- 6.4.2 GR of the binominal quantifier macro-construction — **296**
- 6.5 Conclusion — **298**

Part 3 Synchronic case-studies – towards a constructional network model

- 7 QN-related schematization and N2-profiling — 303**
 - 7.1 Methodological background — **305**
 - 7.2 Quantification construal in BQs — **307**
 - 7.2.1 Construal difference in the minimal pair *un alud* / *aluvión de N2* — **312**
 - 7.2.1.1 Lexicographical accounts — **312**
 - 7.2.1.2 The conceptual image of *alud* and *aluvión de* — **313**
 - 7.2.1.3 The conceptual images broken down into conceptual facets — **316**
 - 7.2.1.4 Differences in the image schemas of *alud de* and *aluvión de* — **331**
 - 7.2.1.5 Context and combinatorial pattern of *alud de* and *aluvión de* — **333**
 - 7.2.1.6 Concluding remarks — **338**
 - 7.2.2 Construal difference in the minimal pairs *una pila/un montón de N2* and *un montón/mogollón de N2* — **339**
 - 7.2.2.1 Lexicographical accounts — **340**
 - 7.2.2.2 Conceptual image of *(una) pila de N2* — **342**
 - 7.2.2.3 Conceptual image of *(un) montón de N2* — **347**
 - 7.2.2.4 Conceptual image of *(un) mogollón de N2* — **359**
 - 7.2.3 Outline of the conceptual images of the remaining QNs — **368**
 - 7.2.3.1 Conceptual image of *(un) racimo de N2* — **369**
 - 7.2.3.1.1 Lexicographical accounts — **369**
 - 7.2.3.1.2 The conceptual image of *(un) racimo de N2* — **369**
 - 7.2.3.2 Conceptual image of *(un) hatajo de N2* — **374**
 - 7.2.3.2.1 Lexicographical accounts — **374**
 - 7.2.3.2.2 The conceptual image of *(un) hatajo de N2* — **374**

7.2.3.3	Conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>letanía de N2</i> —	381
7.2.3.3.1	Lexicographical accounts —	381
7.2.3.3.2	The conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>letanía de N2</i> —	381
7.2.3.4	Conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>barbaridad de N2</i> —	387
7.2.3.4.1	Lexicographical accounts —	387
7.2.3.4.2	The conceptual image of (<i>una</i>) <i>barbaridad de N2</i> —	388
7.2.4	The contribution of the plural morpheme —	392
7.3	Conclusion —	397
8	The motivated nature of the QN-related co-selection patterns —	400
8.1	Morphosyntactic description of the BQ in the literature —	401
8.1.1	Collective or distributive reading —	404
8.1.2	Pluralization of N1 —	406
8.1.3	Suffixation of N1 —	408
8.1.4	Determiner pattern of N1 and N2 —	408
8.1.5	Adjectival modification to N1 and N2 —	411
8.1.6	(Lexical) restriction on N2 —	412
8.1.7	Verb agreement —	413
8.1.7.1	Traditional account of the verb agreement of collective nouns —	413
8.1.7.2	Studies on verb agreement of (pseudo-)partitive constructions —	416
8.1.8	Topicalization and extraposition of the prepositional phrase —	421
8.1.9	The scope of quantification by BQs —	422
8.1.10	Conclusion —	425
8.2	Morphosyntactic variation of BQs in the corpus data —	426
8.2.1	Fluctuation in the N1-determiner pattern —	426
8.2.2	Fluctuation in the premodification pattern —	432
8.2.3	Fluctuation in the N2-pattern —	435
8.2.4	Fluctuation as to verb agreement —	443
8.2.4.1	Methodological note —	445
8.2.4.2	Explanatory scope of the existing accounts —	446
8.2.4.3	Towards the case-study —	449
8.2.4.3.1	Definiteness of N1 —	450
8.2.4.3.2	Distance between the subject and the verb —	451
8.2.4.3.3	Position of the subject with respect to the verb —	452
8.2.4.3.4	Partitive versus pseudopartitive constructions —	453
8.2.4.3.5	Verb agreement in relative clauses —	455
8.2.4.3.6	Type of verb and contextual cues —	456

8.2.4.3.7	Function of N2 —	459
8.2.4.3.8	Concluding remarks —	460
8.2.4.4	CIP as a complementary factor in verb agreement —	461
8.2.4.5	<i>Agreement hierarchy</i> of BQ-constructions —	463
8.3	Conclusion —	465
9	Theoretical reflection: the notion of CIP revisited —	468
9.1	The notion of CIP revisited —	468
9.1.1	CIP as a gradual phenomenon —	468
9.1.2	CIP as an unpredictable phenomenon —	475
9.1.3	CIP as two-way discourse phenomenon —	477
9.2	Theoretical implications for the notion of analogy —	482
9.2.1	The concept of analogy in a nutshell —	483
9.2.2	The notion of analogy revisited —	485
9.2.2.1	The usage-based model of analogy (De Smet 2013) —	485
9.2.2.2	Discourse level as an additional motivation for analogy —	488
9.3	Conclusion —	490

Part 4 Conclusions

10	Conclusion —	495
10.1	Concluding remarks —	495
10.2	Further research —	498

References —	503
---------------------	------------