

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction to the Book	1
1.1.1	Literature and Articles Used	6
1.1.2	Elaborations of this Book Compared with the Dissertation	9
1.1.3	Similarities and Differences of this Book Compared with the Dissertation	11
1.1.4	Introduction to the Theory of the Methodology of Societal Complexity	12
	References	15
2	Design of the Study	17
2.1	Motivation of the Theme	17
2.2	Defining the Main Concepts	18
2.2.1	What Are Complex Interdisciplinary Societal Problems?	19
2.2.2	General Characteristics of Complex Societal Problems	21
2.2.3	Defining, Changing, and Handling a Problem	22
2.3	Scientific Importance of the Study	23
2.4	Importance of the Study for Society	23
2.5	Kind of Study	24
2.6	Formulation of the Research Problem	24
2.7	Research Questions	26
2.8	Method of Research	26
2.9	Theoretical Points of View	28
2.10	Constraints and Bottlenecks	29
2.10.1	Research Constraints	29
2.10.2	Bottlenecks in Problem Handling	30
2.11	Theme of the Study	31

2.12	Conclusions	32
	References	32
3	Complex Societal Problems	35
3.1	Definition of a General Problem	36
3.2	Problems and Problem Handling	38
3.2.1	Different Kinds of Problems	38
3.2.2	Problem Handling	49
3.3	When Is a Problem Solved?	51
3.4	When Is Something a Problem?	53
3.5	For Whom Is It a Problem?	53
3.6	Interventions	54
3.7	Who Should Handle the Problem?	54
3.8	Research Question a1	55
3.8.1	To Summarize	55
3.9	Research Question a2	56
3.9.1	Problem Solving in Cognitive Psychology	56
3.9.2	Selz and Bahle	56
3.9.3	De Groot and Problem Solving in Chess	58
3.9.4	Newell and Simon's Theory of Problem Solving	61
3.9.5	Reflecting These Theories of Problem Solving	65
3.9.6	Our Ideas About Problem Handling	66
3.10	Differences Between problems Dealt with in Research in Cognitive Psychology and Problems in the Field of the Theory of the Methodology of Societal Complexity	69
3.11	Definition of a Complex Societal Problem	72
3.12	Research Question a3	72
3.12.1	Comment	72
3.13	Conclusions	75
	References	77
4	Human Problem Handling	81
4.1	Sub-cycles and Phases in the Problem Handling Process	82
4.2	The Necessity of Performing All Problem Handling Phases in the Prescribed Order	85
4.3	The First Sub-cycle of Problem Handling: Defining a Problem	86
4.3.1	Problem Handling Phase 1.1: Becoming Aware of the Problem and Forming a (Vague) Mental Model	86
4.3.2	Information Processing and Mental Ideas	87
4.3.3	From a Mental Idea to a Conceptual Model of the Problem	89
4.3.4	Problem Handling Phase 1.2: Extending the Mental Idea by Hearing, Thinking, Reading, Observing, Discussing, and Asking Questions	91

4.3.5	Problem Handling Phase 1.5: Gathering Data, Exchanging Knowledge, and Formulating Hypotheses	92
4.3.6	Making a Model of a Problem	94
4.3.7	Problem Handling Phase 1.6: Formulating the Conceptual Model	103
4.3.8	The Aggregation Level of a Problem	105
4.3.9	The Scope of a Problem	107
4.3.10	The Relation Between the Problem Definition and Interventions	111
4.4	The Second Sub-cycle of Problem Handling: Changing the Problem	113
4.4.1	Problem Handling Phase 2.1: Constructing the Empirical Model and Establishing the Desired Goal	113
4.4.2	Problem Handling Phase 2.2: Defining the Handling Space	116
4.4.3	Problem Handling Phase 2.3: Constructing and Evaluating Scenarios	120
4.4.4	Problem Handling Phase 2.4: Formulating Hypotheses and Suggesting Interventions	130
4.4.5	Problem Handling Phase 2.5: Implementing Interventions	131
4.4.6	Problem Handling Phase 2.6: Evaluating the Interventions and the Problem Handling Process . . .	132
4.4.7	An Iterative Approach	132
4.5	Problem Handling Cycles and Phases of Other Researchers . . .	133
4.5.1	The Sequence of Problem Handling Phases	135
4.6	Rationality in Problem Handling	136
4.6.1	Decision Making	137
4.7	Rational Problem Handling Techniques	140
4.7.1	Trial and Error	140
4.7.2	Algorithm	140
4.7.3	Heuristic	142
4.7.4	General Problem Handling Techniques and Domain-Related Problem Handling Techniques . . .	143
4.8	Knowledge	143
4.8.1	Knowledge for Problem Handling	144
4.8.2	Different Levels of Knowledge	145
4.9	Conclusions	146
	References	149
5	Group Decision Support Systems	155
5.1	Artificial Intelligence and Conventional Computer Programs: Research Question b	157

5.2	Computer Tools to Support Handling Complex Societal Problems	157
5.2.1	System Dynamic Modeling	158
5.3	Management Information Systems and Decision Support Systems	168
5.3.1	What Are the Theoretical Ideas Behind Decision Support Systems?	170
5.3.2	Which Kind of Problems Can Be Supported by a Decision Support System?	171
5.4	Group Decision Support Systems: Research Question b1	172
5.5	The Performance of Group Decision Support Systems	174
5.6	Group Decision Support Systems in Relation to Handling Complex Societal Problems: Research Question b2	178
5.7	Supporting the Problem Handling Process with a Group Decision Support System	178
5.8	Preparing Sessions for a Problem-Handling Process with a Group Decision Support System	180
5.9	Some Examples of Questions Handled with a Group Decision Support System	181
5.10	Same Time Different Places	182
5.11	Conclusions	182
	References	183
6	Methodological Demands for Handling Complex Societal Problems	187
6.1	Finding a Problem	188
6.1.1	Awareness of the Problem	188
6.1.2	Whose Problem Is It and Who Is Going to Handle the Problem?	190
6.1.3	What Kind of Problem Is It?	191
6.2	Starting to Handle Complex Societal Problems	191
6.2.1	Cooperative Problem Handling	191
6.2.2	Gathering Information	192
6.2.3	Positive Aspects of Cooperative Problem Handling	194
6.2.4	Negative Aspects of Cooperative Problem Handling	195
6.2.5	The Group Must Be Guided	200
6.2.6	Selecting Experts	201
6.3	How to Construct a Conceptual Model	203
6.3.1	What Activities Are Needed to Define a Problem?	203
6.3.2	Words	204
6.3.3	Knowledge Status	204
6.3.4	Knowledge Islands	205
6.3.5	Semantic Model	205
6.3.6	Causal Model	205
6.3.7	System Dynamic Simulation Model	205
6.3.8	Languages	206

6.4	How Will the Problem Be Defined?	206
6.4.1	Consensus About the Model?	206
6.5	Changing the Problem	207
6.6	A List of Conditions for a Methodology for Handling Complex Societal Problems	208
6.7	Conclusions	217
	References	218
7	The Compram Methodology: A Methodology for Policymaking for Complex Societal Problems	221
7.1	The Aim, Range and Content of the Compram Methodology . . .	221
7.2	The Assumptions of the Compram Methodology	222
7.2.1	Limitations of the Compram Methodology	224
7.3	The Compram Methodology and the Problem Handling Phases	224
7.4	The Steps of the Compram Methodology	225
7.4.1	Step One of the Compram Methodology: Knowledge	226
7.4.2	Step Two of the Compram Methodology: Power . . .	228
7.4.3	Step Three of the Compram Methodology: Mutual Agreement	229
7.4.4	Step Four of the Compram Methodology: Societal Reactions	229
7.4.5	Step Five of the Compram Methodology: Implementation	230
7.4.6	Step Six of the Compram Methodology: Evaluation . . .	230
7.5	A Special Tool of the Compram Methodology: The Seven-Layer Communication Model	230
7.5.1	The Seven-Layer Communication Model in Detail . . .	233
7.6	An Example of the Use of the Seven-layer Communication Model with Group Support Tool and Cope	236
7.6.1	The Case Study	237
7.6.2	Testing the Seven-layer Communication Model for Evaluating Projects Proposals	239
7.6.3	Result	241
7.6.4	Answering the Questions of the Session in the Group Decision Room	241
7.6.5	The Connection Between Group Systems V and Cope	243
7.7	From a Conceptual Model to an Empirical Model	243
7.7.1	From an Empirical Model to a Scenario	245
7.8	The Roles in the Compram Methodology	246
7.8.1	The Problem Owner	246
7.8.2	The Role of the Facilitator	247

7.8.3	The Experts	252
7.8.4	The Actors	254
7.9	Conclusions	256
	References	257
8	Global Safety and Healthcare: The HIV/AIDS Problem	259
8.1	What Are the Causes of Complex Societal Problems?	260
8.2	Global Safety A Container Concept	260
8.3	Healthcare	261
8.4	A Description of the Compram Methodology Applied on the HIV/Aids Problem	262
8.5	Start of the Problem Handling Process: Problem Handling Phases 1.1–1.3	264
8.6	Problem Handling Phase 1.4: Forming A Problem Handling Team and Starting to Analyze the Problem	265
8.7	Step One of the Compram Methodology: Session One	265
8.7.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session One: Preparation Session P1	266
8.7.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session One: Group Session G1	276
8.8	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Two	280
8.8.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Two: Preparation Session P2	281
8.8.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Two: Group Session G2	284
8.9	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Three	289
8.9.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Three: Preparation Session P3	290
8.9.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Three: Group Session G3	292
8.10	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Four	296
8.10.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Four: Preparation Session P4	297
8.10.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Four: Group Session G4	299
8.11	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Five	301
8.11.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Five: Preparation Session P5	301
8.11.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Five: Group Session G5	303
8.12	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Six	306
8.12.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Six: Preparation Session P6	306
8.12.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Six: Group Session G6	308

8.13	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Seven	309
8.13.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Seven: Preparation Session P7	310
8.13.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Seven: Group Session G7	310
8.14	Step One Compram Methodology; Session Eight	312
8.14.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Eight: Preparation Session P8	312
8.14.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Eight: Group Session G8	313
8.15	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Nine	314
8.15.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Nine: Preparation Session P9	315
8.15.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Nine: Group Session G9	315
8.16	Step One Compram Methodology: Session Ten	316
8.16.1	Step One Compram Methodology Session Ten: Preparation Session P10	316
8.16.2	Step One Compram Methodology Session Ten: Group Session G10	317
8.17	Conclusions	319
	References	320
9	Global Safety and Sustainable Development	325
9.1	Sustainable Development	325
9.2	Sustainable Agriculture	326
9.3	Examples of Agricultural-Based Threats	327
9.4	Sustainable Industry	328
9.5	Changing Towards Sustainable Development: The Desired Goal	330
9.6	A Sustainable World	333
9.7	To a New Evaluation of a Society: Instead of GNP the National Quality of Life	334
9.8	Handling Space	337
9.9	Conclusions	338
	References	339
10	Global Safety and Economy: The Credit Crisis	341
10.1	The Unequal Distribution of Wealth and Power	342
10.2	Ideal of Democracy: Equal Chances for All People	343
10.3	Capitalism and Democracy	344
10.4	Corruption, Illegal Activities, and Tolerance	346
10.5	The Credit Crisis of 2008: A Case Study	346
10.6	The Credit Crisis of 2008: What Is Happening?	347
10.7	What Started the Credit Crisis of 2008?	348

10.8	The Actors of the Credit Crisis	350
10.9	The Business Banks	352
10.10	The Role of the Retail Bank	353
10.11	The Role of the Private Equity Funds and Hedge Funds	356
10.12	The Role of the Shareholders	357
10.13	The Role of the Employee in the Financial System	358
10.14	The Stock Market	359
10.15	The Role of the Worldwide Financial System	360
10.16	People Who Borrow Money	360
10.17	The Role of the Controllers	361
10.18	The Role of the Government	362
10.19	The Fifth Category Actors	364
10.20	The Credit Crisis Handled by the Compram Methodology Continued	365
10.21	Conclusions	372
	Appendix: Financial Legislations in the USA Between 1938 and 1995	373
	References	374
11	Global Safety and Climate Change	377
11.1	Is the Climate Changing?	377
11.2	Is the Climate Issue a Complex Societal Problem?	378
11.2.1	Phases 1.1 and 1.2 of the Problem Handling Process	378
11.2.2	Indicators of Recent Faster Climate Change: Fast Global Temperature Rise	380
11.2.3	What Are the Effects of the Rising Temperature?	381
11.2.4	Why Worry About the Rising of the Global Temperature?	381
11.2.5	Causes of Climate Change	382
11.2.6	Is the Climate Issue a Complex Societal Problem?	383
11.3	Phase 1.3 of the Problem Handling Process: Putting the Issue on a Political Agenda and Taking the Decision to Handle It—the Problem Owner	386
11.4	The Compram Methodology: A Methodology to Handle Societal Complexity	387
11.4.1	The Three Basic Elements of a Complex Societal Problem in the Compram Methodology: Knowledge, Power, and Emotion	387
11.5	Step 1 of the Compram Methodology and Phases 1.4 to 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process	390
11.5.1	Step 1.1 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.4 of the Problem Handling Process: The Facilitator and the Experts	390

11.5.2	Why Invite Experts Instead of Actors	391
11.5.3	The Role of the Facilitator	391
11.5.4	Step 1.2 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Each Expert Gives Her/His Point of View on the Climate Issue	392
11.6	Step 1.3 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.5 of the Problem Handling Process: Data Gathering, Exchanging Knowledge, and Forming Hypotheses by the Experts	393
11.7	Step 1.4 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.6 of the Problem Handling Process: Formulating the Conceptual Model of the Problem, Defining the Problem by the Experts	393
11.8	Step 1.5 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.1 of the Problem Handling Process: Constructing the Empirical Model of the Problem and Establishing the Desired Goal	394
11.9	Step 1.6 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.2 of the Problem Handling Process: Exploring the Handling Space by Experts	395
11.10	Step 1.7 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.3 of the Problem Handling Process: Constructing and Evaluating Scenarios by Experts	396
11.11	Step 1.8 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Suggesting Interventions by Experts	396
11.12	Step 2 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Inviting Actors	397
11.12.1	Step 2.1 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Inviting Actors . . .	397
11.12.2	Step 2.2 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 of the Problem Handling Process: Formulating the Problem by the Actors	399
11.12.3	Step 2.3 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.1 of the Problem Handling Process: Filling the Empirical Model and Formulating the Desired Goal by the Actors	399
11.12.4	Step 2.4 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.2 of the Problem Handling Process: Discussing the Handling Space by the Actors	399
11.12.5	Step 2.5 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.3 of the Problem Handling Process: Discussing Scenarios by the Actors	400
11.12.6	Step 2.6 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Discussing Interventions by Actors	400

- 11.13 Step 3 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: The Experts and Actors Together—The Power Game 400
 - 11.13.1 Step 3.1 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Comparing the Points of View Between the Actors and Between the Actors and the Experts by the Experts 400
 - 11.13.2 Step 3.2 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Inform Each Group About the Points of View of Others 401
 - 11.13.3 Step 3.3 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: Make a Power Overview by the Experts 401
 - 11.13.4 Step 3.4 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.4 of the Problem Handling Process: The Negotiations by the Experts and the Actors 402
- 11.14 Step 4 of the Compram Methodology: Anticipation of the Political Reactions by Political Experts and Anticipation of Societal Reactions by “the People” 403
- 11.15 Step 5 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.5 of the Problem Handling Process: Implementation of the Interventions 404
- 11.16 Step 6 of the Compram Methodology and Phase 2.6 of the Problem Handling Process: Evaluation of Changes of the Problem of Climate Change and Evaluation of the Problem Handling Process by the Team of Experts and Actors 404
- 11.17 Conclusions 405
- References 406
- 12 Global Safety and Terrorism and Large City Problems 411**
 - 12.1 Handling Terrorism 412
 - 12.2 War and Terrorism: An Introduction 412
 - 12.3 The Influence of People on the Policy of the Government 415
 - 12.4 Big Brother is Watching You 416
 - 12.5 Causes of Terrorism 418
 - 12.6 What Makes a City a City? 418
 - 12.7 Immigration of Less Educated People into Highly Developed Large Cities: A Complex Societal Problem 420
 - 12.8 Immigration in Cities to The Netherlands 422
 - 12.8.1 Some Large City Problems Related to New Comers . . . 423
 - 12.8.2 Amsterdam 425
 - 12.9 Safety in Large Cities 425
 - 12.10 Evaluating Interventions in Dutch Large Cities: A Case Study . . . 427
 - 12.11 The Quality of Life in a City 428

12.11.1	The Distinctions of Bourdieu Applied to a City	429
12.12	Increasing the Standard of Living	432
12.13	The Role of Religion	432
12.14	Handling Space	434
12.14.1	First Level of Handling Space	434
12.14.2	Second Level of Handling Space	435
12.14.3	Third Level of Handling Space	435
12.15	The Balaban Project in Turkey	436
12.16	Is the City Able and Authorized to Handle Its Own Problems?	438
12.17	Conclusions	439
	References	441
13	Implementation: Ethical Aspects, Validation, and Testing of Complex Societal Methodologies	445
13.1	Problem Handling Phase 2.5: Implementing Interventions	445
13.2	Included and Excluded Actors	448
13.3	Different Kinds of Interventions	449
13.4	What Kinds of Oppositions Are There?	449
13.5	Legally, Semi-Legally, and Illegally Based Power	450
13.6	Emotions	450
13.7	Is Opposition Structural or Incidental?	451
13.8	Reasons for Opposition	452
13.9	Avoiding Opposition	453
13.10	Handling Opposition	454
13.11	An Example of Opposition to a Large Project: The Flood Case in The Netherlands	457
13.11.1	Meandering	458
13.11.2	Flooding	458
13.12	Governmental Politics of Handling Floods	459
13.13	The Schiphol Case	460
13.14	The HSL Case	461
13.14.1	Were the Problems Defined?	462
13.15	Better Handling Using the Compram Methodology	462
13.16	Ethical Aspects in Handling Complex Societal Problems	463
13.17	Ethical Aspects in the Compram Methodology	464
13.18	Transparency	465
13.19	Validation	466
13.20	External Validity	467
13.21	Internal Validity: The Bijlmermeer Disaster in 1993	468
13.22	How to Validate Policy Research of Complex Societal Problems	470
13.23	Boundary and Report Validity	470
13.23.1	Boundary Validity: The Schiphol Case	471
13.23.2	Boundary Validity: Avalanches in the Alps in 1999	472
13.23.3	Boundary Validity: Floods Cases in The Netherlands	472

13.24 Report Validity 473

13.25 Report Validation: A Discussion 474

13.26 Ethical Questions on Report Validation 475

13.27 How Can Methodologies for Handling Real-Life Problems
Be Tested: A Scientific Dilemma 477

13.28 Testing Methodologies for Handling Real-Life Problems 479

13.28.1 Testing the Compram Methodology 480

13.29 Testing the Compram Methodology in Relation to the River
Flood Case 482

13.30 Conclusions 485

References 485

14 Policymaking and Summary 491

14.1 Policymakers and Complex Societal Problems 491

14.2 Complex Societal Problems Are Not Handled Optimally 492

14.3 Policymaking and the Problem Handling Phases 1.1–1.3 494

14.3.1 Problem Handling Phase 1.1: Awareness of
a Problem 494

14.3.2 Problem Handling Phase 1.2: Mental Idea 496

14.3.3 Problem Handling Phase 1.3: Political Agenda 497

14.4 Handling Complex Societal Problems 497

14.5 Policymakers: Jump to Conclusions 498

14.6 Complex Societal Problems: Unpredictable 499

14.7 Are Policymakers Educated for Their Task? 499

14.8 Creative Problem Solving 501

14.9 To a Safer World 502

14.10 The OECD Report on Global Safety 503

14.11 Establishing Research Institutes for Global Safety 503

14.12 Multidisciplinary Knowledge Institutes 506

14.13 Discussion: Handling Complex Societal Problems to Provide
Benefit for All? 507

14.14 Summary 508

14.15 Future Research 514

References 515

References 521

Index 549