

Contents

1	Intellectual Property: Concept, History, and Contentions.....	1
1.1	The Concept of Intellectual Property	1
1.2	A Brief History of Intellectual Property	2
1.3	The Contentions and Implications of IPR Protection	5
1.4	China's TRIPS Compliance and the Jurisprudential Significances	7
	References.....	9
2	Private-Public Dynamics: The Paradox of Intellectual Property Philosophy.....	11
2.1	The Nature and the Theoretical Basis of Intellectual Property Rights	12
2.1.1	The Nature of Intellectual Property Rights.....	12
2.1.2	IPRs' Theoretical Basis: The Significance and Limit of Property Rights	17
2.2	Formation of Intellectual Property: The Circular “Founding Violence”.....	23
2.2.1	Historical Construction: From Privileges to Rights.....	23
2.2.2	The Circular Construction of Intellectual Property Rights	25
2.2.3	The Injection of the “Founding Violence”	27
2.3	Alienation of Intellectual Property: The Violence Against Founding Violence.....	29
2.3.1	Alienation as Violence: The Second Violence	29
2.3.2	Alienation as Justice: The Violence Against Founding Violence.....	32
2.3.3	Constant Deconstruction as Justice.....	34

2.4	The Paradoxical Dynamics in Function.....	36
2.4.1	Domestic Paradoxical Dynamics: Biting the Hand that Feeds.....	36
2.4.2	International Paradoxical Dynamics: IPRs Versus Public Health	38
	References.....	41
3	Public-Private Dynamics in China's IP Regime and TRIPS Compliance	45
3.1	Intellectual Creations in Ancient China: A Brief Historical Account	46
3.1.1	Intellectual Property Law in China: From Ancient to Modern.....	46
3.1.2	“Elegant Offense” Versus “Fair Use”.....	49
3.2	The Three-Stage Evolution and the Delicate Public-Private Balance.....	53
3.2.1	Stage One: The 1950s’ Collective Orientation and the Public-Private Dynamics.....	53
3.2.2	Stage Two: The Dominance of the Public Concern in the 1960s	56
3.2.3	Stage Three: Post-1984 Evolution and the Delicate Public-Private Balance	58
3.3	Olympic Marks Protection: One World Different Dreams	63
3.3.1	USA and Canada: Private Rights with Private Teeth	63
3.3.2	China: Public Protection for Private Rights	69
3.4	The US-China Dynamics and China's TRIPS Compliance	72
3.4.1	US-China Dynamics and China's Aggressive IPR Administration	72
3.4.2	<i>Ex Officio</i> Action Under TRIPS	77
3.4.3	China's TRIPS Implementation: Legitimate Nonuniform Compliance	81
	References.....	94
4	IP Perceptions Survey: The Dynamics in Reality	97
4.1	The Legal System as Socially Derived Cultural Product.....	97
4.2	An Overview: Hypothesis and Methods	99
4.2.1	Hypothesis: Cultural Imperatives, Legal Orientations, and IPR Protection	99
4.2.2	Research Methods: Method and Participants Overview	101
4.3	Measures, Reliabilities, and Mean Differences	102
4.3.1	Cultural Imperatives.....	102
4.3.2	Legal Orientations.....	104
4.3.3	IP Right Protection.....	107

4.4	Further Discussion: Culture, Legal Orientations, and IPRs.....	109
4.4.1	The Dynamics Between Private Property, Legal Relativism, and IP Protection	109
4.4.2	Perception Dynamics: Interdependence, Private Rights, and Certainty of Law	112
	References.....	113
5	TRIPS' Legitimacy Deficit and the Myth of Modern Law.....	115
5.1	TRIPS and the Development Deficit: The Cult of Knowledge and Progress	116
5.1.1	TRIPS' Negative Consequences for Developing Countries	116
5.1.2	TRIPS and the “Tech-Knowledgization” of the Development Deficit	119
5.2	The Self-Sufficient Ontological Myth and the Author Function	122
5.2.1	TRIPS for China: Economic Opportunity or Ontological Challenge	122
5.2.2	The Self-Sufficient Ontological Myth of Intellectual Property Philosophy	125
5.2.3	Author Function and the Enlightenment’s Obsession with Origin.....	128
5.3	The Self-Sufficient Legitimacy Deficit of Modern Law	130
5.3.1	The Power of Precedent: Law’s Constant Return to Origin.....	130
5.3.2	The Oppressive Assimilation Force and Modern Law’s Legitimacy Deficit	133
5.3.3	Mythology of Modern Law: The Self-Sufficient Legitimacy Deficit.....	136
5.4	Critique Through “Selective Adaptation” and a New Theory of Legitimacy.....	137
5.4.1	Contractarian Legitimacy and the Significance of Consent.....	137
5.4.2	The Evolving Nature of Member Consent in the WTO Framework	140
5.4.3	Critique: Something More than Consent	143
5.4.4	Selective Adaptation as the Quest for Legitimacy	146
5.4.5	Cultural Relativism’s Limit and Limit’s Cultural Relativism.....	149
	References.....	154
6	Conclusion: Ontology, Legitimacy, and Time.....	159
	References.....	166
	Further Reading.....	167
	Cases and Laws.....	167
	WTO Documents and Cases	168