# SYNOPTIC TABLE OF CONTENTS

*Volume 1: Interpretation*

NOTES 1

HARRIOT, GALILEO, AND PRECLASSICAL MECHANICS: AN INTRODUCTION 3

## PART ONE
## HARRIOT'S WORK ON MOTION IN CONTEXT

1 THOMAS HARRIOT: PRACTICAL MATHEMATICIAN AND PIONEER OF MODERN SCIENCE 15

2 HARRIOT AND THE CHALLENGE OF PROJECTILE MOTION 25

3 AN INTRODUCTION TO HARRIOT'S MANUSCRIPTS ON MOTION 39

## PART TWO
## MAJOR STRANDS OF HARRIOT'S WORK ON MOTION

4 MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MOTION OF FALL 53

5 FREE FALL EXPERIMENTS AND THEIR EVALUATION: THE "WEIGHT" OF FALLING BODIES 97

SUMMARY OF CHAPTERS 4 AND 5 125

6 FREE FALL EXPERIMENTS AND THEIR EVALUATION: MOTION IN A MEDIUM 133

SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 6 149

7 GRAPHICAL CONSTRUCTION OF PROJECTILE TRAJECTORIES 153

8 EXPLORATION OF THE INCLINED-PLANE CONCEPTION OF PROJECTILE MOTION 175

SUMMARY OF CHAPTERS 7 AND 8 223



Bibliografische Informationen
http://d-nb.info/980996430

digitalisiert durch DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BIBLIOTHEK

## PART THREE
## CONCLUSION

9 SHARED KNOWLEDGE AND ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS IN HARRIOT'S AND GALILEO'S WORK ON MOTION 231

## PART FOUR
## APPENDICES

A A COMMENTED LIST OF HARRIOT'S MANUSCRIPTS ON PROJECTILE MOTION AND THE FALL OF BODIES 245

B A TABLE OF THE FOLIO PAGES BEARING DRAWINGS OF PROJECTILE TRAJECTORIES 353

C WATERMARKS 355

D UNITS USED BY HARRIOT 357

E REPLICATION OF HARRIOT'S EXPERIMENTS ON THE "WEIGHT" OF FALLING BODIES AND THEIR EVALUATION 359

BIBLIOGRAPHY 363

INDEX OF REFERENCES TO THE LITERATURE 375

INDEX LOCORUM 377

INDEX OF NAMES AND SUBJECTS 381

*Volume 2: Sources*

## PART FIVE
## FACSIMILE AND TRANSCRIPTION

NOTES AND CONVENTIONS 391

THOMAS HARRIOT'S NOTES ON PROJECTILE MOTION AND THE FALL OF BODIES 395

SOURCE INDEX 757

# DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

*Volume 1: Interpretation*

NOTES 1

HARRIOT, GALILEO, AND PRECLASSICAL MECHANICS: AN INTRODUCTION 3

PART ONE
HARRIOT'S WORK ON MOTION IN CONTEXT

1 THOMAS HARRIOT: PRACTICAL MATHEMATICIAN AND PIONEER OF MODERN SCIENCE 15
1.1. A Practical Mathematician 15
1.2. A Pioneer of Modern Science 18
1.3. Harriot's Failure to Publish 21

2 HARRIOT AND THE CHALLENGE OF PROJECTILE MOTION 25
2.1. Shared Knowledge on Projectile Motion 26
*2.1.1. The Gunners' Questions* 26
*2.1.2. The Practitioners' Knowledge on the Projectile Trajectory* 27
*2.1.3. The Aristotelian Conception of Motion* 29
2.2. The Problem of the Projectile Trajectory 30
*2.2.1. The Encounter of the Practitioners' Knowledge with Aristotelian Physics* 30
*2.2.2. The Derivation of the Trajectory from Basic Principles of Motion* 33
*2.2.3. The Continuously Curved Trajectory* 34
2.3. The Problem of Fall 36

3 AN INTRODUCTION TO HARRIOT'S MANUSCRIPTS ON MOTION 39
3.1. General Remarks on the Manuscripts 39
3.2. Chronology of the Notes 42
*3.2.1. Dating by Contents* 42
*3.2.2. Dating by Handwriting* 42
*3.2.3. Dating by Paper* 44
*3.2.4. Chronological Stages of Harriot's Work on Motion* 45
3.3. Harriot's Use of Algebra 46
*3.3.1. Notation* 47
*3.3.2. Procedures* 49

# PART TWO
# MAJOR STRANDS OF HARRIOT'S WORK ON MOTION


4 MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MOTION OF FALL 53
4.1. Introduction and Survey 53
*4.1.1. The Medieval Doctrine of the Configuration of Qualities and Motions* 54
*4.1.2. Oresmian Diagrams and the Motion of Fall in Early Modern Times* 56
*4.1.3. Temporal and Spatial Interpretations of Uniformly Difform Motion from the Perspective of Classical Mechanics* 57
*4.1.4. The Mean Degree Theorem* 59
*4.1.5. Proportions on Uniform Motion* 59
*4.1.6. Survey* 61
4.2. Undeveloped Use of Diagrams of Motion 63
*4.2.1. Manuscript Evidence* 63
*4.2.2. Describing the Motion of Fall As Uniformly Difform Motion (H-62r)* 64
*4.2.3. Investigating the Relation of Time and Space in Uniformly Difform Motion (H-62r, H-30v)* 65
*4.2.4. Introducing Trapezium-Shaped Diagrams (H-30v)* 67
*4.2.5. Applying the Spatial Interpretation (H-30r)* 68
*4.2.6. A Flawed Application of the Mean Degree Theorem (H-30r)* 69
4.3. Examination of the Case of Uniform Motion 70
*4.3.1. Manuscript Evidence* 71
*4.3.2. Encountering Contradictions within the Spatial Interpretation (H-51r)* 71
*4.3.3. Investigating the Relation of Time, Space, and Degree in Uniform Motion (H-50r, H-54r)* 74
4.4. From Uniform to Uniformly Difform Motion 79
*4.4.1. Manuscript Evidence* 79
*4.4.2. Consolidating the Times Squared Law (G-138r)* 82
*4.4.3. Comparing Spatial and Temporal Interpretations: Assuming Time To Be Inversely Proportional to Area (F-330r)* 83
*4.4.4. Comparing Spatial and Temporal Interpretations: Employing an Approximation by Uniform Motions (F-330r, H-54v, S-7v)* 86
*4.4.5. Comparing Spatial and Temporal Interpretations Geometrically (H-22, H-53)* 87
*4.4.6. Calculating Time Employing an Equispatial Approximation (G-118v, F-330v, F-328r)* 90
*4.4.7. Calculating Time Employing an Equitemporal Approximation (F-324r, F-325r, F-327r, F-329r, F-331r, F-332r, G-121v–G-123v)* 91

5 FREE FALL EXPERIMENTS AND THEIR EVALUATION: THE "WEIGHT" OF FALLING BODIES 97
5.1. Introduction and Survey 97
*5.1.1. Harriot's Use of the Balance as an Instrument to Measure Velocity* 97
*5.1.2. Harriot's Experiment from the Perspective of Classical Mechanics* 99
*5.1.3. Survey* 101

5.2. Experiments on the "Weight" of Falling Bodies 102
*5.2.1. Manuscript Evidence* 102
*5.2.2. The First Experiment on the "Weight" of Falling Bodies (H-76r)* 103
*5.2.3. Measurement of the Time of Fall for a Given Distance (H-76r)* 105
*5.2.4. The Second Experiment on the "Weight" of Falling Bodies (H-75r)* 106
5.3. Evaluation of the First Experiment 108
*5.3.1. Manuscript Evidence* 108
*5.3.2. Time Proportionality: The Method of Three Degrees (G-126r, G-125v)* 110
*5.3.3. Time Proportionality: The Method of Equal Distances (G-125v, G-124v)* 111
*5.3.4. Time Proportionality: The Method of the Empty Triangle (G-126v, G-127v, G-138v, H-53v, H-82r)* 113
*5.3.5. Space Proportionality (F-333r)* 115
*5.3.6. The Comparison of the Theoretical Values (H-77r)* 116
5.4. Evaluation of the Second Experiment 117
*5.4.1. Manuscript Evidence* 117
*5.4.2. Time Proportionality: The Method of the Empty Triangle (H-78r, H-81, S-1r)* 119
*5.4.3. Graphical Representation of the Experimental Results (S-1r)* 121
*5.4.4. The Calculation of Further Quantities on the Basis of the Fall Experiments (G-129v, G-130v, H-78r)* 122

SUMMARY OF CHAPTERS 4 AND 5 125

6 FREE FALL EXPERIMENTS AND THEIR EVALUATION: MOTION IN A MEDIUM 133
6.1. Introduction and Survey 133
*6.1.1. Fall in a Medium in Classical Physics* 133
*6.1.2. Harriot's Conception of Fall in a Medium* 135
*6.1.3. The Determination of Specific Gravities* 138
*6.1.4. Survey* 139
6.2. Experiments on Motion in Air 140
*6.2.1. Manuscript Evidence* 140
*6.2.2. Measurement of the Distance Different Substances Fall in Equal Times (H-75v)* 140
*6.2.3. Notes on Ratios of Specific Gravities (H-75)* 141
6.3. Evaluation of the Experiments 142
*6.3.1. Manuscript Evidence* 142
*6.3.2. Derivation of a Proportion for the Distances of Fall (G-144v)* 143
*6.3.3. Derivation of a Proportion for the Specific Gravity of Air (G-144v)* 144
*6.3.4. Determination of the Specific Gravity of Air (G-144v–146v)* 145
*6.3.5. Determination of the Distances of Fall for Different Substances in Air (G-147v)* 146
*6.3.6. Determination of the Distances of Fall for Different Substances in Water (G-148v)* 147

SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 6 149

7 GRAPHICAL CONSTRUCTION OF PROJECTILE TRAJECTORIES 153
7.1. Introduction and Survey 153
*7.1.1. The Method of Pointwise Construction* 153
*7.1.2. The Trajectory in Classical Mechanics* 154
*7.1.3. Survey* 155
7.2. Constructions Based on an Arithmetic Law 156
*7.2.1. Manuscript Evidence* 156
*7.2.2. The Identification of a Projectile's Motion in the Vertical Direction with the Motion of Vertical Projection (H-62r)* 157
*7.2.3. A Theoretically Motivated Construction (H-5r)* 158
*7.2.4. An Attempt to Adapt the Construction to the Practitioners' Knowledge (H-4r)* 161
7.3. Constructions Based on a Quadratic Law 164
*7.3.1. Manuscript Evidence* 164
*7.3.2. Composing the Motion along the Line of the Shot from a Uniform and a Uniformly Difform Motion (H-43r)* 165
*7.3.3. No New Solution to the Problem of the Dependence on Elevation (H-74r)* 167
*7.3.4. A Construction Based on the Inclined-Plane Conception of Projectile Motion (G-216v, H-60r)* 170

8 EXPLORATION OF THE INCLINED-PLANE CONCEPTION OF PROJECTILE MOTION 175
8.1. Introduction and Survey 175
*8.1.1. Compound Diagrams of Motion* 175
*8.1.2. Survey* 176
8.2. Compound Diagrams of Motion and the Time of Flight of a Projectile 177
*8.2.1. Manuscript Evidence* 177
*8.2.2. The Quest for the Range of a Shot (H-26r)* 178
*8.2.3. The Application of Compound Diagrams to the Problem of Projectile Motion (H-23r)* 179
*8.2.4. The Derivation of a Proportion for the Time of Flight (H-23r)* 180
8.3. Calculation of Ranges and Search for the Angle of Maximum Range 182
*8.3.1. Manuscript Evidence* 182
*8.3.2. The Determination of Ranges (G-158v–G-165v)* 183
*8.3.3. The Search for the Angle of Maximum Range (G-158v–G-165v)* 185
*8.3.4. A Candidate for the Angle of Maximum Range (G-150v, G-149v)* 187
8.4. Comparison to Empirical Ranges 191
*8.4.1. Manuscript Evidence* 191
*8.4.2. Luys Collado's Ranges (S-11r)* 193
*8.4.3. William Bourne's Ranges (S-13r, S-3r)* 193
*8.4.4. Alessandro Capobianco's Ranges (H-36r)* 194
*8.4.5. The Comparison of the Empirical Ranges (S-12r)* 195
*8.4.6. The Comparison of Harriot's Ranges to Bourne's (S-3r, S-4r)* 196
*8.4.7. The Determination of Bourne's Velocities (S-4r)* 196
*8.4.8. Graphical Representation of Bourne's Velocities (S-6r)* 197
*8.4.9. The Comparison of Harriot's Ranges to Capobianco's (H-39r, H-36r, S-4r)* 198

8.5. The Dependence of the Initial Velocity on the Angle of Elevation 198
*8.5.1. Manuscript Evidence* 198
*8.5.2. The Ellipse as the Line of Velocities (S-2r, S-5r, E-328v)* 200
*8.5.3. A Physical Derivation of the Line of Velocities (H-73r)* 201
*8.5.4. Algebraic Treatment of the Problem (H-72r)* 202
*8.5.5. Calculation of Velocities (H-32r, H-33r)* 203
*8.5.6. Considering the Recoil (H-72v)* 204
8.6. The Proof of the Parabolic Shape of Projectile Trajectories 205
*8.6.1. Manuscript Evidence* 206
*8.6.2. The Doubly Decelerated Motion (G-131r, G-139r, G-139v, H-71v)* 207
*8.6.3. A Special Proof (H-68r, H-70r)* 215
*8.6.4. A General Proof (H-69r)* 217
*8.6.5. Constructed Trajectories (H-63r–H-66r)* 219
*8.6.6. Horizontal Shots and Shots Below the Horizon (H-67r)* 220

SUMMARY OF CHAPTERS 7 AND 8 223

PART THREE
CONCLUSION

9 SHARED KNOWLEDGE AND ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS IN HARRIOT'S AND GALILEO'S WORK ON MOTION 231
9.1. Common Challenging Objects 232
9.2. Points of Contact with Classical Mechanics 233
9.3. The Shared Knowledge That Defines the Space of Possible Solutions 235
9.4. Individual Pathways through the Shared Knowledge 237
9.5. Harriot and Galileo: The Different Fates of Their Contributions to Mechanics 240

PART FOUR
APPENDICES

A A COMMENTED LIST OF HARRIOT'S MANUSCRIPTS ON PROJECTILE MOTION AND THE FALL OF BODIES 245

B A TABLE OF THE FOLIO PAGES BEARING DRAWINGS OF PROJECTILE TRAJECTORIES 353

C WATERMARKS 355

D UNITS USED BY HARRIOT 357

E REPLICATION OF HARRIOT'S EXPERIMENTS ON THE "WEIGHT" OF FALLING BODIES AND THEIR EVALUATION 359

BIBLIOGRAPHY 363
A. Printed Works 363
B. Manuscripts 374

INDEX OF REFERENCES TO THE LITERATURE 375

INDEX LOCORUM 377

INDEX OF NAMES AND SUBJECTS 381

*Volume 2: Sources*

## PART FIVE
## FACSIMILE AND TRANSCRIPTION

NOTES AND CONVENTIONS 391

THOMAS HARRIOT'S NOTES ON PROJECTILE MOTION AND THE FALL OF BODIES 395

SOURCE INDEX 757