

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>0. Prolegomenon</b>	<b>6</b>
0.1 Aim of the book	6
0.2 Against scientism	9
0.2.1 A dilemma for scientism	10
0.2.2 The descriptive limits of science	12
0.2.3 The explanatory limits of science	18
0.2.4 A bad argument for scientism	21
0.3 Against “conceptual analysis”	25
<b>1. Act and potency</b>	<b>31</b>
1.1 The general theory	31
1.1.1 Origins of the distinction	31
1.1.2 The relationship between act and potency	36
1.1.3 Divisions of act and potency	38
1.2 Causal powers	42
1.2.1 Powers in Scholastic philosophy	42

<b>1.2.2 Powers in recent analytic philosophy</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>1.2.2.1 Historical background</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>1.2.2.2 Considerations from metaphysics</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>1.2.2.3 Considerations from philosophy of science</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>1.2.2.4 Powers and laws of nature</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>1.3 Real distinctions?</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>1.3.1 The Scholastic theory of distinctions</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>1.3.2 Aquinas versus Scotus and Suarez</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>1.3.3 Categorical versus dispositional properties in analytic metaphysics</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>2. Causation</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>2.1 Efficient versus final causality</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>2.2 The principle of finality</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>2.2.1 Aquinas's argument</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>2.2.2 Physical intentionality in recent analytic metaphysics</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>2.3 The principle of causality</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>2.3.1 Formulation of the principle</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>2.3.2 Objections to the principle</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>2.3.2.1 Hume's objection</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>2.3.2.2 Russell's objection</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>2.3.2.3 The objection from Newton's law of inertia</b>	<b>118</b>

<b>2.3.2.4 Objections from quantum mechanics</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>2.3.2.5 Scotus on self-motion</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>2.3.3 Arguments for the principle</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>2.3.3.1 Appeals to self-evidence</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>2.3.3.2 Empirical arguments</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>2.3.3.3 Arguments from PNC</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>2.3.3.4 Arguments from PSR</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>2.4 Causal series</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>2.4.1 Simultaneity</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>2.4.2 Per se versus per accidens</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>2.5 The principle of proportionate causality</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>3. Substance</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>3.1 Hylemorphism</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>3.1.1 Form and matter</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>3.1.2 Substantial form versus accidental form</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>3.1.3 Prime matter versus secondary matter</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>3.1.4 Aquinas versus Scotus and Suarez</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>3.1.5 Hylemorphism versus atomism</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>3.1.6 Anti-reductionism in contemporary analytic metaphysics</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>3.2 Substance versus accidents</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>3.2.1 The Scholastic theory</b>	<b>189</b>

<b>3.2.2 The empiricist critique</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>3.2.3 Physics and event ontologies</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>3.3 Identity</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>3.3.1 Individuation</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>3.3.2 Persistence</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>3.3.2.1 Against four-dimensionalism</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>3.3.2.2 Identity over time as primitive</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>4. Essence and existence</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>4.1 Essentialism</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>4.1.1 The reality of essence</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>4.1.2 Anti-essentialism</b>	<b>216</b>
<b>4.1.3 Moderate realism</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>4.1.4 Essence and properties</b>	<b>230</b>
<b>4.1.5 Modality</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>4.1.6 Essentialism in contemporary analytic metaphysics</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>4.2 The real distinction</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>4.2.1 Arguments for the real distinction</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>4.2.2 Objections to the real distinction</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>4.3 The analogy of being</b>	<b>256</b>