

Content

List of Contributing Authors — V

J. Wolfgang Wägele and Thomas Bartolomaeus

1 Introduction — 1

Part I: New Data and Phylogenies

Gert Wörheide, Tetyana Nosenko, Fabian Schreiber, and Burkhard Morgenstern

2 Progress and perspectives of the deep non-bilaterian phylogeny, with focus on sponges (Phylum Porifera) — 9

2.1 Introduction — 9

2.2 The challenge of reconstructing non-bilaterian relationships — 10

2.2.1 Some issues to consider when reconstructing deep metazoan phylogeny — 12

2.2.2 Are sponges paraphyletic (or monophyletic after all), and why is this important? — 17

2.3 Conclusions and outlook — 20

Michael Eitel, Wolfgang Jakob, Hans-Jürgen Osigus, Omid Paknia, Karolin von der Chevallerie, Tjard Bergmann, and Bernd Schierwater

3 Phylogenetics and phylogenomics at the root of the Metazoa — 23

3.1 Introduction — 23

3.2 Project data — 26

3.2.1 ANTP superclass genes — 26

3.2.2 Intra-phylum relationships in Cnidaria — 36

3.2.3 Systematic composition of the phylum Placozoa using mitochondrial genomes — 36

3.2.4 Enlarged nuclear data sets to infer inter- and intra-phylum relationships — 39

3.2.5 Studying placozoan development to identify early metazoan traits — 41

3.2.6 Total evidence analysis — 44

3.2.7 Conclusions — 45

Yvan Perez, Carsten H.G. Müller, and Steffen Harzsch

4	The Chaetognatha: An anarchistic taxon between Protostomia and Deuterostomia — 49
4.1	Who are the Chaetognatha? — 49
4.2	Phylogenetic relationships and insights from molecular approaches — 51
4.2.1	Single gene analysis and total evidence approach — 52
4.2.1.1	Nuclear ribosomal genes — 52
4.2.1.2	Intermediate filaments — 53
4.2.1.3	Tropomyosin — 53
4.2.2	Multiple gene analysis and phylogenomics — 54
4.3	Peculiarities of <i>Hox</i> genes, the mitochondrial genome, and a transcriptome — 55
4.4	Unusual features: The role of morphology in our understanding of chaetognath phylogeny — 56
4.5	Unique features of chaetognath development — 57
4.6	Integument: multilayered epidermis and intra- and basiepidermal plexus — 60
4.7	Muscle ultrastructure and neuromuscular innervation — 62
4.8	The visual system — 66
4.9	The nervous system — 68
4.9.1	The ventral nerve center and individually identifiable neurons — 68
4.9.2	The cephalic nervous system — 71
4.9.3	Brain structure and development in chaetognaths, stomatogastric innervation and phylogenetic considerations — 73
4.10	Conclusion: Chaetognatha on the playground of metazoan evolution — 74

Rudi Loesel

5	Brain complexity in protostomes — 79
5.1	Introduction — 79
5.2	Arthropoda — 79
5.3	Annelida — 83
5.4	Nemertea — 85
5.5	Mollusca — 86

Andreas Schmidt-Rhaesa and Birgen Holger Rothe

6	Brains in Gastrotricha and Cycloneuralia – a comparison — 93
6.1	Introduction — 93
6.2	Phylogenetic background — 93
6.3	Brain organization in Cycloneuralia and Gastrotricha — 95
6.3.1	Nematoda — 96

6.3.2	Nematomorpha —	97
6.3.3	Priapulida —	97
6.3.4	Kinorhyncha —	98
6.3.5	Loricifera —	99
6.3.6	Gastrotricha —	99
6.4	Functional aspects of the cycloneuralian brain —	102
6.5	Conclusions, comparison within protostomes and evolutionary scenarios —	103
6.5.1	Are Cycloneuralia monophyletic? —	103
6.5.2	How are cycloneuralian taxa related to Arthropoda? —	103
6.5.3	Are Gastrotricha related to cycloneuralian taxa or to Ecdysozoa? —	104
6.5.4	Conclusions —	104

Thomas Hankeln, Alexandra R. Wey-Fabrizius, Holger Herlyn, Alexander Witek, Mathias Weber, Maximilian P. Nesnidal, and Torsten H. Struck

7	Phylogeny of platyzoan taxa based on molecular data —	105
7.1	Introduction —	105
7.2	The phylogenetic position of Platyhelminthes —	109
7.3	Gastrotricha: Phylogenetic case study using four genes —	111
7.4	The Gnathifera concept: Support from phylogenomic data —	117
7.4.1	Phylogenomics support monophyletic Syndermata and paraphyletic “Rotifera” —	118
7.4.2	Phylogeny of Acanthocephala – from mitochondrial genes to morphology —	121
7.5	Hypothetical Platyzoa and the long-branch problem —	123

Maximilian P. Nesnidal, Martin Helmkamp, Iris Bruchhaus, Ingo Ebersberger, and Bernhard Hausdorf

8	Lophophorata monophyletic – after all —	127
8.1	Introduction —	127
8.2	Materials and Methods —	130
8.2.1	Data sources and orthology assignment —	130
8.2.2	Alignment, alignment masking and protein selection —	131
8.2.3	Phylogenetic analyses —	132
8.2.4	Influence of compositional heterogeneity among lineages on the phylogenetic analyses —	132
8.3	Results and Discussion —	133
8.3.1	Deuterostome versus protostome relationships of the lophophorate lineages —	133
8.3.2	Monophyly of Lophophorata and Ectoprocta+Phoronida —	138
8.3.3	Phylogenetic relationships within Ectoprocta —	141

Torsten H. Struck, Günter Purschke, Janina Dordel, Christoph Hösel, Maximilian P. Nesnidal, Frauke Diersing, Christoph Bleidorn, Christiane Paul, Natascha Hill, Ralph Tiedemann, Joachim Selbig, and Stefanie Hartmann

- 9 Phylogeny and evolution of Annelida based on molecular data — 143**
 - 9.1 Introduction — 143
 - 9.2 Phylogenetic analyses of Annelida using targeted genes — 149
 - 9.3 Phylogenomic analyses of Annelida — 153
 - 9.4 Gene structure data as phylogenetic markers — 155
 - 9.5 Evolution of Annelida — 158

Christoph Bleidorn, Conrad Helm, Anne Weigert, Igor Eeckhaut, Deborah Lanterbecq, Torsten H. Struck, Stefanie Hartmann, and Ralph Tiedemann

- 10 From morphology to phylogenomics: Placing the enigmatic Myzostomida in the tree of life — 161**
 - 10.1 From Leuckart to Nansen – discovery and early classification of Myzostomida — 161
 - 10.2 Biology of Myzostomida — 163
 - 10.3 Cladistic analyses of morphological and molecular data – setting up a controversy — 164
 - 10.4 Phylogenomics and rare genomic changes – phylogenetic analyses of long-branched taxa — 165
 - 10.5 Morphological and evolutionary developmental studies of myzostomids – towards understanding the evolution of a highly adapted body plan — 169
 - 10.6 Integration of molecules and morphology to place an enigmatic animal taxon — 172

Markus Koch, Björn Quast, and Thomas Bartolomaeus

- 11 Coeloms and nephridia in annelids and arthropods — 173**
 - 11.1 Introduction — 173
 - 11.2 Mesoderm, muscle cells and body cavities – definition of terms — 175
 - 11.2.1 Extracellular matrix — 176
 - 11.2.2 Ectoderm, entoderm and mesoderm — 176
 - 11.2.3 Mesodermal body cavities — 177
 - 11.2.4 Filtration nephridia — 178
 - 11.2.5 Muscular cells and coelomic lining cells — 179
 - 11.3 Methodological challenges — 179
 - 11.4 Body cavities and nephridia in Annelida — 183
 - 11.4.1 Coelomic lining in Annelida — 186
 - 11.4.2 Formation of the coelomic lining and coelomogenesis — 195
 - 11.4.2.1 Coelomogenesis in premetamorphic stages — 197

- 11.4.2.2 Coelomogenesis in postmetamorphic stages — **199**
- 11.4.2.3 Coelomogenesis in clitellate embryos — **205**
- 11.4.2.4 Comparative evaluation — **207**
- 11.4.2.5 Conclusions — **210**
- 11.4.3 Nephridia and nephridiogenesis — **211**
- 11.4.3.1 Nephridia — **211**
- 11.4.3.2 Nephridiogenesis — **213**
- 11.4.3.3 Conclusion — **221**
- 11.4.4 Summary — **222**
- 11.5 Arthropoda — **223**
- 11.5.1 Occurrence and fate of transient coeloms — **224**
- 11.5.1.1 Pycnogonida — **224**
- 11.5.1.2 (Eu-) Chelicerata — **227**
- 11.5.1.3 Crustacea — **247**
- 11.5.1.4 Hexapoda — **253**
- 11.5.1.5 Myriapoda — **264**
- 11.5.2 Function of embryonic coeloms in arthropods — **265**
- 11.5.3 Summary and conclusions on the evolution of arthropod coeloms — **268**
- 11.6 Onychophora and the problem of polarizing ancestral developmental modes in Panarthropoda — **271**
- 11.7 Conclusions — **278**

J. Wolfgang Wägele and Patrick Kück

- 12 Arthropod phylogeny and the origin of Tracheata (= Atelocerata) from Remipedia-like ancestors — 285**
- 12.1 Introduction — **285**
- 12.2 Avoidance of misconceptions — **286**
- 12.2.1 Phylogenies obtained from different genes are not independent evidence — **286**
- 12.2.2 Adaptation is no argument against homology — **286**
- 12.2.3 Co-occurrence of characters increases the probability of homology — **287**
- 12.2.4 Variation is no argument against homology — **287**
- 12.3 Early arthropod evolution — **288**
- 12.3.1 What are arthropods? — **288**
- 12.3.2 Early steps in arthropod evolution — **289**
- 12.3.3 Evolution of the first euarthropod — **290**
- 12.3.4 Chelicerata and Mandibulata — **292**
- 12.3.4.1 The origin of Chelicerata — **292**
- 12.3.4.2 The origin of Mandibulata — **293**
- 12.3.5 Phylogeny within primarily marine Mandibulata (crustaceans) — **295**

- 12.4 The Tracheata hypothesis — **298**
- 12.4.1 Molecular evidence for the placement of myriapods — **299**
- 12.4.2 Molecular evidence for the placement of Hexapoda — **300**
- 12.4.3 Taxon-slippage: Evolutionary processes can produce sequence patterns that break up the clade Tracheata — **302**
- 12.4.4 Are there morphological apomorphies of Pancrustacea (=Tetraconata) primarily absent in Myriapoda? — **307**
- 12.4.5 Putative derived homologies occurring in insects and myriapods (Tracheata) — **313**
- 12.4.6 Taxonomic consequences: Caudoabdicata and Archilabiata — **329**
- 12.4.7 Fossil record and the implausibility of a Cambrian origin of Myriapoda — **329**
- 12.5 A plausible scenario: Remipedia as last living marine relatives of Tracheata — **330**
- 12.6 Discussion — **337**
- 12.6.1 Molecules — **337**
- 12.6.2 Morphology — **339**
- 12.6.3 Evolutionary scenarios — **339**

Sabrina Simon and Heike Hadrys

- 13 **Phylogeny of the most species-rich group on Earth, the Pterygota: Ancient problems, living hypotheses and bridging gaps — 343**
- 13.1 Introduction — **343**
- 13.1.1 Pterygote phylogeny: Ancient problems, living hypotheses — **344**
- 13.1.1.1 The basal pterygote divergence or the never-ending “Palaeoptera problem”? — **344**
- 13.1.1.2 The polyneopteran relationships — **346**
- 13.1.1.3 Paraneoptera and Holometabola — **348**
- 13.1.2 Systematic studies in the era of phylogenomics — **349**
- 13.2 Molecular systematic studies to infer pterygote evolution — **351**
- 13.2.1 Single-gene analyses — **351**
- 13.2.2 Nuclear rRNA genes — **352**
- 13.2.3 Mitogenomics — **353**
- 13.2.4 EST projects of five enigmatic taxa – the phylogenomic approach — **354**
- 13.2.5 Bridging gaps: New target genes for the analysis of gene organization, function and morphology — **358**
- 13.3 Conclusion — **358**

Martin E.J. Stegner, Martin Fritsch, and Stefan Richter

14	The central complex in Crustacea — 361
14.1	Introduction — 361
14.2	Definitions — 364
14.2.1	Protocerebral bridge — 364
14.2.2	Central body — 365
14.2.3	Lateral accessory lobes — 365
14.2.4	PB-CB tracts — 365
14.2.5	Immunoreactive domains — 365
14.3	Results — 367
14.3.1	Cephalocarida — 367
14.3.2	Mystacocarida — 368
14.3.3	Malacostraca — 369
14.3.4	Branchiopoda — 370
14.3.4.1	Anostraca — 370
14.3.4.2	Notostraca — 371
14.3.4.3	Laevicaudata, Spinicaudata and Cyclestherida (“Conchostraca”) — 371
14.3.4.4	Cladocera — 372
14.3.4.5	Branchiopod central complex — 373
14.3.5	Copepoda — 374
14.3.6	Ostracoda — 374
14.3.7	Branchiura — 375
14.3.8	Cirripedia — 375
14.3.9	Remipedia — 375
14.4	Discussion — 376
14.4.1	Structural comparison — 376
14.4.1.1	Columnar neurons — 377
14.4.1.2	Decussation of columnar neurites — 377
14.4.1.3	Tangential neurons — 380
14.4.1.4	Innervation of SL-ir domains — 380
14.4.1.5	Horizontal layers of the central body — 381
14.4.1.6	Conclusion of structural comparison — 381
14.4.2	‘Neurophylogeny’ of Tetraconata — 381

Björn Marcus von Reumont and J. Wolfgang Wägele

- 15 **Advances in molecular phylogeny of crustaceans in the light of phylogenomic data — 385****
- 15.1 The diverse and difficult crustaceans — **385**
- 15.2 Are crustaceans monophyletic? — **387**
- 15.3 Which is the crustacean sister-group to Hexapoda? — **389**
- 15.4 Internal crustacean phylogeny and monophyly of higher crustacean taxa — **393**
- 15.5 Promises and pitfalls of analyses of phylogenomic data — **395**

Jason Dunlop, Janus Borner, and Thorsten Burmester

- 16 **Phylogeny of the Chelicerates: Morphological and molecular evidence — 399****
- 16.1 Introduction — **399**
- 16.2 Chelicerate origins: Mandibulata or Myriochelata? — **400**
- 16.2.1 Evidence from the fossil record of chelicerates — **400**
- 16.3 Chelicerate phylogeny — **401**
- 16.3.1 Position of the sea spiders (Pycnogonida) — **401**
- 16.3.2 Euchelicerata — **402**
- 16.4 Arachnids: Conquerors of the land — **403**
- 16.4.1 Are arachnids monophyletic? — **404**
- 16.4.2 Tangled relationships: The arachnid groups — **405**
- 16.4.3 Are Acari monophyletic and arachnids at all? — **407**
- 16.4.4 Tetrapulmonata — **408**
- 16.4.5 Araneae: The true spiders — **410**
- 16.5 Dating chelicerate evolution — **410**
- 16.6 Perspectives: Resolving the chelicerate tree — **411**

Martin Schlegel, Michael Weidhase, and Peter F. Stadler

- 17 **Deuterostome phylogeny – a molecular perspective — 413****
- 17.1 Introduction — **413**
- 17.2 Deuterostome phylogeny — **414**
- 17.3 Phylogeny of Ambulacraria — **416**
- 17.3.1 Echinodermata — **416**
- 17.3.2 Hemichordata — **418**
- 17.4 Phylogeny of Chordata — **420**
- 17.4.1 Cephalochordata — **422**
- 17.4.2 Tunicata — **422**
- 17.4.3 Vertebrata — **423**
- 17.5 Outlook — **423**

Thomas Stach

- 18 Deuterostome phylogeny – a morphological perspective — 425 .**
- 18.1 Introduction — 425
- 18.1.1 Diversity fascinates, similarities inform — 425
- 18.1.2 Morphology improves phylogenetic studies — 428
- 18.1.3 Fossils — 430
- 18.1.4 Molecular evo-devo results as cladistic characters — 433
- 18.1.5 Comparative morphology of chordate “key characters” — 438
- 18.1.6 Tail tales — 438
- 18.1.7 Dorsal neural tube — 441
- 18.1.8 Resulting phylogenetic hypothesis — 443
- 18.1.9 Evolutionary scenario — 446

Lars Podsiadlowski, Adina Mwinyi, Peter Lesný, and Thomas Bartolomaeus

- 19 Mitochondrial gene order in Metazoa – theme and variations — 459**
- 19.1 Introduction — 459
- 19.1.1 Mitochondrial genome structure — 459
- 19.1.2 Mechanisms of genome rearrangements — 460
- 19.1.3 Mitochondrial genomes in other eukaryotes — 461
- 19.2 Metazoan mitochondrial genomes — 461
- 19.2.1 The non-bilaterian taxa — 461
- 19.2.2 Basal splits of the Bilateria and some taxa with uncertain position — 462
- 19.2.2.1 Chaetognatha — 464
- 19.2.2.2 Acoela and Xenoturbellida — 464
- 19.2.3 Lophotrochozoa — 465
- 19.2.3.1 Platyzoa — 465
- 19.2.3.2 The lophophorate taxa and Entoprocta — 465
- 19.2.3.3 Mollusca — 466
- 19.2.3.4 Nemertea — 467
- 19.2.3.5 Annelida (sensu lato) — 467
- 19.2.4 Ecdysozoa — 469
- 19.2.4.1 Arthropoda — 469
- 19.2.4.2 Onychophora and Tardigrada — 470
- 19.2.4.3 Cycloneuralia — 470
- 19.2.5 Deuterostomia — 470
- 19.3 Conclusions — 471

Part II: New Tools and Methods

Peter Grobe and Lars Vogt

20	Documenting Morphology: Morph·D·Base — 475
20.1	Introduction — 475
20.2	The role of morphology in the life sciences — 477
20.3	Data and metadata in morphology — 478
20.3.1	Media are not data, but important nonetheless — 479
20.3.2	Phylogenetic character matrices are not morphological data either — 480
20.4	Old problems and new challenges — 481
20.4.1	The Linguistic Problem of Morphology — 481
20.4.2	Data loss and data repositories — 482
20.5	Modern standards of documentation and communication of data and metadata — 482
20.6	Morph·D·Base: A modern data repository for morphology — 485
20.6.1	Historical background — 485
20.6.2	Types of entries in Morph·D·Base — 487
20.6.2.1	Taxa — 487
20.6.2.2	Specimens — 488
20.6.2.3	Media — 488
20.6.2.4	Literature — 490
20.6.2.5	Character matrix — 490
20.6.2.6	Linking contents: Internal and external cross-links — 492
20.6.3	Accession rights and the citation of entries from Morph·D·Base — 494
20.6.4	Interface design and usability of Morph·D·Base — 495
20.6.4.1	The web interface: General Organization — 495
20.6.4.2	The web interface: Creating and editing content — 496
20.6.5	Further Development of Morph·D·Base — 499
20.6.6	Technique — 500
20.6.7	Similar Databases — 501
20.7	Conclusions — 502

Rudi Loesel and Stefan Richter

21	Neurophylogeny – from description to character analysis — 505
21.1	History and concepts — 505
21.2	Neuroanatomical characters and phylogenetic trees — 507
21.3	The problem of terminology or ‘What is a brain?’ — 509
21.4	Conceptualizing characters and constructing a matrix — 511

Matthias Bernt, Daniel Merkle, Martin Middendorf, Bernd Schierwater, Martin Schlegel, and Peter F. Stadler

- 22 Computational methods for the analysis of mitochondrial genome rearrangements — 515**
 - 22.1 Introduction — 515
 - 22.2 Background material: Gene clusters and strong interval trees — 518
 - 22.3 Exploring mitochondrial rearrangements — 521
 - 22.3.1 Pairs of gene orders — 521
 - 22.3.2 Gene orders with a given phylogeny — 522
 - 22.3.3 The rearrangement inventory graph — 525
 - 22.4 Tandem duplication random loss — 527
 - 22.5 Character-based approaches — 528
 - 22.6 Concluding remarks — 529

Roman R. Stocsits, Harald Letsch, Karen Meusemann, Björn M. von Reumont, Bernhard Misof, Jana Hertel, Hakim Tafer, and Peter F. Stadler

- 23 RNA in phylogenetic reconstruction — 531**
 - 23.1 Introduction — 532
 - 23.2 RNAsalsa: Improved alignments of ribosomal RNA — 533
 - 23.3 Substitution models for structured RNAs — 535
 - 23.4 Practical applications of structured RNAs in molecular phylogenetics — 536
 - 23.5 Concluding Remarks — 537

Jörg Lehmann, Carina Eisenhardt, Veiko Krauss, and Peter F. Stadler

- 24 Intron positions and near intron pairs — 539**
 - 24.1 Introduction — 539
 - 24.2 Near intron pairs — 540
 - 24.3 Phylogenetic applications of NIPs — 542
 - 24.3.1 Holometabolic insects — 542
 - 24.3.2 NIPs and the metazoan tree — 544
 - 24.4 NIPs and the mechanisms of intron gain — 547
 - 24.5 Conclusion — 548

Alexander Donath and Peter F. Stadler

- 25 Molecular morphology: Higher order characters derivable from sequence information — 549**
 - 25.1 Introduction — 549
 - 25.2 Characters and “pseudo-characters” — 552
 - 25.3 Practical approaches to molecular morphology — 554
 - 25.3.1 Gene content — 554

25.3.2	Metabolic networks — 555
25.3.3	Repetitive elements, introns, and NUMTs — 555
25.3.4	Genome rearrangements — 556
25.3.5	MicroRNAs as phylogenetic marker — 557
25.3.6	Protein domains — 559
25.3.7	RNA secondary structure elements — 560
25.3.8	Alignment gaps as pseudo-characters — 560
25.4	Concluding Remarks — 562

Patrick Kück, Bernhard Misof, and J. Wolfgang Wägele

26	Systematic errors in maximum-likelihood tree inference — 563
26.1	Introduction — 563
26.1.1	Choice of an appropriate tree reconstruction method — 563
26.1.2	Long-branch artifacts (LBA) — 565
26.1.2.1	Three different classes of LBA — 566
26.1.2.2	LBA and empirical data — 566
26.1.2.3	Methods to avoid LBAs — 567
26.1.2.4	Methods to detect LBAs — 568
26.1.3	The influence of model and parameter choice on ML tree inference — 569
26.1.3.1	Among-site rate variation (ASRV) — 569
26.2	Materials and methods — 570
26.2.1	Simulations — 570
26.2.2	Maximum Likelihood analyses — 572
26.2.3	Scoring — 573
26.3	Results — 573
26.3.1	Reconstruction success for Topology A — 573
26.3.2	Reconstruction success for Topology B — 575
26.3.3	Reconstruction success of the reduced taxon set of Topology B — 577
26.3.4	Reconstruction success of topologies C–F — 578
26.3.4.1	Topologies C and D — 578
26.3.4.2	Topology E — 578
26.3.4.3	Topology F — 579
26.4	Discussion — 580

Patrick Kück and J. Wolfgang Wägele

27	Topological bias of maximum-likelihood trees inferred from star phylogenies in the event of correct and incorrect model assumptions — 585
27.1	Introduction — 585
27.2	Methods — 587
27.2.1	Simulations — 587
27.2.2	Maximum Likelihood analyses — 589
27.3	Results — 589
27.3.1	Simulation setup A — 589
27.3.2	Simulation setups B and C — 591
27.4	Discussion — 592

Ingo Ebersberger and Arndt von Haeseler

28	Exploring phylogenomic data — 595
28.1	Introduction — 595
28.1.1	Tree thinking in evolution — 595
28.1.2	Reconstructing the evolutionary history of species — 596
28.1.3	From phylogenetics to phylogenomics — 598
28.1.4	Phylogenomics – The ultima ratio? — 599
28.1.5	Artifacts during phylogeny reconstruction — 600
28.1.6	Why are phylogenomic trees sometimes hard to interpret? — 601
28.2	Compiling phylogenomic data sets — 601
28.2.1	Orthology inference — 601
28.2.1.1	Identification of orthologs in complete gene sets — 602
28.2.1.2	Identification of orthologs in incomplete gene sets — 603
28.3	The taxon-gene matrix — 606
28.3.1	Generation of the taxon-gene matrix — 606
28.3.2	Matrix reduction: Final selection of taxa and genes — 607
28.4	Phylogeny reconstruction — 608
28.4.1	Phylogenomics reconstruction from many genes — 608
28.4.2	Selecting appropriate evolutionary models — 609
28.4.2.1	The MISFITS approach — 610
28.4.3	Tree reconstruction and inference of species trees from gene trees — 613
28.4.4	The criterion of tree consistency — 615

References — 619

Index — 723