

Contents

1	Introduction	1
Part I Critique of the Nonpositivist Conception of Law		
2	The Nonpositivist Concept of Law	11
2.1	Historical Background: The Role of “Radbruch’s Formula”	11
2.2	The Nonpositivist Conception of Ralf Dreier and Robert Alexy	14
2.3	Some Remarks on the Construction of the Nonpositivist Definition of Law	18
2.4	The Nonpositivist Conception of Law and the Concept of Legal Validity (Preliminary Remarks)	20
3	Argumentation for the Nonpositivist Concept of Law	23
3.1	The Methodological Framework of Nonpositivist Argumentation	23
3.2	Ralf Dreier’s Nonpositivist Argumentation	29
3.3	Robert Alexy’s Nonpositivist Argumentation	35
3.3.1	Alexy’s Analytical Argumentation	37
3.3.2	Alexy’s Normative Argumentation	44
3.3.3	Revisions and Supplements in <i>Begriff und Geltung des Rechts</i>	51
4	Critique of Nonpositivist Argumentation	61
4.1	A Critique of the Nonpositivist Conception of Law	61
4.2	Controversial Points of Nonpositivist Argumentation	66
4.3	<i>Lex iniustissima non est lex?</i>	68
4.3.1	Reconstruction of the Nonpositivist Standpoint	70
4.3.2	Discussion of the Positivist Objection	76
4.4	The Non-cognitivist Objection	84
4.4.1	Is Alexy a Cognitivist?	86
4.4.2	Preliminary Appraisal of the Non-cognitivist Objection	89
4.5	Discursive Rehabilitation of Practical Reason?	91

4.5.1	Logical Validity of the Transcendental-Pragmatic Argument	94
4.5.2	Justification of the Premises of the Transcendental-Pragmatic Argument	99
4.5.3	Conclusion of the Analysis of the Transcendental-Pragmatic Argument	111
4.5.4	Additional Elements of the Justification of the Universal Validity of the Rules of Practical Discourse	111
4.5.5	Is the Discursive Rehabilitation of Practical Reason Successful?	114
4.6	Is the Nonpositivist Conception of Law Truly Nonpositivist? . . .	117
4.6.1	Arguments Justifying Suspicions of Crypto-Positivism . . .	117
4.6.2	Identification of the Opponent: <i>Trennungsthese</i>	121
4.6.3	Hard Positivism, Soft Positivism and Nonpositivism . . .	126
4.7	The Problem of Justification of the Argument from Correctness	138
4.7.1	Justification by Means of <i>Performativer Widerspruch</i> : Preliminary Remarks	139
4.7.2	From <i>Cogito, Ergo Sum</i> to Claim to Correctness	142
4.7.3	Justification of <i>Richtigkeitsargument</i> by Means of Recognition of "Performative Contradiction"	151
4.7.4	Justification by Means of the Argument from Alternative	159
4.8	Critique of Normative Argumentation	161
4.8.1	Argument from Efficiency	162
4.8.2	Argument from Candour	169
4.8.3	The Problem of an "Enlightened" Morality	172
4.9	Some Remarks About Nonpositivist Empirical Argumentation	180
4.10	An Attempt at Evaluating the Nonpositivist Conception of Law	188

5 The Nonpositivist Conception of Law and the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Law 193

5.1	General Objections Against the Nonpositivist Definitions of Law	193
5.2	Specific Objections from the Point of View of Legal Theory and Legal Practice	201
5.3	The Need for an Axiologically Detached and Impartial Concept of the Validity of Law	207

Part II Clarification of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law

6	Preliminaries to the Clarification of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	217
6.1	The Idea of Clarification	217

6.2	Setting the Objectives of the Clarification of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	222
6.3	The Point of View and the Moral Aspect of the Legal Theory Statements	226
6.4	The Referent of the Concept of Legal Validity	237
7	Critique of the Jurisprudential Conceptions of Legal Validity	247
7.1	On the Method of Clarificative Analysis	247
7.1.1	On the Relativisation of the Expression: "A norm is valid"	252
7.1.2	The Nature, Linguistic Stylisation and Structure of the Definition of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	260
7.1.3	Linguistic and Terminological Remarks	267
7.2	Legal Validity Understood as the Membership of Norms in a Legal System	271
7.2.1	Introductory Remarks	271
7.2.2	Four Arguments	275
7.2.3	Conclusions	310
7.3	Legal Validity Understood as the Application of Norms	312
7.4	Legal Validity Understood as the Applicability of Norms	321
7.5	Legal Validity Understood in Terms of Observance or Efficacy	334
7.6	Legal Validity Defined by Means of the Combination of Concepts	344
7.6.1	Is the Nonpositivist Definition of Law a Clarification? . . .	349
7.7	<i>En passant</i> Comment	354
8	The Postpositivist Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	359
8.1	Juristic Language, Types of Juristic Concepts and Modes of Their Creation	359
8.2	Linguistic and Logical Characterisation of Utterances Concerning the Validity of Norms in Juristic Language	367
8.2.1	Pragmatic Analysis of Juristic Utterances Concerning Validity	380
8.3	Logical Redundancy of Purely Normative Utterances Concerning the Validity of Norms	400
8.3.1	Normative Concept of Legal Validity	413
8.4	The Legal Situation and the Pragmatic Approach to Law	422
8.4.1	The Concept of Legal Situation	424
8.4.2	The Pragmatic Conception of Legal Norm	427
8.5	The Regulative Definition of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	433
8.6	The Overview of the Clarification of the Intension of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	441

9	Discourse of Validity and the Juristic Presumption of the Validity of Statutory Law Norms	455
9.1	The Argumentative Approach to the Clarification of Extension of the Juristic Concept of the Validity of Statutory Law	455
9.2	The Concept of Juristic Discourse	459
9.3	Validity Discourse	470
9.3.1	Types of Validity Discourse	471
9.3.2	The Discourse of Justification and the Discourse of Application	473
9.3.3	The Forms of Validity Discourse	476
9.3.4	Possible Solutions for Validity Discourse	478
9.4	The Structure of Validity Discourse <i>Sensu Stricto</i>	481
9.4.1	The Juristic Presumption of the Validity of Statutory Law Norms	484
9.4.2	The Rules of the Burden of Juristic Argumentation on Validity	491
9.5	Methods of Validity Argumentation	494
9.5.1	The Blockage of Presumption	496
9.5.2	The Expiry and the Cancellation of Presumption	498
9.5.3	The Rebuttal and the Destruction of Presumption by Means of Validity Arguments	500
9.5.4	The Initial Circumvention of Presumption	503
9.5.5	The Final Circumvention of Presumption	504
9.5.6	The Suspension of Presumption	513
9.5.7	The Revival of Presumption	518
9.6	Remarks on Possible Classifications and Ways of Analysing Validity Arguments	521
9.6.1	An Example of the Analysis of Validity Arguments: The Argument from “Radbruch’s Formula”	526
9.7	Juristic Presumption of the Validity of Statutory Law Norms vis-à-vis <i>ignorantia iuris nocet</i> and <i>ignorantia legis neminem excusat</i> Principles	529
9.8	Some Remarks About the Applicability of the Proposed Model of Analysing Validity Discourse <i>Sensu Stricto</i>	533
10	Epilogue	537
	References	543
	Index	587