

Contents

Foreword..... VII

AcknowledgementsIX

Abstract.....XI

Contents XIII

List of FiguresXIX

List of Tables XXIII

Abbreviations XXV

Introduction..... 1

Introduction to the Topic..... 1

Context of this Thesis Project 4

General Remarks on this Thesis..... 7

 Registered Trademarks 7

 Argumentation..... 7

 Citations 8

General Structure of this Thesis 9

I. General Context and Theories 11

1.1 The *Model* Concept 13

1.2 Embedded Systems Development 16

 1.2.1 Definition and Context..... 16

 1.2.2 Characteristics..... 16

 1.2.3 Embedded Development in the Automotive Domain 19

1.3 Software Engineering (SE) 24

1.4 Systems Engineering (SysEng)..... 26

1.5 Requirements Engineering and Management 31

 1.5.1 The Term 'Requirement' 34

 1.5.2 Phases, Artifacts and Techniques in *REM*..... 40

 1.5.3 Requirements Management..... 43

 1.5.4 Models in REM..... 44

1.5.5 Separation between Requirements and Design	48
1.5.6 The Role and Nature of Requirement Change.....	49
1.5.7 Traceability in the Context of Requirements Management	55
1.5.7.1 Traceability in Different Aspects of Development Activities	57
1.5.7.2 Traceability as an Issue of Quality	61
1.5.7.3 The Potential Uses of Traceability	62
1.5.8 Deficiencies of Today's REM Practices.....	64
1.6 Design in Systems and Software Development.....	65
1.6.1 Different Design Phases in SysEng and SE.....	66
1.6.1.1 System Design	67
1.6.1.2 Software Architecture	67
1.6.1.3 Detailed Design.....	70
1.6.2 General Theories about Design	70
1.6.2.1 Design as Symbolic Information Processing	71
1.6.2.2 Design as Wicked Problems.....	84
1.6.2.3 Design as Situated Action	89
1.6.2.4 Design as a Language of Patterns	94
1.6.3 Comparison of General Design Theories	103
1.6.4 Dependency between Design Models and Code	105
1.6.5 Architecture Documentation.....	107
1.6.6 Design in the Automotive Domain	110
1.6.6.1 Modeling Methods and Tools Used in Automotive Design.....	111
1.6.6.2 Integrating other Organizations into a Design	115
1.7 Quality Standards for <i>Safety-Critical</i> Development Processes....	116
1.7.1 SPICE (ISO 15504).....	119
1.7.1.1 The Process Reference Model of SPICE	120
1.7.1.2 The Measurement Framework	121
1.7.1.3 The Process Assessment Model (PAM)	122
1.7.2 Requirements, Design and Traceability in the Context of SPICE	124
1.7.2.1 ENG.1: Requirements Elicitation	124
1.7.2.2 ENG.2: System Requirements Analysis	126
1.7.2.3 ENG.3: System Architectural Design.....	130
1.7.2.4 ENG.4: Software Requirements Analysis	132
1.7.2.5 ENG.5: Software Design.....	133
1.7.2.6 ENG.6: Software Construction.....	134
1.7.2.7 SUP.10: Change Management.....	135

1.7.3 Traceability in SPICE	137
1.7.3.1 Intersect: Dangers of Prescriptive Process Models ...	138
1.7.3.2 The Nature of the ENG-Processes, <i>Traceability</i> , and its Implications.....	142
1.7.4 Automotive SPICE.....	148
1.7.5 Safety Engineering: IEC 61508, ISO 26262.....	151
1.8 Feedback from Embedded Practice.....	153
II. Rationale Management and Traceability in Detailed Discussion	159
II.9 Rationale Management in Systems and Software Engineering.....	159
11.9.1 Characterization Criteria for Rationale Approaches.....	162
11.9.1.1 Representation.....	162
11.9.1.2 Basic Rationale Processes	163
11.9.1.3 Descriptive versus Prescriptive Approaches	164
11.9.1.4 Intrusiveness.....	164
11.9.2 Rationale Management Systems (RMS).....	165
11.9.3 Overview of Different Rationale Approaches	166
11.9.3.1 Schemas for Argumentation.....	166
11.9.3.2 Approaches beyond Argumentation	173
11.9.3.3 Alternative Categorization.....	175
11.9.4 Why Rationale Management Could not yet Succeed in Practice.....	177
11.9.4.1 Cognitive Limitations.....	178
11.9.4.2 Rationale Capture Limitations as Central Challenge in Rationale Management.....	179
11.9.4.3 Retrieval Limitations.....	186
11.9.4.4 Usage Limitations	186
11.9.4.5 Synopsis of Rationale Limitations Concerning Alternative Design Theories	187
11.9.5 The Role of Rationale in System and Software Design ...	188
II.10 Requirements Traceability.....	192
11.10.1 Overview	192
11.10.2 Traceability and Consistency Gaps between Artifacts.....	194
11.10.3 Impact Analysis and Traceability.....	197
11.10.4 Core Dimensions for Characterization	201
11.10.4.1 Purpose.....	202

II.10.4.2 Conceptual Trace Model	204
II.10.4.3 Process	229
II.10.4.4 Tools.....	234
II.10.5 Traceability and its Benefit Problem	242
II.10.6 Traceability between Requirements and Design	245
II.10.6.1 Theoretic Research Results	245
II.10.6.2 Tool Couplings between REM- and Design Tools in Practice.....	248
II.10.7 Traceability between Requirements, Design and Code.....	254
II.10.8 Rationale Management and Traceability	257
III. PROVEtech:R2A – A Tool for Dedicated Requirements Traceability	259
III.11 Research Goals.....	261
III.12 Accompanying Case Study.....	265
III.13 Closing the Tool Gap	268
III.14 Closing the Gap between Requirements and Design	271
III.15 Abstraction Layers and Abstraction Nodes.....	272
III.16 Models Crossing Tool-Barriers.....	280
III.16.1 Insertion: Coupling Different <i>REM-</i> and <i>Modeling</i> <i>Tools</i>	280
III.16.2 Integrating Several <i>Modeling Tools</i> in a Single Model....	281
III.17 Basic Support Features of R2A	284
III.17.1 Support for Collaborative Design Tasks	284
III.17.2 The Notes Mechanism	285
III.17.3 Extensibility: XML-Reporting and User Tagging	286
III.17.4 Unique Identifier Support for any Item in R2A.....	287
III.17.5 Evolutionary <i>Traceability</i> – Recording History and Baselines.....	287
III.17.6 The Properties Dialog	288
III.18 Requirements and Requirements <i>Traceability</i>	290
III.18.1 Managing Requirement Sources	290
III.18.2 Establishing Requirements Traceability.....	293
III.18.2.1 <i>Traceability</i> Operations in R2A.....	296
III.18.2.2 The Requirement Influence Scope (RIS).....	299
III.18.2.3 Representing Requirement Contextual Data	302
III.18.2.4 The Requirement Dribble Process (RDP).....	304
III.18.2.5 Overview over Navigation and Handling of Requirements Aspects in R2A	311

III.19 Taxonomy of Requiremental Items.....	313
III.20 Support for Capturing Decisions.....	316
III.20.1 Relation to Approaches of Rationale Management	319
III.20.2 Effects on the Traceability Model	322
III.20.3 Example How to Tame the Development Process Model of SPICE	324
III.20.4 Implementation of the Decision Model in R2A	326
III.20.5 Additional Support of the Decision Model for Designers	337
III.20.5.1 Patterns.....	338
III.20.5.2 Ensuring Adequate Realization of Design and Decisions.....	339
III.20.5.3 Support for Architecture Evaluation	339
III.21 Resource Allocation as a Special Decision Making Case.....	341
III.21.1 <i>Budgeted Resource Constraints</i> as further <i>Requiremental Items</i>	343
III.21.2 Advantages for Collaboration and Sharing Project Knowledge	345
III.21.2.1 Within Project Refinement	345
III.21.2.2 Communicating Information across Organizational Boundaries.....	346
III.21.2.3 Change Management.....	347
III.21.2.4 Different Views on the Same Problem	348
III.21.3 Representing Budgeted Resource Constraints in SysML.	349
III.21.4 Combining both <i>Decision Models</i>	351
III.22 Managing Changes and Consistency.....	352
III.22.1 Usage of Traces – Managing <i>Requiremental</i> Changes.....	353
III.22.1.1 Selective Tracing: Impact Analysis	353
III.22.1.2 Interactive Tracing: The <i>Model Browser</i>	357
III.22.1.3 Non-Guided Tracing: Additional Features for Fast Look-Up.....	358
III.22.2 Consistency Maintenance of Requirements, <i>Traceability</i> and Design.....	359
III.23 Aspects of Embedding R2A in a Process Environment.....	362
III.23.1 Avoiding Redundancies in <i>Supplier Management</i>	363
III.23.2 <i>Traceability</i> over Several Artifact Models without Redundancies	365
III.23.3 Decoupled Development of Requirement and Design Artifacts	368

III.24 Overall Architecture of R2A..... 370

 III.24.1 General Architecture..... 370

 III.24.2 The *Meta-Model* 372

 III.24.3 Further Interfaces..... 376

IV. Synopsis 379

IV.25 Summary of the Achieved Research Results..... 379

IV.26 Perspectives for Further Research..... 385

IV.27 Conclusions 392

Bibliography 395

Index..... 435