

Table of Contents

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION 1

A. EXPLORING INTERNET CHALLENGES IN VIOLATIONS OF PERSONALITY RIGHTS: A PRELUDE TO PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW 1

1. CRUCIAL ROLE OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW.....1

2. INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION.....3

3. APPLICABLE LAW6

B. CONTEXTUALIZING THE RESEARCH 7

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROBLEM.....7

2. OBJECTIVE AND METHOD.....8

3. OVERVIEW ON ISSUES AND STRUCTURE.....9

CHAPTER II

PARADIGMS ON PERSONALITY RIGHTS IN EU 13

A. EUROPEAN LEGAL LANDSCAPE..... 13

1. ADVANCEMENTS IN SAFEGUARDING PERSONALITY RIGHTS13

1.1. *International Treaties: ECHR and CFR*13

1.2. *Insights from ECtHR and CJEU*21

1.3. *The Influences in National Legislations*.....24

2. PERSPECTIVES FROM PETL AND DCFR.....27

B. CHARTING MONIST AND PLURALIST APPROACHES 29

C. NATIONAL TORT LAW REMEDIES 39

1. AUSTRIA40

2. FRANCE.....46

3. HUNGARY51

4. ITALY.....57

5. SPAIN62

D. DOMESTIC REGIMES OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW 67

1. AUSTRIA67

2. FRANCE.....72

2.1. *De Lege Lata*.....72

2.2. *De Lege Ferenda*.....78

3. HUNGARY82

4. ITALY.....85

5. SPAIN92

E. LEGISLATIVE PATHS AND EXCLUSIONS..... 96

1.	THE COMPLEX JOURNEY OF PERSONALITY RIGHTS IN ROME II	96
2.	EXPLORING SOLUTIONS AND PROPOSALS FOR APPLICABLE LAW UNDER THE ROME II REGULATION.....	100
2.1.	<i>Dilemmas in Determining Applicable Law Under Rome II</i> ...	101
2.1.1.	Examining Lex Loci Damni: Implications and Challenges.	101
2.1.2.	Assessing the Law of the Victim’s Habitual Residence	103
2.1.3.	Evaluating the Law of the Content Provider’s Place of Establishment	106
2.1.4.	Cascading Clauses: Choice-Based Conflict Rules.....	106
2.1.5.	Lex Fori: Jurisdictional Law as the Governing Standard ..	109
3.	ANALYSING EXCEPTIONS IN CONFLICT OF LAWS	110
3.1.	<i>Common Domicile or Habitual Residence of the Parties</i>	110
3.2.	<i>The Law Most Closely Related to the Dispute</i>	112
 CHAPTER III		
	PERSONALITY RIGHTS IN THE UK AND USA	114
A.	ENGLISH COMMON LAW	114
1.	TORT OF DEFAMATION	114
1.1.	<i>Definition</i>	114
1.2.	<i>Elements of Libel Action</i>	116
1.3.	<i>Legal Presumptions</i>	124
2.	APPLICABLE LAW	130
3.	JURISDICTION.....	139
B.	DEFAMATION LAW IN USA	154
1.	TORT OF DEFAMATION	154
1.1.	<i>Defamatory Statement</i>	157
1.2.	<i>Identification</i>	159
1.3.	<i>Publication</i>	162
1.4.	<i>Defences</i>	164
1.4.1.	Truth	164
1.4.2.	Fair Comment and Opinion	166
1.4.3.	Advantage of Fair and Impartial Reporting	172
2.	APPLICABLE LAW	175
3.	JURISDICTION.....	181
 CHAPTER IV		
	JURISDICTION OVER PERSONALITY RIGHTS: ARTICLE 7(2) AND THE SHEVILL INSIGHT	193
A.	INTRODUCTION.....	193
B.	ISSUES PERTAINING TO CIVIL WRONGS AND LIABILITIES	195
C.	THE LOCATION OF THE DETRIMENTAL INCIDENT OR POTENTIAL INCIDENT.....	197

1.	THE LOCATION OF THE DETRIMENTAL INCIDENT	197
1.1.	<i>Origin of the Incident Leading to Damage</i>	201
1.2.	<i>Location of Damage Incidence</i>	205
2.	LOCATION OF POTENTIAL HARMFUL INCIDENTS.....	209
3.	CONTINUATION OF JURISDICTION.....	211
D.	INVOLVEMENT OF CLAIMANT AND RESPONDENT IN LEGAL ACTIONS.....	213
E.	OVERVIEW OF THE SHEVILL CASE.....	214
1.	THE LOCATION OF THE DETRIMENTAL INCIDENT	216
1.1.	<i>The Origin of the Damaging Incident</i>	216
1.1.1.	<i>The Role of the Publisher’s Office in Sequential Actions</i>	217
1.1.2.	<i>The Role of the Content Provider’s Headquarters</i>	219
2.	THE LOCATION OF INCURRED DAMAGES	224
2.1.	<i>Understanding Harm to Reputation</i>	226
2.2.	<i>Linking Distribution Dynamics to Reputational Harm</i>	231
3.	IMPLICATIONS OF THE SHEVILL CASE	232
CHAPTER V		
JURISDICTIONAL FRONTIERS: NAVIGATING ONLINE PERSONALITY RIGHTS INFRINGEMENTS		234
A.	INSIGHTS FROM THE EDATE AND MARTINEZ CASES	234
1.	SETTING THE STAGE: BACKGROUND AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS...234	
1.1.	<i>eDate</i>	234
1.2.	<i>Martinez and Martinez</i>	235
1.3.	<i>Distinctive Circumstances</i>	235
2.	INQUIRIES TO THE CJEU	237
3.	THE CJEU’S RULING.....	237
4.	REVISITING SHEVILL: EVOLVING PERSPECTIVES	239
4.1.	<i>Confirming the Origin of the Incident</i>	240
4.2.	<i>Re-evaluation of the Damage Location</i>	245
4.2.1.	Plaintiff’s Focus: Core Interests.....	245
4.2.1.1.	Global Reach: Universal Access	246
4.2.1.1.1.	Assessment of Audience Targeting	248
4.2.1.1.2	Real Access Methodology	251
4.2.1.1.3.	Implementing Blocks and Circulating Content	253
4.2.1.2.	Significant Impact: Severe Damage	257
4.2.1.3.	Plaintiff’s Core Interests	259
4.2.2.	Reachability: An Analytical Concept.....	261
B.	BOLAGSUPPLYSNINGEN CASE	263
1.	SETTING THE STAGE: BACKGROUND, LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND INQUIRIES TO THE CJEU	263
2.	THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL	265
3.	THE CJEU’S RULING.....	268

4.	INSIGHTS ON THE CASE.....	269
4.1.	<i>Requests for Correction and Deletion</i>	270
4.2.	<i>Prospects for the Mosaic Doctrine</i>	272
4.3.	<i>Focal Point of Jurisdiction</i>	274
4.4.	<i>Clarifying the Jurisdictional Focal Point</i>	276
C.	GTFLIX: A FAMILIAR TUNE OR A NOVEL CJEU PRELUDE?	281
1.	BACKGROUND AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	282
2.	THE RULING OF THE CJEU	283
3.	INSIGHTS ON THE CASE.....	286
D.	MITTELBAYERISCHER VERLAG: PIONEERING A FRESH PATH?	288
1.	BACKGROUND AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	289
2.	CJEU DECISION	291
3.	INSIGHTS ON THE CASE.....	294
CHAPTER VI		
CONCLUSION.....		298
A.	CONCLUSIONS ON APPLICABLE LAW	298
1.	EUROPEAN UNION (AUSTRIA, FRANCE, HUNGARY, ITALY AND SPAIN) 298	
2.	THE UNITED KINGDOM AND THE UNITED STATES	303
2.1.	<i>The United States</i>	303
2.2.	<i>The United Kingdom</i>	305
B.	CONCLUSION ON JURISDICTION	307
1.	BRUSSELS REGIME.....	307
2.	THE UNITED KINGDOM AND THE UNITED STATES	313
2.1.	<i>The United States</i>	313
2.2.	<i>The United Kingdom</i>	315
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....		318